



## FREDERICK COUNTY GOVERNMENT

### DIVISION OF PLANNING & PERMITTING

Livable Frederick Planning & Design Office

Jessica Fitzwater  
County Executive

Steven C. Horn, Division Director  
Kimberly Gaines, Director

### FREDERICK COUNTY HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

## STAFF REPORT

June 7, 2023

**Address:** 7127 Autumn Leaf Ln, Frederick **Meeting Date:** June 7, 2023  
**Applicant:** Eric and Gwen Gleysteen **Report Date:** May 25, 2022  
**Case No.:** COA 23-02 **Staff:** Beau Lockard  
**Request:** Install a new four-foot-tall black aluminum fence for approximately 173 linear feet, east-west, south of the historic house

### PROPERTY BACKGROUND

The Samuel Fleming House property was designated to the County Register of Historic Properties (CR # 21-03) on July 7, 2021. The property includes the entire parcel which includes the historic house, a non-contributing garage, and a non-contributing pool house and pool. The property was built in 1771 based on a panel in the north gable with a rear wing addition, which was added in the late 19<sup>th</sup> century. The property is accessed from Autumn Leaf Ln.

Previous applications for Certificates of Appropriateness (COA) filed for this property include:

Case Number	Date	Owner	Decision
A-COA #21-06	10/22/2021	Eric and Gwen Gleysteen	Approved
Removal of a silver maple tree located between the house and the garage			
COA#21-08	9/1/2021	Eric and Gwen Gleysteen	Approved

Alter the façade of the former garage to include removing the first-floor window and door, infilling the garage doors, reframing the façade to center two new windows and door, and remove existing siding on the entire structure and replace it with hardie-plank siding. The replacement windows will be either vinyl-clad or aluminum-clad and have either simulated divided lites with the grilles attached to the exterior glass or be one-over-one windows with no grilles. The corner moldings and window trim will be smooth cement boards. The lights will be a contemporary design.

COA #22-09

8/3/2022

Eric and Gwen  
Gleysteen

Approved

Replace asphalt shingle main and porch roof with standing seam metal roof, removal of non-contributing sunroom



**Fig. 1: Subject property.**

## **REQUEST**

A Certificate of Appropriateness is requested to:

1. Install a new four-foot-tall black aluminum fence for approximately 173 linear feet, east-west, south of the historic house.

## **APPLICABLE GUIDELINES**

When reviewing alterations to a designated County Register property several documents are to be utilized as guidelines to assist the Commission in developing their decision. These documents include the *Frederick County Historic Preservation Code: Chapter 1-23*, the *Frederick County Register of Historic Places Design Guidelines (Guidelines)*, and the *Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation (Standards)*. The pertinent information in these documents is outlined below.

### **Frederick County Code: Chapter 1-23-7B**

- (1) In reviewing applications, the Commission shall give consideration to the historic, archeological, or architectural significance of the landmark, site, or structure and its relationship to the historic, archeological, or architectural significance of the surrounding area; the relationship of the exterior architectural features of a landmark or structure to the remainder of the landmark or structure and to the surrounding area; the general compatibility of proposed exterior design, scale, proportion, arrangement, texture, and materials to the landmark, site, or structure and to the surrounding area; and any other factors including aesthetic factors which the Commission deems to be pertinent.
- (2) The Commission shall consider only exterior features of a landmark or structure and shall not consider any interior arrangements.
- (3) The Commission shall not disapprove an application except with respect to the several factors specified in paragraph (1) above.
- (4) The Commission shall be strict in its judgment of plans for sites or structures determined by research to be of historic, archeological, or architectural significance. The Commission shall be lenient in its judgment of plans for sites or structures of little historic, archeological, or architectural significance, or of plans involving new construction, unless in the Commission's judgment such plans would seriously impair the historic, archeological, or architectural significance of surrounding sites or structures. The Commission is not required to limit construction, reconstruction, or alteration to the architectural style of any one (1) period.

## **Frederick County Register of Historic Places Design Guidelines**

The *Guidelines* contain a Landscape and Site Feature Chapter (Chapter 5) that should be referred to when reviewing this COA application. For this case, please refer to Chapter 5, Section D, Subheading 3: “If fencing is to be installed where none existed, it should be compatible with the overall landscape, including the period, architecture, and intended function.” Further analysis of how this request meets the *Guidelines* is provided in the Evaluation section below.

## **Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Rehabilitation**

The *Standards* define rehabilitation as “the act or process of making possible a compatible use for a property through repair, alterations, and additions while preserving those portions or features, which convey its historical, cultural, or architectural values.” The *Standards* that are most applicable to the application before the Commission are as follows:

9. New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction shall not destroy historic materials that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated from the old and shall be compatible with the massing, size, scale, and architectural features to protect the historic integrity of the property and its environment.
10. New additions and adjacent or related new construction shall be undertaken in such a manner that if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired.

## **STAFF EVALUATION**

The applicant is proposing a four-foot-tall black aluminum fence running 173 linear feet, east-west, south of the historic house. The existing three-rail cedar fence surrounding the perimeter of the property is a recent addition to the landscape, replacing a split two-rail fence that also appears to have been a 21<sup>st</sup> century installation.

This new fencing would be interior and would divide the southern yard from the house and its immediate surrounding area. The three-rail cedar perimeter fence is not proposed to be altered by this COA. The new interior fence would be visible from the public right-of-way.

This linear fencing would also partially replace the existing non-conforming stockade fencing surrounding the pool. A complete replacement of the stockade fencing surrounding the pool is expected in the future but is not part of this COA.

Staff analysis of the *Guidelines* for this project is as follows:

<b>Guideline</b>	<b>Met?</b>	<b>Comments</b>
5D3: Landscape and Site Features: Fences	Yes	The <i>Guidelines</i> state that appropriate fence styles include “wood pickets, board fences, wood split rails, and wrought iron and other metal fences.” The Guidelines also state that vinyl fencing is not appropriate and that chain-link and stockade fencing is only appropriate for mid-twentieth century properties. The proposed fencing matches these guidelines as a kind of metal fencing that is not one of the inappropriate styles.
5D3: Landscape and Site Features: Fences	Somewhat	The <i>Guidelines</i> state, “If fencing is to be installed where none existed, it should be compatible with the overall landscape, including the period, architecture, and intended function.” There is no existing historic fencing for this proposed fence to emulate and the proposed aluminum fencing is in a more modern style than the period of significance of the property. However, the fencing is design to have low-visual impact on the property and could be easily screened with vegetation.

#### **STAFF RECOMMENDATION**

Staff finds that the proposed project would not have a significant impact to the character of the historic property.