

To: Frederick County Council
From: Sue Trainor
Date: 8/11/22

I'm writing to ask for your support of the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan with its full boundary and Overlay from the Montgomery County line, along I-270, to the Monocacy Battlefield and without the newly added "amendments" wiggle room. Many of us have lived and worked here with the understanding that I-270 has been the boundary between dense development on the east side and rural on the west. The Planning Commission supported that Plan boundary.

Here's one specific reason I support the Plan. I'm including a photo I took from Park Mills Road, just west of I-270. It's a lovely view of Sugarloaf Mountain, looking across acreage that I believe is part of what Mr. Natelli refers to in his comments as his "interchange properties."



As I understand it, no interchanges appear in official planning documents through 2045 or so. Again, as I understand it, that is beyond the expected life of these macro land use plans so I'm not sure why this interchange argument is even on the table. Nonetheless, Mr. Natelli speculated on property, he wants a big win, and we will suffer the consequences if he does. I don't think he wants to farm; perhaps he has his head in the (data) "cloud." A data center (or a McDonalds) across from the end of my driveway is not what I moved here to experience. Why should one property owner's "rights" include destroying the value to me of my property?

There is plenty of land in Frederick County already designated for dense development. THIS is treasured landscape, by so many metrics. Please preserve it by voting for the Sugarloaf Plan.

Respectfully submitted,

Sue Trainor

Fingerboard Road, Frederick

Dolan, Mary

From: Dean Geis <dean.amazingfeets@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2022 9:40 AM
To: Council Members

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Sugarloaf plan is a poor idea please go back to the drawing board and fix it. Thank you, Dean Geis.

August 11, 2022

To: Frederick County Council
From: Blanca Poteat, Sugarloaf Mountain Road
Re: **Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan**

Thanks to the County planning staff who have developed the Sugarloaf Plan, guided by the area's natural attributes and resources, and who continue to work with residents and others to clarify and refine the Plan to achieve its preservation goals.

I urge the County Council to:

- 1. Support the Plan's boundaries from the Montgomery County line to the Monocacy River**, as recommended by the Planning Commission. This action, affecting less than five percent of Frederick County, will leverage and expand the region's protected forests and open space with the adjacent 93,000 acre Montgomery County Agricultural Reserve and the 1,647 acre Monocacy National Battlefield park.
- 2. Support the Plan's preservation goals.** This is not a development plan. The I270 corridor is the historic boundary between infrastructure and development on the east side and rural communities, farmlands, woodlands and waterways on the west side. These west side resources are essential for the County's climate change mitigation and adjustment efforts.
- 3. Respect the Sugarloaf area's local history** which is important, not as nostalgia for imaginary "good old days," but as guidance for continuing to balance human land uses with natural features and resources.
- 4. Apply the Rural Heritage Overlay Zoning District to the entire Plan area.** Existing commercial enterprises will be able to continue current activities.
- 5. Remove the expansion of commercial zoning** near the I270 interchange. The crossroad of Route 80 and Thurston Road is the County's main gateway to the Sugarloaf region and should be protected as such.

And finally,

- 6. Remove the text change on page 54 of the Plan.** The last paragraph in the Urbana Community Growth Area section exposes the Plan to short term changes favorable to development and inconsistent with the Plan's preservation goals.

Thank you.

From: msimpson2005_bennettscreekfarm.com
To: [Council Members](#)
Subject: Comment about the Sugarloaf Plan
Date: Thursday, August 11, 2022 12:05:11 PM

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Hello,

I have written in the past many times to let you know that I support the use of I-270 to delineate between developed zones on the east side and protected zones on the west.

I am concerned about the statement on page 54 which states, "...*The scale and scope of future planning for the Urbana Community Growth Area or the I-270 corridor may determine the degree and extent of lands within the Sugarloaf Planning Area, if any, and may result in a limited plan amendment to the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan."

This sounds like the plan is stating that the plan as it stands, can be changed, or limited, to allow for development detrimental to the protected nature of this area.

I thought the point of this plan was to set protections to this area for future generations to enjoy. If this statement is meant to allow changes to the zoning of the regions west of I-270 to allow for development, then why are we going through the motions of putting together a plan to protect this area?

If I am not understanding this statement, can someone please explain it to me? Perhaps I am confused?

Thank you, Margy Simpson
2149 Thurston Road. Frederick MD 21704
301-520-7113

From: [Luna, Nancy](#)
To: [Council Members](#)
Cc: [County Council Staff](#)
Subject: FW: New voicemail for County Council
Date: Thursday, August 11, 2022 5:10:29 PM

From: +13017127490

Message Transcription: My name is Beth Owens. I'm a homeowner as well as a landowner. I have a certified tree forest for tree farm. I am in support of the plans ice two 70 boundaries from mechanic Montgomery county to MOEE. I am in support of the plans, preservation goals for the Sugarloaf area, and I would prefer and need the area to remain rural. It's a beautiful part of the view shed from Sugarloaf that many people enjoy from all over the area, bikers, horseback riding. We see it all the time. People are enjoying the area and its rural aspect. I oppose the paragraph on page 54, opening the door to short term planned amendments because it creates an explicit opportunity for developers to quickly push for plans changes despite more than two years of work of public input on the current version. Thank you.

[Audio File](#)

You can change or disable notifications like these on the [project settings tab](#).

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: [Brandt, Kimberly G.](#)
Cc: [Mitchell, Kathy \(Legal\)](#)
Subject: FW: My called-in comments this evening, Aug 11
Date: Friday, August 12, 2022 8:28:10 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Sugarloaf record.

Ragen Cherney

Chief of Staff/Legislative Director

Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049



From: Nick Carrera <mjcarrera@comcast.net>
Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2022 9:52 PM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: My called-in comments this evening, Aug 11
[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Below I'm copying the comments I made when I called in this evening.

Nick Carrera, Thurston Road.

Begin called-in comments, August 11, 2022, Comments to County Council

I'm Nick Carrera; 2602 Thurston Road, Frederick 21704

There is widespread support for the Sugarloaf Plan, despite the tone of the article in the Frederick News-Post. We supporters are sometimes drowned out by a few persons who want favorable treatment. And especially loud is the well-financed group that wants the freedom to buy, sell, and develop property just as they please. Having no Plan at all would suit them just fine.

Fair treatment has been a problem. The March 2021 draft Plan was pulled back suddenly and then released in July with a big change favoring an influential developer. The Planning Commission undid that change, but there are still pressures for special treatment. Resisting those pressures will keep the Plan true to its goals.

An example of fair treatment is using objective criteria for proposed changes from “agricultural” zoning to “resource conservation.” Planning staff looked at the actual physical condition of properties, at features such as water courses, flood plains, and steep slopes. Does anyone plant crops in such areas? Or plan to build houses there? Already it's either infeasible or illegal. The physical nature of the land precludes these uses, and the rezoning simply confirms that.

Regarding unfair treatment, an example is drawing the Overlay to exclude three properties whose owners requested it. The weak justification is the fond hope that a mass-transit system will soon be built along the east side of I-270. I suggest you don't hurry to buy your tickets to ride on it. It'll be a long time in coming, and if it does come, we'll have a better idea then what accommodation will be needed for a train or a bus station. In meantime, the Potomac Garden Center, the Kannavis Dispensary, and the Greenbriar pet hospital can be fully included in the Overlay, and be treated like everyone else. They won't even have to change their current operations.

Finally, a plea for openness and transparency. There has already been too much dealing under-the-table. It's not fair and it's not worthy of us as a county.

From: [Luna, Nancy](#)
To: [Council Members](#)
Cc: [County Council Staff](#)
Subject: New voicemail for County Council from public input
Date: Thursday, August 11, 2022 8:04:58 AM

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: +13018318694

Message Transcription: This is Diana crop. We have lived on Roderick road in the urban area of Frederick for 37 years. We moved here from crowded Montgomery county. So our family could enjoy a rural setting, including farms and wildlife. I support the plans. I two 70 boundary from Montgomery county to the Mony. We enjoy taking our grandchildren to Sugarloaf mountain and don't want to see the area destroyed with development. It seems if there's even a small thought of ground, some developer wants to build on it. When is enough enough? I oppose the paragraph on page 54 of the plan. It seems as just an opportunity for a loophole to circumvent what the public wants. Family is important to my husband and I, we brought our sons. We brought our sons and daughters here to be able to play outside with friends, to have room, to explore nature and appreciate it. Now, our grandchildren and great grandchildren don't have as many of the same opportunities. If this area isn't protected, they won't know anything about the area where their parents grew up. It will all be houses in commercial properties. Please listen and care about the future of this area and its residence.

[Audio File](#)

You can change or disable notifications like these on the [project settings tab](#).

From: [Luna, Nancy](#)
To: [Council Members](#)
Cc: [County Council Staff](#)
Subject: FW: New voicemail for County Council
Date: Thursday, August 11, 2022 2:33:47 PM

From: +13018744337

Message Transcription: Hi, this is Gary thorough. I'm commenting about the meeting that will take place today later on today, around Sugarloaf. And once again, it is evident that this plan will serve to take good care of developer Elli, the excluded area near I two 70 that's mentioned in the plan and was in yesterday's Frederick news. Post contains hundreds of acres owned by Elli. If you look at what he did to AANA with four or five homes per acre, you will see what will happen to Sugarloaf. In my opinion, what a shame. That's all I have to say.

[Audio File](#)

You can change or disable notifications like these on the [project settings tab](#).

From: +18022384249

Message Transcription: My name is Karen Lynch. I live in downtown Frederick on Katon avenue. I understand you'll be discussing the Sugarloaf Alliance plan this evening. I support the plans. I two 70 boundary from Montgomery county to the Monsey, as it has been for years, I support the plan's preservation goals for the Sugarloaf area. I also oppose the recently added paragraph on page 54, which opens the door to short term plan amendments because it creates an opportunity for developers to quickly push for plan changes despite more than two years of work and public input on the current version of the plan. Personally, when will you stop development of farmlands and forests mountain areas? When will enough be enough? This boundary at I two 70 has been in place for years. Please don't develop west of I two 70 in the face of all we know about climate change and the limits of growth. Thank you for your attention.

[Audio File](#)

You can change or disable notifications like these on the [project settings tab](#).

From: +12402775423

Message Transcription: Hello, Tommy council members. This is Mary Ellen Perry. I own a home in the planning area at 84, 10 Puget road. And I'm calling to address my complete support for theist plan to protect the area enough to for the rural nature. I support the I two 70 boundary, but I would like add, remove the new paragraph on page four, begins the scale and scope of planning for the urban community growth area or the I two 70 quarter may determine the planning area if any, this actually FA songs as though the growth in the area and the highway are gonna drive the decisions about development area, whereas is the only unique aspect here. So it should actually drive the amount of development and supportive of no further business development on the west or large housing development. On the west side of two 70, we can keep all that on the east side and protect the mountain and the rural nature of the community, which is the attractive aspect of the area. So I wanna thank you for your efforts then for.

Audio File

You can change or disable notifications like these on the [project settings tab](#).

Comments on Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan. August 11, 2022.

My name is Steve Poteat and I live on Sugarloaf Mountain Road. Our family has lived on this area for over 70 years and on this property for over 50 years. I have been an active member of the Stakeholders Group for over 2 years. I wish all members of the Stakeholders Group had participated more actively. Without their active participation I am concerned that they literally “do not see the forest for the trees.” **I support this Plan. I do have concerns about four issues.** But, first and foremost, let’s remember the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan is part of the Green Infrastructure of the Livable Frederick Master Plan. It is a preservation Plan not a development Plan.

Concern 1: Transportation Realities. My main objection is the discussion of transportation issues on page 54 and in Chapter 5. The Plan suggests development in the near future on the west side of I-270 based on road and transit improvements that have little likelihood of taking place in the foreseeable future. Such improvements may never be justified in light of changing financial, employment and climate conditions. In fact, last week the Federal Highway Administration halted in the Maryland Governor’s Opportunity Lanes project to take a closer look at the environmental impact study. Support for this project seems tenuous. Clearly the Opportunity Lanes effort is a 20th century solution to a 21st century problem.

Previous versions of the Sugarloaf Plan discussed transit oriented development in Urbana. But with no transit there can be no transit oriented development. The likely failure of the privately-financed Maryland Opportunity Lanes project which is focused on the Washington Metropolitan Area will support Frederick’s home grown economic development, especially

with the changes taking place in work places and commuting patterns as more people work from home. For those requiring a commute to the Washington area, expansion of the MARC commuter rail system, supported by governments in the Metropolitan region, will address this need.

Concern 2: Market Demands The developer pushing for development on the west side of I-270 is the same developer who had rezoned 250 acres of employment land to residential land on the east side of I-270 citing a lack of demand. This took 4 million square feet of employment development away from Urbana, the equivalent of 40 one hundred thousand square foot buildings. Now the developer of the Knowledge Farms employment center is trying to do the same. Why does the County need employment land on the west side of I-270 if developers cannot market the employment land already on the east side?

Concern 3: Support the Overlay Zone. One critical purpose for the Overlay zone in addition to protecting unique and essential environmental resources is to prohibit destructive uses. These include gun firing ranges and rubble fills that are completely inconsistent with the Sugarloaf region and cannot be prohibited any other way. In addition expansion of the additional commercial zoning on the west side of I-270 is inappropriate and will result in a major commercial complex that will encourage more development in the Sugarloaf area.

Concern 4: Forest Protection. A note for those who say our forests do not need additional protection. We personally have done everything the State and County have required during three harvest cuts over the last 40 years: Forestry Management Plans, use of a registered forester, sediment control plans, and prereview of the logging operations by the Forestry Board. But

it all gets down to who signs the contract to harvest the trees. The Forestry Board has never done a post-harvest inspection. The increasing level of woodland damage has become unacceptable. If Frederick County truly wants to promote and protect its forest lands, our experience suggests that stricter regulations and inspections are needed.

Thank you for considering my comments. Please preserve and protect the Sugarloaf region.

From: [Johanna Springston](#)
To: [Council Members](#)
Subject: Sugarloaf Plan comments
Date: Thursday, August 11, 2022 11:34:20 AM
Attachments: [Comments to the Count Council on Sugarloaf Plan 8-11-22.docx](#)

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Attached are the comments that I just recorded for tonight's County Council meeting. Please share my comments with the Council members.

Thank you,

Johanna Springston
8101 Fingerboard Rd.
Frederick, MD 21704

Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan

Comments to County Council

08/11/2022

My husband and I have lived on a ~119 acre historic farm on Peters Road since 1980. The property consists of open fields and forested areas with Bennet Creek flowing through it. We have worked to protect and enhance the historical and natural aspects of the property, putting portions of the farm into the Conservation Reserve Program.

We both appreciate the county staff efforts that have gone into this plan and strongly support it in concept, but feel the following areas need to be addressed for a successful outcome.

1. It is important to maintain the boundaries outlined by the Montgomery County Line, the Monocacy River and the Monocacy National Battlefield Park, as previously recommended, to protect the area and the Rural Heritages overlay zoning should be applied over the entire area.
2. Any recent expansion of commercial zoning on the west side of 270 should be removed and any future expansion halted, but allow commercial operations already in place to continue their current businesses. This would include areas around the I270 interchange and the Route 80 / Park Mills Road crossroads.
3. The recent text change on page 54 of the plan needs to be removed as it opens the plan to be revised in a very short period of time, which could favor development over protection and preservation efforts.
4. The Overlay Zone also needs to be supported to prevent land uses inconsistent with the goals of the plan.
5. The preservation goals of the plan need to be fully supported – If not now, when? The opportunity is NOW..

Thank you
Karla & Bill Stoner

Dolan, Mary

From: Kristen Morrison <klmkmor@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2022 6:19 PM
To: Council Members
Subject: Sugarloaf Draft Plan

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Kristen Morrison
1820 Mt Ephraim Road
Adamstown, MD 21710

Dear Council Members,

I drove home today by taking the back roads from Montgomery County. I took 355 North until Rockville Pike became Frederick Road and turned left on Comus Road and then right on Mt Ephraim Road. Its a beautiful day and the scenic countryside views of rolling hills and Sugarloaf Mt where spectacular. Driving over Mt Ephraim's gravel road through the cool forest was soothing on such a hot summer day. These vistas humbly reminded me that we people who live in Frederick County have a duty to keep this kind of beauty protected in Frederick County. If we don't preserve the rural character that is proposed in the Sugarloaf Draft Plan area west of I-270, then it will soon be gone. Just as it is now gone where 355 becomes Urbana Pike. I support the Sugarloaf preservation plan.

Sincerely,
Kristen Morrison

Dolan, Mary

From: Theresa Schneider <schneidertm2@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, August 10, 2022 11:58 PM
To: Council Members
Subject: Sugarloaf Plan Comment

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Hi there! I wrote in a few weeks back to express my support of the Sugarloaf Plan. I want to reiterate how valuable I think it will be to our community to preserve Sugarloaf's natural beauty and protect its wildlife. Frederick County already has many rapidly developing areas, and we need to keep some of those rural areas undeveloped lest we lose the features that attract people to Frederick in the first place.

In addition to my previous support of the plan, I wanted to express some concern about the following addition on page 54: "The scale and scope of future planning for the Urbana Community Growth Area or the I-270 corridor may determine the degree and extent of lands within the Sugarloaf Planning Area, if any, and may result in a limited plan amendment to the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan." I'm concerned that it weakens the plan's goal of protecting this area and its wildlife. I hope you'll reconsider and remove this statement.

Thank you for the time and effort you have put into considering the Sugarloaf Plan. I remain impressed by the folks who crafted and reviewed this plan with such care.

Sincerely,
Theresa

From: [Johanna Springston](#)
To: [Council Members](#)
Subject: Sugarloaf Plan comments
Date: Thursday, August 11, 2022 11:34:20 AM
Attachments: [Comments to the Count Council on Sugarloaf Plan 8-11-22.docx](#)

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Attached are the comments that I just recorded for tonight's County Council meeting. Please share my comments with the Council members.

Thank you,

Johanna Springston
8101 Fingerboard Rd.
Frederick, MD 21704

My name is Johanna Springston and I live at 8101 Fingerboard Rd. My husband and I own a farm that starts at the corner of Fingerboard and Parks Mill Rd. This farm was started by my grandparents one hundred years ago.

- I want to voice my support for the Sugarloaf Plan as a **preservation** plan that is sorely needed in southern Frederick County.
- I think the current boundaries of the Plan are correct and that you should retain those boundaries.
- I would like to see the **preservation** overlay apply to the entire Plan area, including the businesses at the Rt.80/270 interchange. All of these businesses can continue to operate as they currently are within the overlay.
- I would like you to reconsider the rezoning of the Potomac Garden Center. Adding additional commercial zoning to the Plan area contradicts a major goal of this Plan: **preservation** of the Sugarloaf area. If approved, the property owner will then have close to 20 acres of commercial property that could be sold and developed into a commercial enterprise that is not compatible with the **preservation** goals of the Sugarloaf Plan.
- I would like the language that is on p. 54 of the plan that allows for the Sugarloaf Plan to be amended when the I-270 corridor plan is under review to be deleted. If such action occurs, all the hard work of creating this **preservation** plan will be destroyed. I want to be able to trust that this is a long-term plan focused on **preservation**.
- Finally, I want to share my concern that this plan is not being developed in a transparent manner. I think every citizen of Frederick County who wishes to participate in the development of this Plan should have an equal opportunity to be heard.
- It is now coming to light that the developer who owns farm land in the Sugarloaf area (some of it right across the road from me) may have had undue influence on the State Department of Commerce's comments which support, not **preservation**, but development in the Plan area.
- It remains to be seen what, if any, influence he has had behind the scenes on Frederick County officials.

- I may be naïve, but it is my expectation, that Frederick County has a fair and open land use planning process.
- I urge the County Council to restore the public's trust in the process through their review and adoption of a Sugarloaf Plan that is truly focused on **preservation**.

Dolan, Mary

From: TERRY OLAND <terry.oland@comcast.net>
Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2022 5:19 PM
To: Council Members
Subject: Sugarloaf Plan

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

I support the current plan as written with the exception of page 54 that could allow changes to the plan at a later date. Keep it AS IS !!!

Terry Oland
2409 Thurston Road

Dolan, Mary

From: Lisa Shereika <lisashereika@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2022 10:32 PM
To: Council Members
Subject: Sugarloaf

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Please preserve Sugarloaf and knock off the overdevelopment. We now have a disorganized , bulging County that is way behind in infrastructure and a second hospital .

Hopefully you will not be in office come November.

There must be a few people left in Frederick who have integrity and care about quality of life
Greed & pride seems to take precedence.

One can only hope .

Mrs Shereika

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

Dolan, Mary

From: Goodfellow, Tim
Sent: Friday, August 12, 2022 10:29 AM
To: Brandt, Kimberly G.
Subject: RE: New voicemail for County Council

Saved!

From: Brandt, Kimberly G. <KGBrandt@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Sent: Friday, August 12, 2022 10:05 AM
To: Goodfellow, Tim <TGoodfellow@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: FW: New voicemail for County Council

Another batch...

From: Cherney, Ragen <RCherney@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2022 4:47 PM
To: Brandt, Kimberly G. <KGBrandt@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Cc: Mitchell, Kathy (Legal) <KMitchell2@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: FW: New voicemail for County Council

Sugarloaf record.

Ragen Cherney

Chief of Staff/Legislative Director
Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049



From: Luna, Nancy <NLuna@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2022 4:21 PM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Cc: County Council Staff <CountyCouncilStaff@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: FW: New voicemail for County Council

From: +13013492024

Message Transcription: My name is Ellen Gordon. I've lived in Montgomery, county's agricultural reserve for 34 years. Our property is about a half mile from the border with Frederick county, and we have a clear view across forests and farm fields to Sugarloaf mountain. As we hike the mountain frequently, we often see the numbers of people from the region that also enjoy its trails and the views into both counties. Since I do most of my shopping in the town of

Frederick, I get to appreciate driving through the planning area that is west of I 20 70, some of which might receive dense development. If you allow the change in boundary to occur threatening farms and rural homesteads, I strongly support the plans. I 20 70 boundary from Montgomery county to the monos. I also support the plan's preservation goals for the Sugarloaf area. I oppose a specific paragraph you're considering on page 54, which looks like it was added at the last minute, without an opportunity for public comment. It says the scale and scope of future planning for the Urbana community growth area or the I 20 70 quarter may determine the degree and extent of lands within the Sugarloaf planning area, if any, and may result in a limited plan amendment to the Sugarloaf treasured landscape management plan. This appears to create an explicit opportunity for developers to quickly push for plan changes. And this, despite more than two years of work and public input on the current version, sadly, I believe that proponents of these changes are using scare tactics to gain support. I've seen some printed signs on my drives to Frederick that state, that this fight is about protecting property, right? So that owners don't experience a decrease in the value of their land. The truth is the zoning changes from a change from ag to RC in the rural heritage overlay zone is just for stream margins with steep sides and trees or, or trees areas that aren't tillable and aren't buildable. It's a better descriptor of the land and it's not going to impact the value of properties. Thank you.

[Audio File](#)

You can change or disable notifications like these on the [project settings tab](#).

From: +12404400117

Message Transcription: My name is Elizabeth law, and I live at 1758 Wayfield drive in Frederick, Maryland. Thank you for the opportunity to speak in support of the treasured, the Sugarloaf treasured landscape plan. Two aspects of the plan are crucial for inclusion. The plan's I 20 70 boundary from Montgomery county to the Monsey river and the application of the Sugarloaf rural heritage overlay zoning district to protect the entire area of the plan. However, recently included language on page 54 of the plan should be removed since the vague language provides a loophole for developers to drive their construction equipment through the language includes quote the scale and scope of future planning for the Urbana community growth area or the I 20 70 corridor may result in a limited plan amendment of the Sugarloaf treasured management plan. I question, where are the terms, Urbana community growth area, and I 20 70 corridor defined. What are the specifics of a quote unquote limited plan amendment allowing commercial and industrial development. On the west side of I 20 70 would establish a change in the character of the area leading to justification for further expansion, permanent destruction of existing agricultural and natural areas and wire enforced resources would resolve of special concern given climate change. And the intense development in Frederick county is maintaining water quality industrialization and dense housing development will Contin will contribute in permeable services and multiple pollutants and contribute to flooding. However, there is a solution. The next master plan is the south Frederick S plan. Why not invite the developers to work with stakeholders, to provide housing and commercial opportunities in the south Frederick corridor instead of Sugarloaf, the Sugarloaf area is unique and precious and is emblematic of why Frederick county is livable to fail, to protect this treasure from encroaching development would be to invalidate what livable Frederick hopes to achieve. Thank you.

[Audio File](#)

You can change or disable notifications like these on the [project settings tab](#).

From: +13019085400

Message Transcription: Hello. My name is Penelope McCray. I'm calling in support of the Sugarloaf, the, the front, the Sugarloaf area. That's going to be preserved. I've owned property there since 1990. And I have seen how the area to the east of two 70 has been developed over the years into this high density area, which is now urban. But I remember back in the nineties when there was very little there. So I think it's very important that we preserve the rural character on the west side of two 70. I find that the, the boundary lines, which are so easily defined with Montgomery county lines and Frederick county line and two 70 and the Mony river, they're very easily defined, defining this area. And I think that's

very important. I don't think you should allow any cutouts or jigsaw parcels to be excluded in this area. The rural area is important, and if we can keep it rural, you know, someday this is gonna be as important as the, the mall in DC, just to have some area with some green space. So please, please support this property, the boundary, and preserve this area of Sugarloaf mountain. Thank you.

[Audio File](#)

You can change or disable notifications like these on the [project settings tab](#).

From: +12408321657

Message Transcription: My name's Scott Madill. I support the plan from I two 70 boundary. Really want to keep sugar loaf area preserved.

[Audio File](#)

You can change or disable notifications like these on the [project settings tab](#).

From: gabe@gabesservices.com

To: Y51781@PublicInput.com

Subject: Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan

To whom it may concern;

My name is Gabe Lawson. My wife (Rose) and I own the farm located at the road closure at the end of Monocacy Bottom Road. We have been the proud owners of this unique property for approximately 20 years. We purchased it due to its extensive Monocacy River and Bennetts Creek frontages as well as its beautiful views of Sugarloaf Mountain. It is also very productive crop land. A large portion of this property is located in floodplain, so it is not reasonable to utilize that land for anything other than crops. We are quite concerned that, yet again, Frederick County is trying to "grab" our precious land and control it. We have been good stewards of this property and would never do anything that would harm it. Actually, we have continuously taken actions to enhance its value.

This property is also on a very narrow dead end road. With this restriction and given the amount of flood plain, this property is not reasonable to develop.

Obviously, "down zoning" would decrease its value and restrict the uses that are now available to us, most, if not all of which, would have to navigate the permit process, anyway.

Due to the above, we strongly oppose the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan for this property. There is absolutely no reason or advantage for you to down zone and / or control this particular tract of land. Therefore, we respectfully request that this property be removed from the +/- 22,000 acre Sugarloaf area that is being considered for the above mentioned Plan action.

Please feel free to contact me for further discussion at 301-788-7900.

Respectfully submitted,

Gabe Lawson

Dolan, Mary

From: Luna, Nancy
Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2022 12:37 PM
To: Council Members
Cc: County Council Staff
Subject: New voicemails for County Council from Public Input

To: +18559252801
From: +13017483641

Message Transcription: My name's Andy McIntosh. I have a 250 acre farm and I farm and I do I'm opposed to everything that you're doing with the Sugarloaf plan and just leave us alone. I don't want to be down zoned. I, I basically am opposed to everything. Sugarloaf mountain is opposed to everything and just stop doing this. Appreciate it. Thank you.

[Audio File](#)

You can change or disable notifications like these on the [project settings tab](#).

From: +13018024136

Message Transcription: This is Terry Clark Dixon road, Frederick Meron. I'm calling to support the Sugarloaf Alliance plan for the Frederick county council, the Sugarloaf and the planning board worked on the plan two years to make I two 70, the Western valley of the county. We need to keep the Thurston road Dixon road areas as rural roads in the Frederick county Sugarloaf area, as unique to both Montgomery and Frederick county. And we need to keep it that way.

[Audio File](#)

You can change or disable notifications like these on the [project settings tab](#).

From: +13016398584

Message Transcription: My name is Stan Mordenski 11401 meadowlark drive, Ijamsville, Maryland 21754. I strongly support the preservation of the Sugarloaf plan boundaries from I two 70 to the Monsey river. The highly valued livable Frederick plan supports the preservation of natural and historic areas. Preserving the Sugarloaf plan is a healthy choice on the mind, body and soul of our Frederick county citizens and our visitors. I do not know of anyone who takes a Sunday drive to see development a long route 3 55, but many of us take a Sunday, drive out into the country to see natural areas and open space. People need natural settings and open space to nurture that mind, body, and soul. Please consider that the Sugarloaf area does much more than what different health professionals do just by going for a ride, going for a hike or taking in the beauty from some scenic location that once we alter this area, it will never be the same. We need to preserve. Thank you very much for your time.

[Audio File](#)

You can change or disable notifications like these on the [project settings tab](#).

From: +13016936659

Message Transcription: This is Barbara Ilexing. We think the text on page 54, providing for limited planned amendment is not the innocuous verbiage. It purports to be. It opens the door for urban sprawl, which undermines the entirety of the Sugarloaf sugar loaf. Mountain is the most notable gift for mother nature in Southern Frederick county and should be protected, which also means preserving the surrounding land influenced by the mountain rainfall, wildlife and tree propagation. Don't start and end at the mountain. Those gifts from mother nature extend far out into the surrounding area. So to save the mountain is to save the surrounding land overall eliminate any such amendment allowing for land development and urban sprawl on this side of two 70, hold the line at two.

[Audio File](#)

You can change or disable notifications like these on the [project settings tab](#).

Dolan, Mary

From: Luna, Nancy
Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2022 1:21 PM
To: Council Members
Cc: County Council Staff
Subject: New voicemails for County Council from Public Input

To: +18559252801
From: +13014523249

Message Transcription: I am calling in support of the Sugarloaf planning area plan to be, to include the entire area from Montgomery county to, to line to the Mony river. And from I two 70 to the mountain, I live off of Dixon road. This area is a special area, an area of, of scenery and, and should be preserved against the, the heavy dense population on the east side of I two 70. So I would support this plan without any possibilities of exempt exemptions from it. As in outlined in paragraph 54.

[Audio File](#)

You can change or disable notifications like these on the [project settings tab](#).

From: +12022565255

Message Transcription: Hello, this is Robert Huntington at 1137 Sugarloaf mountain road in Dickerson. And I'm calling to support the, the I two 70 boundary from Montgomery county to the Mony as being the appropriate boundary, certainly support the preservation goals for the area. And I want things to stay that way and the roads that are near the, where the paragraph on page 54, which changed are not currently useful for anything other than residential use. And they will immediately become jammed and it will simply cause things to spread. So I really believe that any development should remain on the east side of I two 70. Thank you.

[Audio File](#)

You can change or disable notifications like these on the [project settings tab](#).

From: +13013040028

Message Transcription: Hello. My name is Catherine White and I live at 25 17 Homewood drive in Frederick. I'm calling to express my support for the Sugarloaf treasured landscape management plan. We need to preserve all the green space and trees we can in order to make sure that there is fresh air and natural beauty for our grandchildren. Please maintain the I two 70 boundary to keep development to the east side of the county. I live in Frederick city and we already have a stream of wildlife such as bears and coyotes that are being driven out of their natural habitat by building projects everywhere you turn. And I oppose the paragraph on page 54 that would allow short term plan amendments for developers to eWAY at our remaining green space. They are like Pacman Runamok. Thank you for the opportunity to voice my concerns.

[Audio File](#)

You can change or disable notifications like these on the [project settings tab](#).

From: +13015385142

Message Transcription: Hi, this is Judy. I definitely vote for the Sugarloaf plan to amp to ensure that the area is available for all future generations, outdoor enthusiast, hikers, bikers, horseback riders, nature lovers. Thank you.

[Audio File](#)

You can change or disable notifications like these on the [project settings tab](#).

Dolan, Mary

From: Pandora Gunsallus <gunsalpp@comcast.net>
Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2022 5:23 PM
To: Council Members
Subject: Sugarloaf Preservation Plan

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Dear County Council Members,

Hi, my name is Pandora Gunsallus and I own about 25 acres of farmland, 13 acres being farmed and the remainder is wooded. My parcel sits near the corner of Rt. 80 and Parks Mill Rd. with a nice view of Sugarloaf Mountain. My farm is part of a larger original farm that was 366 acres, bought by my grandparents, at present about 110 years ago. It operated as a dairy farm. The address is 3350 Parks Mill Road. My parcel sits behind and abuts the farm owned by Johanna Springston, which is directly across the road (Rt. 80) from the large tract of farmland owned by Tom Natelli.

I have been focusing on this preservation issue since about October 2020, when I wrote a letter in support of maintaining Hope Hill as a whole and not bisecting that community for development.

I am writing today in support of the current Sugarloaf Plans I270 boundary remaining from the Montgomery county line to the Monocacy River.

I support the plans Plans preservation goals for the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

We must maintain the integrity of Sugarloaf Mountain and the historical civil war battlefield areas. That is why I'm in favor of holding the line of development at I270.

I oppose the last minute addition of the paragraph on page 54 opening the door to short-term Plan amendments because it creates an explicit opportunity for developers to quickly push for plan changes despite the more than two years of work and public input on the Sugarloaf plan.

I've said in previous letters that it's a slippery slope if the text is not rock solid on maintaining the boundary where it has been historically at I 270. I reject the text that leaves the door open for developers to amend the plan at a later time and want that idea or language taken out.

I urge all the County Council members to vote for the Sugarloaf plan with opposition to the text on page 54 that could allow for short term plan amendments.

I also support open and transparent government with the proper public input. I have written and emailed public comments and have been listening to most every single meeting for the last two years, with my focus on every single detail of this process.

I want to sincerely thank you for all the hard work that has gone into maintaining the Sugarloaf Plan as a Preservation Plan for the good of Frederick County's future.

I appreciate the opportunity to have my voice heard in the County and home that I love so dearly.

Pandora Gunsallus
241 Cynthia Drive
Canonsburg PA. 15317
gunsalpp@comcast.net
724-514-7228

Sent from my iPad

Dolan, Mary

From: PJBanas@Comcast.Net
Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2022 12:44 PM
To: Council Members
Subject: Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Hello:

I would like to thank the Frederick County Council for the opportunity to let me express these views.

My name is Paul Banas. I have lived in Urbana for close to 40 years, 38 to be exact. As the expression goes, the only thing constant is change. We have witnessed tremendous change in this area of the county, some good and some, well, perhaps less so.

While I am not anti-growth, I do almost fully support the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan for Frederick County. This plan helps balance all the change that has already taken place, especially over the last 25 years, and which continues to take place with a focus on the areas east of I-270. It is my hope the plan will help manage future change and preserve the rural, less developed nature of the areas west of I-270 for what they are today - a wonderful, beautiful and unique jewel not only for Frederick County but for the state of Maryland as well.

I would like to add that while I support the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan, I am opposed to the text on page 54, which allows for limited plan amendments. I believe this offers too easy an opportunity to scale back the scope and infringe upon the preservation aspect of the plan. I would suggest that this language be struck from the approved plan. The bar for potential future alterations to the plan should be set high to allow for maximum community input.

Thank you for your consideration and anticipated support of this critical plan for the future of Frederick County.

Respectfully,

Paul Banas

APPROVE THE SUGARLOAF PLAN

We, the undersigned call on the County Council to adopt the Planning Commission's recommended Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan as a long-term land use management document.

- We support the Plan's long-term purpose of preserving the character of Sugarloaf Mountain, its surrounding area, and the precious natural resources of the region.
- We support the Plan's boundary as recommended to the Frederick County Council by the Frederick County Planning Commission in July 2022, which includes all the area to the west of I-270 from the Monocacy National Battlefield to the Montgomery County line. This boundary continues the County's commitment to its long-held delineation: intensive residential, commercial and industrial development should continue to be limited to the east of I-270, and the bucolic character of agricultural and conservation lands should continue to be preserved to the west of I-270.
- We support the application of the Overlay District within the entire boundary area in order to meet the county's long-range vision for the preservation and protection of the natural resources and rural landscape of the Sugarloaf Area and the vicinity of the nearby Monocacy National Battlefield.
- We oppose the language on page 54 of the draft Plan suggesting that amendments to the Plan boundary or Overlay may be made in the short-term. We support the Livable Frederick vision that County Council-approved Area Plans should guide Frederick County's long-term land use planning.

Dolan, Mary

From: johannaspringston <johannaspringston@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 9:00 AM
To: Nick Carrera; Council Members
Subject: RE: Comments on the Sugarloaf Overlay

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Very well stated, Nick. It does fell like jumping the gun, doesn't it?

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

----- Original message -----

From: Nick Carrera <mjcarrera@comcast.net>
Date: 8/15/22 8:45 AM (GMT-05:00)
To: Council Members <councilmembers@frederickcountymd.gov>
Cc: "Carrera, Nicholas" <mjcarrera@comcast.net>
Subject: Comments on the Sugarloaf Overlay

Please see my comments. In the allowed three minutes, I couldn't offer all the things I'd have liked to say at your last meeting.

Best regards,

Nick Carrera; 2602 Thurston Rd, 21704

OVERLAY COMMENTS to the County Council August 15, 2022

from Nicholas Carrera, 2602 Thurston Road, Frederick 21704

The Sugarloaf Rural Heritage Overlay Zoning District, or “Overlay,” is an important feature of the Sugarloaf Plan. By its “overlay” of limitations on land use it will help to achieve the goals and Vision of the Plan, as stated on page 53: “The Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan contains policies and initiatives for the protection of the Planning Area’s natural resources and the long-term health and integrity of the rural landscape. For these reasons, and to help achieve the Plan’s Vision, the creation of a Sugarloaf Rural Heritage Overlay Zoning District is proposed ...”

It seems axiomatic that the Overlay should cover the entire area of the Plan. To exclude some areas is to retreat from the noble aims quote above. As Commissioner Hicks said at the February 9 meeting of the Planning Commission, “Ideally, the best solution is an Overlay that accomplishes the goals of the Plan, that could be applied to the entire planning area.” Full coverage is also the simplest approach. It is easy to understand, treats all areas equally, and is therefore the most easily defensible. This was the approach chosen in the March 2022 draft of the Plan (Map 4-6, page 66).

Nevertheless, the “full Overlay” of the March 2022 draft Plan is not the one presented for County Council’s consideration, in the July 2022 draft Plan. What happened to the earlier, ideal vision??

Prior to the June 15 Planning Commission meeting, the planning staff was apparently instructed to encourage the Commission to choose a limited Overlay. A specific, limited Overlay was depicted in the power point slides, and this formed the basis for discussion. Full coverage was mentioned as a “possibility,” as were other limited Overlays, but attention did not stray far from the Overlay that staff had prepared. This was ultimately accepted, and is depicted in the July 2022 draft (Map 4-6, page 65). Three properties are excluded: the Potomac Garden Center, the Kannavis Dispensary, and the Greenbriar pet hospital.

What reasons were given for the limited Overlay? Easiest to understand, although not the best reason, was that the owners had asked for it, as noted by Kimberly Brandt. Principal justification, however, was the expectation of a mass-transit hub at Urbana. (Urbana plans as early as 1972 talk of such a possibility, and the Livable Frederick Master Plan allows for the possibility of mass-transit running up the east side of I-270.) In discussion it was simply *assumed* that such a hub on the east side of I-270 would affect nearby areas on the west side of I-270 in some way. Just how would areas be affected? Would the transit hub actually *require* an exclusion from the Overlay? How large an area would be best: smaller than the three properties? larger than the three properties? or was exclusion of those three properties, as in *Goldilocks and the three bears*, “just right”?

None of these important questions was answered, but the Commissioners accepted the Overlay proposed by planning staff. If that stands, it leaves the Council and the county with a challenge.

If the present, limited Overlay is to be legally enacted, justification will be needed. But of necessity, this can be only weakly justified now. Can we know what will be needed so far in the future? Why this size exclusion from the Overlay no more, no less? Why not wait until mass-transit is actually built? Its effects on nearby property can then be precisely known, and needed changes made to the Sugarloaf Plan at that time. **Why not make a full Overlay now, and wait to see how it works out?**

End of comments.

Comments to the County Council Meeting on Sugarloaf Plan 8/15/22

My name is Johanna Springston and I live at 8101 Fingerboard Rd. and I offer the following comments for your consideration:

- I support the Sugarloaf Plan as currently drafted with the boundary at I-270, but suggest a few changes. I would like to see the preservation overlay extended over the entire area and I would like to see the language on p. 54 changed so that this Plan is a long-term one and not simply amended in a few years.
- For the past year, I have been participating in the public debate on the Sugarloaf Plan. I listened to last Thursday's meeting with great interest. I was glad to hear Councilmembers Hagan and McKay question the assumption that there will be an interchange at Parks Mill Rd. Such far-off and uncertain assumptions should not be a basis for decisions made today about this preservation plan.
- I was also encouraged to hear them question the language on p. 54 which suggests this Plan will be amended in a few years to accommodate the I-270 Corridor and Urbana Growth Area Plans. The Sugarloaf Plan should be adopted as a long-term preservation plan.
- To my surprise, I also heard a few callers saying that there is no development threat west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf area. I hope they were still listening when the developer who owns 600 acres called in and reiterated his desire to develop on the west side of I-270. Perhaps these callers are new to the process, but if they go back and read letters and listen to comments to the Planning Commission, they will find that the developer has been crystal clear in his intent to develop on the west side of I-270.
- I think the biggest issue concerning the Sugarloaf Plan is not government overreach. It is developer overreach. The developer, who has developed quite a bit on the east side of I-270, reached over 270 and bought land on the west side with the intent to develop it. He did this knowing full well that the County did not have plans to develop on the west side and that the County did not have plans to build infrastructure to support development on the west side.
- The biggest threat to the Sugarloaf Plan is development on the west side of I-270. If it is allowed, it will compromise the integrity of this preservation plan.
- There is plenty of space on the east side of I-270 to pursue economic development. There is apparently so much space that the developer changed the zoning on land that

was slated for economic development to housing. So, housing is being built on the south and north sides of the Rt. 80 interchange—the I-270 corridor.

- If economic development is so important in the I-270 corridor and at the interchange, why is housing being built there? And, I wonder what comments the State Department of Commerce would have offered to trading employment for more housing?
- I encourage the County Council not to buy into these fallacious arguments. Adopt the Sugarloaf Plan as a preservation plan that can endure for years to come.

Dolan, Mary

From: margaret <margaretkel7071@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 5:53 PM
To: Council Members
Subject: Preservation

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Council Members,
"When life feels too big to handle, go outside. Everything looks smaller when you're standing under the sky." L.R. Knost
More and more people are discovering the truth in this quote. I have been taking advantage of the beauty of the Sugarloaf area for over 40 years. It has been a grounding force in my life for decades. As time goes on, more people are coming to the Sugarloaf area to experience the beauty and to feel the same peace. Open space cannot be easily reclaimed once lost. Please consider the sacred quality of nature and preserve this area for future generations.

Thank you,
Margaret Kelley

Sent from my iPad

Dolan, Mary

From: Sue Trainor <sue.trainor.music@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 4:10 PM
To: Council Members; Cherney, Ragen
Subject: Sugarloaf Alliance Petition - Current Signers
Attachments: 22.07.25 Sugarloaf Plan Petition.docx; 22.08.15 petition_signatures_jobs_34088643_20220815192443.txt; 22.08.15 petition_comments_jobs_34088643_20220815193131.txt

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]



To: Frederick County Council
From: Sugarloaf Alliance
RE: Current Sugarloaf Petition Signatures and Comments

As you consider Section 4 of the Sugarloaf Plan, the Sugarloaf Alliance would like to offer you an interim status report on the petition we have posted at [Change.org](https://www.change.org).

We have attached a copy of the petition text. As of this writing, there are 661 signatures in support of the Sugarloaf Plan and against the inserted page 54 language. Some signers left comments; those are attached as well.

Thank you for your consideration.

Steve Black, President
Sue Trainor, Vice President
Nick Carrera, Treasurer
Johanna Springston, Secretary

APPROVE THE SUGARLOAF PLAN

We, the undersigned call on the County Council to adopt the Planning Commission's recommended Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan as a long-term land use management document.

- We support the Plan's long-term purpose of preserving the character of Sugarloaf Mountain, its surrounding area, and the precious natural resources of the region.
- We support the Plan's boundary as recommended to the Frederick County Council by the Frederick County Planning Commission in July 2022, which includes all the area to the west of I-270 from the Monocacy National Battlefield to the Montgomery County line. This boundary continues the County's commitment to its long-held delineation: intensive residential, commercial and industrial development should continue to be limited to the east of I-270, and the bucolic character of agricultural and conservation lands should continue to be preserved to the west of I-270.
- We support the application of the Overlay District within the entire boundary area in order to meet the county's long-range vision for the preservation and protection of the natural resources and rural landscape of the Sugarloaf Area and the vicinity of the nearby Monocacy National Battlefield.
- We oppose the language on page 54 of the draft Plan suggesting that amendments to the Plan boundary or Overlay may be made in the short-term. We support the Livable Frederick vision that County Council-approved Area Plans should guide Frederick County's long-term land use planning.

Name	City	State	Postal Code	Country	Signed On
Sue Trainor				US	8/1/22
Ingrid Rosencrantz	Frederick			MD	21704 US 8/2/22
Kristen Morrison	Adamstown			MD	21710 US 8/2/22
Kyle Rosencrantz	Riverdale Park			MD	20737 US 8/2/22
Karen Lynch	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/2/22
Larry Fortin	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
Jeremy Wallick	Parkville	MD	21234	US	8/2/22
Susan Johnston	Baltimore	MD	21214	US	8/2/22
Steve Black				US	8/2/22
Sharon Wallick	Brunswick	MD	21716	US	8/2/22
Gary J Thuro	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
William Steigelmann	Jefferson			MD	21755 US 8/2/22
Maddie Black	Adamstown			21710	US 8/2/22
Lindy Black	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	8/2/22
Lisa Shereika	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/2/22
Wiliam Aschenbach				US	8/2/22
Maureen Heavner	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
Karen Cannon	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/2/22
Jayme Levy Duva	Silver Spring	MD	20902	US	8/2/22
Olivia French	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/2/22
Anne Black	Adamstown			21710	US 8/2/22
Steve Nothwehr	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/2/22
Elizabeth Law	Frederick	MD	21703	US	8/2/22
Bev Thoms	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/2/22
Patrice Gallagher	Frederick			MD	21703 US 8/2/22
Gil Rocha	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/2/22
Robert Ladner	Ijamsville	MD	21754	US	8/2/22
Mary Jane Foster	Frederick			MD	21791 US 8/2/22
Terry Oland	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
John Lyons	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
Catherine Lawhon	Frederick			MD	21701 US 8/2/22
D'Ann WILLIAMS	Baltimore	MD	21218	US	8/2/22
Gretchen Rosencrantz	Frederick			MD	21704 US 8/2/22
Eric Cronquist	Beallsville	MD	20839	US	8/2/22
james brown	Barnesville	MD	20838	US	8/2/22
Angela Burke	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/2/22
Margy & Curran Simpson	Frederick			MD	21704-8158 US 8/2/22
Yeung Lee	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
Susan Lyons	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
Margaret Black	Black	Mansfield		OH	44906 US 8/2/22
Julie Halstead	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/2/22
Barbara Rosvold	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/2/22
Lorie Knott-Bacorn	Burlington			WV	26710 US 8/2/22
Scot Madill	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
Margaret Kelley	Barnesville	MD	20838	US	8/2/22
DJ Claypool	North Bergen	NJ	7047	US	8/2/22
Bill Chester	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
Arthur Spitzer	Chevy Chase	MD	20815	US	8/2/22
Pamela Burke	Walkersville	MD	21793	US	8/2/22

Johanna Springston	Washington	DC	20002	US	8/2/22
barbara luchsinger	Baltimore	MD	21211	US	8/2/22
Joanne Dinsmore	Pottstown PA	19464	US	8/2/22	
Taylor Slaght	Frederick MD	21704	US	8/2/22	
Jane Dennison	Middletown MD	21773	US	8/2/22	
Ellen Gordon	Poolesville MD	20837	US	8/2/22	
Andrew Donaldson	Washington	DC	20011	US	8/2/22
Leslie Novotny	Frederick MD	21704	US	8/2/22	
Alonna Elliott	Frederick MD	21702	US	8/2/22	
Andrew Mackintosh	Frederick MD	21704	US	8/2/22	
Kevin Firmin	Frederick MD	21704	US	8/2/22	
Jason Blackstone	Kernville		93238	US	8/2/22
George Winkler	Denver CO 80202	US	8/2/22		
Alexandra Carrera	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
Jeri Williams	Easley SC 29640	US	8/2/22		
Ivy Rosencrantz	Mount Airy MD	21771	US	8/2/22	
lily buffington	Frederick MD	21704	US	8/2/22	
John Black	Truckee CA 96161	US	8/2/22		
Karyn Wright	Truckee CA 96161	US	8/2/22		
Audrey Houghton	Frederick MD	21704	US	8/2/22	
Heidi Rosencrantz	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
Jack parks	Chino Hills		91709	US	8/3/22
Sharon Dooley	New Market MD	21774	US	8/3/22	
Neesha Patel	Frederick MD	21704	US	8/3/22	
Diana Krop	Frederick MD	21704	US	8/3/22	
Moe Rosencrantz	Frederick MD	21704	US	8/3/22	
Gabriela Pabon	Washington DC	20019	US	8/3/22	
Pamela Ward	Frederick MD	21704	US	8/3/22	
M Anonymous	Carroll county MD	21104	US	8/3/22	
Mason Hill	Monrovia MD	21770	US	8/3/22	
Maggie Hill	Silver Spring MD	20902	US	8/3/22	
Robert Huntington	Dickerson MD	20842	US	8/3/22	
Isabella Costanzo	Frederick MD	21704	US	8/3/22	
Elizabeth Bauer	Middletown MD	21769	US	8/3/22	
John Carrera	Frederick MD	21704	US	8/3/22	
John Neuenschwander	Adamstown MD	21710	US	8/3/22	
Susan Trainor	Frederick MD	21704	US	8/3/22	
Rebecca Richards	Baltimore MD	21214	US	8/3/22	
Kathryn Schaafsma	Baltimore MD	21214	US	8/3/22	
Patti Pangle	Greencastle PA	17225	US	8/3/22	
pamela hamilton	Palo Cedro CA	96073	US	8/3/22	
Sarah Agnello	Frederick MD	21701	US	8/3/22	
Susan Eisendrath	Rockville MD	20853	US	8/3/22	
Fallon Butler	Burlington WV	26710	US	8/3/22	
Larry Martin	Washington DC	20011	US	8/3/22	
Ann Knott	Hinton WV 25951	US	8/3/22		
James Knott sr	Silver Spring MD	20903	US	8/3/22	
Robert Dawson	Morgantown WV	26501	US	8/3/22	
Cathy Ford	Middletown VA	22645	US	8/3/22	
Cynthia Gleason	Gaithersburg MD	20882	US	8/3/22	

ann reeves	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22
doria wosk	miami FL	33116-3356	US	8/3/22	
Ember Carrera	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22
Lauren Paugh	Burlington	WV	26710	US	8/3/22
Paul Wallick	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/3/22
Philora Kittay	Westerly	RI	2891	US	8/3/22
Ashley Pharaoh	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/3/22
Mary Shvodian	San Diego	CA	92109	US	8/3/22
Elaina Garcia	Washington	DC	20018	US	8/3/22
Sarah Pearce	Washington	DC	20003	US	8/3/22
Jesse Martin	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22
Sharon Oland	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22
Nina Shore	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/3/22
Theresa Badum	Washington	DC	20001	US	8/3/22
Audrey Bastian	Garden City	UT	84028	US	8/3/22
Debra Gardner	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/3/22
Sophia Plaschke	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22
Katherine Jones	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/3/22
April Spotts	Keyser WV	26726	US	8/3/22	
Salissa Chavez	Queen Creek		85140	US	8/3/22
Richard Pelzman	Gaithersburg	MD	20878	US	8/3/22
Fredricks Deborah	Washington	DC	20011	US	8/3/22
david taggart	Woodbridge	VA	22193	US	8/3/22
Jennifer Freeman	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/3/22
Sky Cappucci	Middletown	MD	21769	US	8/3/22
Kal Godfroy	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22
Kathryn Partan	Takoma Park	MD	20912	US	8/3/22
Jonathan Salley	New York	NY	10118	US	8/3/22
Lori Aylie	Rochester	NY	14607	US	8/3/22
Gloria Ladouceur	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/3/22
Nicholas Carrera	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22
Gwyn Moran	Frederick	MD	21710	US	8/3/22
Lauren Neisser	Brooklyn	NY	11213	US	8/3/22
Michele Shipp	Gaithersburg	MD	20878	US	8/3/22
Robert Goldberg	Germantown	MD	20874	US	8/3/22
Jackie Hubbard	Decatur GA	30033	US	8/3/22	
Darlene Bucciero	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/3/22
Anna Gorsche	Decatur GA	30030	US	8/3/22	
Jacqueline Ehrner				Sweden	8/3/22
Patrice Wallace	Santa Cruz	CA	95060	US	8/3/22
AVL Kepner	Hagerstown	MD	21740	US	8/3/22
Lisa Kingsley	Washington	DC	20068	US	8/3/22
Mackenzie Houston	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/3/22
Anne Garrett	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/3/22
Smantha Mentzer	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/3/22
Etzar Cisneros	Birmingham	AL	35206	US	8/3/22
L Jones Keyser	WV	26726	US	8/3/22	
Gretchen D Collins	Gaithersburg	MD	20877	US	8/3/22
David Petrou	West Palm Beach	FL	33411	US	8/3/22
Lucas Stafford	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22

Michael Leibfreid	Beacon	NY	12508	US	8/3/22
Dave Hansroth	Middletown	MD	21769	US	8/3/22
Bruce Cornwell	Silver Spring	MD	20906	US	8/3/22
Everett Tarmy			US	8/3/22	
Pamela Day	Alexandria	VA	22307	US	8/3/22
Joshua Curphey	Peterborough		PE7	US	8/3/22
Charles Mansfield	Mt Airy	MD	21771	US	8/3/22
Barbara Schectman	Frederick		MD	21701	US 8/3/22
Grace Whitman	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/3/22
Patricia Imhof	Reston	VA	20190	US	8/3/22
Karin Eckelmeyer	Portola Valley	CA	94028	US	8/3/22
Mary Ann Ford	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/3/22
Lauren Tulis	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22
Irwin Kalson	Selbyville	DE	19975	US	8/3/22
Anna Laidler	East Stroudsburg		PA	18301	US 8/3/22
Benjamin Brenholtz	Poolesville		MD	20837	US 8/3/22
Jennifer Martinez	Lithia	FL	33547	US	8/3/22
Linda Knott	Hinton	WV	25951	US	8/3/22
Karen Thomassen	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/3/22
Lauretta Padgett	Sullivan		IN	47882	US 8/4/22
Ann Andrex	Union Bridge	MD	21791	US	8/4/22
Sarah O'Halloran	Dickerson		MD	20842	US 8/4/22
Hope Hamilton	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	8/4/22
Robert Dean	Potomac	MD	20854	US	8/4/22
Scott Pham	San Diego	CA	92131	US	8/4/22
Austin Ellois	Baton Rouge	LA	70817	US	8/4/22
Suzanne Torkar	Carlsbad	CA	92009	US	8/4/22
Stephen Cook	Middletown	MD	21769	US	8/4/22
Jim Head	Oak Park	MI	48237	US	8/4/22
Liz Erpelding-Garratt	Saint Augustine	FL	32086	US	8/4/22
Dan Lucchesi	Rohnert Park	CA	94928	US	8/4/22
Christy Bumanis	Germantown	MD	20876	US	8/4/22
Toni Koerber	Washington	DC	20002	US	8/4/22
David Haskins	San Diego	CA	92105	US	8/4/22
Rick Mitchell	Huntington	WV	25705	US	8/4/22
Elizabeth Philleo	Knoxville		MD	21758	US 8/4/22
Elizabeth Franklin	Frederick		MD	21704	US 8/4/22
Rebecca Drengwitz	Gaithersburg		MD	20878	US 8/4/22
Jeffrey Wilson	New Market	MD	21774	US	8/4/22
Ann Bickel	Tacoma	WA	98407	US	8/4/22
sherri hedges	Phoenix	AZ	85051	US	8/4/22
Joel F	NY		US	8/4/22	
Darlene Leboeuf	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/4/22
John Lembo	Corpus Christi	TX	78418	US	8/4/22
Elizabeth Spiegl	Brooklyn		NY	11220	US 8/4/22
Maida Wright	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/4/22
Margery Edmundson	Darnestown		MD	20874	US 8/4/22
Ediverto Galvez	Panorama City	CA	91402	US	8/4/22
Cade Herman	Oak Ridge	NJ	7438	US	8/4/22
Kate Harder	Glen Ellyn	IL	60137	US	8/4/22

Victoria Cobos	Brownsville	TX	78520	US	8/4/22
Jaime Turgeon	Richmond	VA	23237	US	8/4/22
Paul Blackburn	Elizabethtown	KY	42701	US	8/4/22
betty winholtz	morro bay	CA	93442	US	8/4/22
Linda Greene	Houston TX	77070	US	8/4/22	
Pat Furgurson	Annapolis	MD	21409	US	8/4/22
Katharine Byron	Williamsport	MD	21795	US	8/4/22
Dallas Cardinale	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/4/22
Allie Taylor	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/4/22
Suzanne Feldman	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/4/22
Jane Davidson	Englewood	NJ	07631	US	8/4/22
Lee Fitzpatrick	Waynesboro	PA	17268	US	8/4/22
Marianne6 McNeil	Silver Spring	MD	20910	US	8/4/22
Joyce Winston	Kensington	NC	20895	US	8/4/22
Sue Fortin	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/4/22
george bourlotos	belleville	NJ	7109	US	8/4/22
Christine Carstens	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/4/22
Christine Turpin	Indian Rocks Beach	FL	33785	US	8/4/22
Marney Bruce	Chevy Chase	MD	20815	US	8/4/22
Ronni Frazier	Columbus	OH	43224	US	8/4/22
Dolores Rosenshein	Smithsburg	MD	21783	US	8/4/22
Stan Mordensky	Monrovia	MD	21770	US	8/4/22
Clifford Ireland	Silver Spring	MD	20910	US	8/4/22
Steven Summerville	Richmond	VA	23234	US	8/4/22
Marling Romero	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/4/22
Ann Connor	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/4/22
Maggie Lora	Silver Spring	MD	20906	US	8/4/22
Katherine Weld	Washington	DC	20011	US	8/4/22
Nancy Van Tine	Colorado Springs	CO	80917	US	8/4/22
Sadie Saba	Ijamsville	MD	21754	US	8/4/22
Amber W Adamstown	MD	21710	US	8/4/22	
Debby Lynn	Washington	DC	20011	US	8/4/22
Richard Reece	Waynesboro	GA	30830-7023	US	8/4/22
Jody Bishop	Myrtle Beach	SC	29577	US	8/4/22
Michelle Fairrow	Langley WA	98260	US	8/4/22	
Tina Brown	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/4/22
Cathy Hare	MD		US	8/4/22	
Michael Woolsey	Albuquerque	NM	87111	US	8/4/22
Craig Harrison	Frederick	MD	21703	US	8/4/22
Mary Pat Wilson	Beallsville	MD	20839	US	8/4/22
DONNA Leavitt	Toms River	NJ	8753	US	8/4/22
Tom Baugher	Washington	DC	20011	US	8/4/22
Erick Hernandez	Rockville	MD	20850	US	8/4/22
Lou Heare	Reston VA	20191	US	8/4/22	
Wilberto Cortes	Westminster	MD	21158	US	8/4/22
Betsy Schrauth	Myrtle Beach	SC	29579	US	8/4/22
Melissa Francis	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/4/22
Becki Smith	Jefferson	MD	21755	US	8/4/22
Darlene Umberger	Washington	DC	20002	US	8/4/22
Gretchen V. Caines	Winston-Salem	NC	27111	US	8/4/22

Jennifer Day	Naples	FL	34112	US	8/4/22		
Caitlin Umberger			Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/4/22
Liz Garratt		FL	3	US	8/4/22		
Marilyn Mick	San Antonio		TX	78239	US	8/4/22	
BRIAN SWARTZ	Gaithersburg		MD	20882	US	8/4/22	
Abigail Brown	Frederick		MD	21704	US	8/4/22	
Liz LaGarde	Frederick		MD	21704	US	8/4/22	
Amaya Serrano	riolobos	Figuieres			17600	Spain	8/4/22
Erin Pierorazio	Frederick		MD	21703	US	8/4/22	
Donald wleklinski	Terre Haute		IN	47803	US	8/4/22	
Terena Knotts	Kannapolis	NC		28083	US	8/4/22	
Sue Ellen Lupien	Maumelle		AR	72113	US	8/4/22	
Wynne Campbell-Heims	Hedgesville		WV	25427	US	8/4/22	
Jon Ziminsky	Loveland	CO		80538	US	8/4/22	
Jennifer Biryukov	Frederick		MD	21704	US	8/4/22	
Laura Van Etten	Dickerson	MD		20842	US	8/4/22	
John Summerville	Fairfax	VA		22031	US	8/5/22	
Alexandra Kaloss	Ijamsville		MD	21754	US	8/5/22	
Michele McKenna	Hagerstown	MD		21740	US	8/5/22	
Ashley Morse	Frederick	MD		21702	US	8/5/22	
Chelsea Noffsinger	Blackwood		NJ	8012	US	8/5/22	
Jacob Noffsinger	Cherry Hill		NJ	8002	US	8/5/22	
Sean Winslow	New York	NY		10009	US	8/5/22	
Kevin Gowen	Washington	DC		20002	US	8/5/22	
Robert Lebling	Dhahran		31311	Saudi Arabia			8/5/22
Mccutchan Tammy	Largo	FL	33770	US	8/5/22		
Leslie Deering	New Windsor	MD		21776	US	8/5/22	
Marybeth Cyr	New Market	MD		21774	US	8/5/22	
Linda Lebling	Jacksonville	FL		32255	US	8/5/22	
Kusuma Prabhakara	Laurel	MD		20707	US	8/5/22	
Jane Susi	Walkersville	MD		21793	US	8/5/22	
Carolyn Black	Woburn	MA	1801	US	8/5/22		
Carrie Donovan	Gelsenkirchen			45891	Germany	8/5/22	
David Spaans	Frederick	MD		21704	US	8/5/22	
Wendy Hickman	New Market	MD		21774	US	8/5/22	
Ronald Daley Sr.	Hagerstown		MD	21740	US	8/5/22	
Joan Oldale-LaPoint	Highland		NY	12528	US	8/5/22	
Nancy Nantais	Chevy Chase	MD		20815	US	8/5/22	
Svetlana Borisova	Walkersville		MD	21793	US	8/5/22	
Jill King	Frederick	MD		21701	US	8/5/22	
Suzy Bailey	Frederick	MD		21704	US	8/5/22	
Mary Posey	Myersville	MD		21773	US	8/5/22	
Robert Ortiz	San Francisco	CA		94945	US	8/5/22	
Ed Kirkpatrick	Brookeville	MD		20833	US	8/5/22	
Kate Wilson	Frederick	MD		21701	US	8/5/22	
Cate Black	Adamstown	MD		21710	US	8/5/22	
Mark Hemenway	Charlotte	NC		28210	US	8/5/22	
John Rockafellow	Duckerson		MD	20842	US	8/5/22	
Tammy Shankle	Frederick	MD		21703	US	8/5/22	
Kelley Coleman	Bellingham	WA		98229	US	8/5/22	

Andrew Eberhardt	Columbia	MD	21044	US	8/5/22
Eric Amoros	Odenton MD	21113	US	8/5/22	
Jeff Caulfield	Washington	DC	20011	US	8/5/22
Aurora Munyan	Middlestown	MD	21769	US	8/5/22
Amy Owens	Carrollton	TX	75007	US	8/5/22
Danielle Rogers	Alexandria	VA	22315	US	8/5/22
Mary Smith	New market	MD	21774	US	8/5/22
Kenneth Kelley	Barnesville	MD	20838	US	8/5/22
Heather Wallace	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/6/22
Kathleen Farrington	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/6/22
Jason Evans	Westminster	MD	21157	US	8/6/22
Carolee Polley	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/6/22
Brad Thoms	Boulder CO	80305	US	8/6/22	
Liz Zander	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/6/22
Nicole O'Malley	Sykesville	MD	21784	US	8/6/22
amy witter	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/6/22
David Young	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/6/22
Drbob Schaefer	Orinda CA	94563	US	8/6/22	
Liz R	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/6/22
Donna Maranto	Middlestown	MD	21769	US	8/6/22
Genevieve McDonald	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/6/22
Evelyin King	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/6/22
Rebecca Boie	Westminster	MD	21157	US	8/6/22
Nicole Moon	Mt. Airy	MD	21771	US	8/6/22
Patrick Kesteven	Boston MA	2266	US	8/6/22	
Diana Semelsberger	Hampstead	MD	21074	US	8/6/22
Christian Donovan	Gelsenkirchen		45891	Germany	8/6/22
Michael Cooper	Seattle WA	98101	US	8/6/22	
Kathy Matthews	Charlotte	NC	28226	US	8/6/22
Uli Rodgers	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/6/22
MiaMia Parsons	Middlestown	MD	21769	US	8/6/22
Caroline Rasher	Gettysburg	PA	17325	US	8/6/22
Stephanie Latkovski	Frederick	MD	21703	US	8/6/22
Elisa Tredway	Southport	NC	28461	US	8/6/22
Mary L Lemmons	Buckeystown	MD	21717	US	8/6/22
Barb Vogel	Washington	DC	20017	US	8/6/22
Ralph Irelan	Emmisiyburg	MD	21727	US	8/6/22
Jeff Thomas	Gaithersburg	MD	20878	US	8/6/22
Susan Thibeault	Belleair bluffs	FL	33770	US	8/6/22
Kimberly Kempa	Barnesville	MD	20838	US	8/6/22
Kay powers	Raleigh NC	27603	US	8/6/22	
Michael Wallace	Mt. Airy	MD	21771	US	8/6/22
Jackie Freye	Gaithersburg	MD	20878	US	8/6/22
John Long	Hagerstown	MD	21740	US	8/6/22
Enrique Zaldivar	Comus	MD	20842	US	8/6/22
Abigail Neilan	Mcminnville	OR	97128	US	8/6/22
Andrea Brunsman	Woodland	CA	95776	US	8/6/22
Kimberly Mullen	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/6/22
Kirsten Agrella	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/6/22
Lynn Klouda	Jefferson	MD	21755	US	8/6/22

Carly Wedding	Bryantown	MD	20617	US	8/6/22
MaryAnne Moses	Gaithersburg	MD	20877	US	8/6/22
Libby Taylor	Arlington	VA	22203	US	8/6/22
Jonathan Campbell	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/7/22
Linda Plaisted	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/7/22
Keri Bean	Laurel	MD	20707	US	8/7/22
Laura MacIvor	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/7/22
Diane Bill	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/7/22
Enaiya Louis	New york		11208	US	8/7/22
Micah Barnett	West jordan		84081	US	8/7/22
Samantha Turetsky	Ormond Beach	FL	32176	US	8/7/22
Quamain Rozier	Hartford	CT	6105	US	8/7/22
Joanne Horn	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/7/22
Henry McCoy	Washington	DC	20019	US	8/7/22
Steven Findlay	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/7/22
Megan Rigby	Severn	MD	21144	US	8/7/22
Ellis Heather	Tucson		85716	US	8/7/22
Arlene Kitchin	Elmira		14903	US	8/7/22
Stephen Vogel	Barnesville	MD	20838	US	8/7/22
Lora Pearson	Cookeville		38506	US	8/7/22
Janet Ady	Myersville	MD	21773	US	8/7/22
Thomas Zellers	Laytonsville	MD	20882	US	8/8/22
Michael Andrews				US	8/8/22
Kayla Kotsagrelos	Pittsburgh		15227	US	8/8/22
Zoe Domgaard	Eagle Mountain		84005	US	8/8/22
Emma Slade	Fitchburg		1420	US	8/8/22
Vanessa Lamendola	Philadelphia	PA	19131	US	8/8/22
Andrea Poteat	Simi Valley	CA	93065	US	8/8/22
Karen Carmichael	Bonita Springs		34135	US	8/8/22
amber greene	swartz creek		48473	US	8/8/22
Laura Thieme	Irvine	CA	92603	US	8/8/22
Linda Freeman	Yuba City		95991	US	8/8/22
Karen Schneider	Pleasant Ridge	MI	48069	US	8/8/22
Jakayla Whitehead	Miami		33166	US	8/8/22
Kristin Ricketts	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	8/8/22
Hiram Flook	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/8/22
edwin grayzeck	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/8/22
Lori Sewell	Tuscarora	MD	21790	US	8/8/22
Anna Smith	Pendleton		46064	US	8/8/22
STEVEN LUKE	THURMONT	MD	21788	US	8/8/22
Beth Daly	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/8/22
shawn masur	davie		33328	US	8/8/22
Antonia Wagner	BARNESVILLE	MD	20838	US	8/8/22
George Penn	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/8/22
Ellen Gordon	Gaithersburg	MD	20878	US	8/8/22
William Merline	Black Hawk	CO	80422	US	8/8/22
Jane Smith	Germantown	MD	20874	US	8/8/22
Lauren Penn	Dickerson	MD	2084	US	8/8/22
Robert Wilbur	Boyds	MD	20841	US	8/8/22
Amy Burkall	New Market	MD	21774	US	8/8/22

Ellen Kreis	Washington	DC	20019	US	8/8/22
Kincade Dunn	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/8/22
Alla Rogers	Washington	DC	20008	US	8/8/22
Theodore Kingsley	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/8/22
Selma Sweetbaum	Silver Spring	MD	20904	US	8/8/22
Dolores Milmoe	Washington	DC	20019	US	8/8/22
Margaret Camp	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/8/22
Julee Evans	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/8/22
Christopher Apgar	Germantown	MD	20871	US	8/8/22
David Evans	Barnesville	MD	20838	US	8/8/22
Evelyn Pyrdol	Germantown	MD	20874	US	8/8/22
Andrea Pollan	Bethesda	MD	20816	US	8/8/22
Jean Findlay	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/8/22
Whitney Horst	Lutz	FL	33558	US	8/8/22
peter Swinehart	Clifton NJ		7014	US	8/8/22
Lee McNair	Bethesda	MD	20814	US	8/8/22
Nanci Wilkinson	Chevy Chase	MD	20817	US	8/8/22
Mary Grace Sloan	Silver Spring	MD	20906	US	8/8/22
Evan Taff	Olney	MD	20832	US	8/8/22
VICKIE SHAW	Ogden		84403	US	8/8/22
Sandra Kovach	Beltsville	MD	20705	US	8/8/22
Ken Poteat	Simi Valley	CA	93065	US	8/8/22
Krista Abbaticchio	Clarksburg	MD	20871	US	8/9/22
Joyce Bailey	Barnesville	MD	20838	US	8/9/22
Ben P. Daughtry	Semora	NC	27343	US	8/9/22
Anne Ambler	Silver Spring	MD	20906	US	8/9/22
Robert Cleland	Simi Valley	CA	93065	US	8/9/22
Fire Scythe	Los Angeles	CA		US	8/9/22
Zed Trick	Brooklyn	NY		US	8/9/22
Rebecca Berez	Vancouver	WA	98661	US	8/9/22
Kathleen Walker	leesburg	VA	20175	US	8/9/22
Angelina Lizárraga	Dale		78616	US	8/9/22
Gemma Radko	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/9/22
Michael Sokey	Alhambra	CA	91801	US	8/9/22
Raleigh koritz	Saint Paul		55114	US	8/9/22
Larry Ladd	Chico		95928	US	8/9/22
Vulture Bones	Brooklyn	NY	11226	US	8/9/22
Victoria Platz	Clarksburg	MD	20871	US	8/9/22
Sherri Hoskins	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/9/22
Sherry Stephenson	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/9/22
Chloe Lemay-Assh	Granite Bay		95746	US	8/9/22
Seann Pelkey	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/9/22
Marsha Vondurckheim	Boyds	MD	20841	US	8/9/22
Sarah Suszczyk	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/9/22
Kathleen Holmay	Kensington	MD	20895-3521	US	8/9/22
Mark Foster	Laurel	MD	20707	US	8/9/22
Carol Thomas	Clarksburg	MD	20871	US	8/9/22
Carey Murphy	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/9/22
Brita Cronquist	Beallsville	MD	20839	US	8/9/22
John Fay	Silver Spring	MD	20902	US	8/9/22

Larry Willard	Martinsburg	WV	25404	US	8/9/22
Peter Eeg	Boyds	MD	20841	US	8/9/22
Clifford Barr	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/9/22
Penelope A Mccrea	Chestertown		MD	21620	US 8/9/22
Christine Mosher	Frederick		MD	21704	US 8/9/22
David Bowen	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/9/22
Jan Knox	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/9/22
Gregory erikson	Leominster	MA	1453	US	8/9/22
Dan Wilson	Laurel	MD	20709	US	8/9/22
Vanessa Gress	Frederick	MD	21703	US	8/9/22
Lauren Greenberger	Poolesville		MD	20837	US 8/9/22
Edward Markuszewski	Huntsville		AL	35801	US 8/9/22
Jennifer King	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/9/22
Shilpa Kurian	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/9/22
Sandi Peebles	North Palm Beach		FL	33408	US 8/9/22
Falcon Knight	Brooklyn	NY	11226	US	8/9/22
Anaya Howard	Forney	75126	US	8/9/22	
Michele Kaloss	Ijamsville	MD	21754	US	8/10/22
Christopher Tom	Pleasantville	NY	10570	US	8/10/22
Tavaesina Maiava	Tacoma		98466	US	8/10/22
Elena Laird	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/10/22
Marie Wheeler	New Market	MD	21774	US	8/10/22
Susan Apple	Huntingtown	MD	20639	US	8/10/22
William Hilton	Barnesville	MD	20838	US	8/10/22
Yesenia Velez	Bronx	10468	US	8/10/22	
Lona Marshall	North berwick		3906	US	8/10/22
Nancy McMcLaughlin	Naples	FL	34104	US	8/10/22
Caitlin Bigelow	Melbourne		32904	US	8/10/22
Stan Fisher	Germantown	MD	20874	US	8/10/22
Jennifer Bowen	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/10/22
Karen Metchis	Chevy Chase	MD	20815	US	8/10/22
Marissa Morales	San Bernardino		92407	US	8/10/22
Angela Marroquin	Pharr		78577	US	8/10/22
Celibee Torres	Van Nuys		91405	US	8/10/22
Max Berenschot	Montpelier	VT	5602	US	8/10/22
H. Voss Mt.Airy	MD	21771	US	8/10/22	
Tania Wagner	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/10/22
Addison Chambers	Portland		97239	US	8/10/22
natelie sirak	Sun City		92585	US	8/10/22
Sydney Denk	Southlake		76092	US	8/10/22
Pandora Gunsallus	Chicago	IL	60629	US	8/10/22
Kathryn Whitcomb	Raleigh	NC	27697	US	8/10/22
Maggie Newcomer	Germantown	MD	20874	US	8/10/22
Chaz Branch	Baltimore		21231	US	8/10/22
Leisa Doss	Chicago	60602	US	8/10/22	
Kyla Jones-Smith	Saint Louis		63130	US	8/10/22
Kyla Moore	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/11/22
Javier Saavedra	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/11/22
John Ward	Ohiopyle	PA	15470	US	8/11/22
Gracie Lee	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/11/22

Grace Pariso	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/11/22
Elizabeth Orr	Burkittsville	MD	21718	US	8/11/22
Anne Davies	Boyds MD	20841	US	8/11/22	
Peter Blood	Urbana MD	21704	US	8/11/22	
Sky Tanner	Tolland	6084	US	8/11/22	
Allen Poole	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/11/22
Chris Parker	Columbia	MD	21044	US	8/11/22
Theresa Schneider	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/11/22
Hailey Marie	Covington		70433	US	8/11/22
Hector Delgado	Orange	92869	US	8/11/22	
Jesse Poolaw Jr	Saint Paul		55106	US	8/11/22
Jean Rosolino	Frederick	MD	21710	US	8/11/22
Greg Riecken	Las Vegas	NV	89128	US	8/11/22
Chuck Peake	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/11/22
Robert Gramzinski	Germantown	MD	20874	US	8/11/22
Robin Swope	Damascus	MD	20872	US	8/11/22
Kathryn Wilson	Monrovia	MD	21770	US	8/11/22
Milena Bartosiewicz	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/11/22
Reniesha McLean	New York		10030	US	8/11/22
Rick Jordan	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	8/11/22
Joyce Bovello	Washington	DC	20017	US	8/11/22
R Paul Walker	Middletown	MD	21769	US	8/11/22
Aiyanna Lane	Oklahoma City		73106	US	8/11/22
Colleen Smyth	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/11/22
Blanca Poteat	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/11/22
John Darr Jr	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/11/22
Katherine White	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/11/22
PAUL BANAS	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/11/22
Barb Morrison	Largo FL	33774	US	8/11/22	
Keshav Lincoln	Annapolis		21401	US	8/11/22
Lynn Rosenberg	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/11/22
Steve Poteat	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/11/22
Mike Lynch	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/11/22
Jessica Deluca	Raritan	8869	US	8/11/22	
Mildred Callear	Chevy Chase	MD	20815	US	8/11/22
Gay Anderson	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/11/22
Nadia Mikhail	Fort Worth	TX	76133	US	8/11/22
David Humerick	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	8/11/22
David Parx	Eden	27288	US	8/11/22	
Jungim Yun	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/11/22
James Rice	Acworth GA	30102	US	8/11/22	
nevaeh carter	Cambridge		21613	US	8/11/22
Paul Rosencrantz	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/12/22
Nancy Izant	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/12/22
Desirae Varela	Caldwell		83686	US	8/12/22
reese quisling	Kanawha	50447	US	8/12/22	
David Hunter	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/12/22
D. Uggla	Okmulgee		74447	US	8/12/22
Taylor Edgar	Allison Park		15101	US	8/12/22
mikayla coulter	Vandergrift		15690	US	8/12/22

Thomas Meadows	San Jose	95122	US	8/12/22	
Amanda Thies	Medina	55356	US	8/12/22	
yamina cano	pinole	94564	US	8/12/22	
Victoria Upchurch	Frederick	21704	US	8/12/22	
Alisha Yurcak	Bellevue	34420	US	8/12/22	
Annmarie Corkett	Richmond Hill	11418	US	8/12/22	
eiddan jerez	Hazleton	18201	US	8/12/22	
Kyle Teela	Provo	84604	US	8/12/22	
Mel Lovric	Mattituck	11952	US	8/12/22	
Richard McConnell	Olympia Fields	60461	US	8/12/22	
Josh Standiford	Lake Zurich	60047	US	8/12/22	
Brett Allen	Burbank	91503	US	8/12/22	
Anon Ymous	Walworth	14568	US	8/12/22	
Leanna Woodhouse	Marlinton	22181	US	8/12/22	
Veronica Sawyer	South Bend	46616	US	8/12/22	
Delaynie Bowley	Sparks	8434	US	8/12/22	
Tim Fortin	Brownsville	MD	21715	US	8/12/22
Connor Port	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/12/22
Trevon Smith	Chicago	60647	US	8/12/22	
Jessica Spielman	Silver Spring	20904	US	8/12/22	
Michelle Blesso	Pine Meadow	6061	US	8/12/22	
Nicole Lewis	West Hartford	6117	US	8/12/22	
Ryan farley	Tampa	33624	US	8/12/22	
Heather Warner	Overland Park	66223	US	8/12/22	
Elan Poteat	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/12/22
Allison Curty	Trinity	27370	US	8/12/22	
Samantha Bridges	Dallas	75247	US	8/12/22	
Barry Cook	Frederick	21702	US	8/13/22	
Lisa Flannery	Highlands Ranch	80129	US	8/13/22	
Paul Anderson	Alton	62002	US	8/13/22	
Sarah Miller	Springfield	62704	US	8/13/22	
Jerome Williams	Cincinnati	45237	US	8/13/22	
Gauge Ott	Denham Springs	70706	US	8/13/22	
Juan Aldana	Phoenix	85051	US	8/13/22	
Autumn McLane	Frisco	75035	US	8/13/22	
J. Fraunhoffer	Frederick	MD	US	8/13/22	
Steven Pugh	Saint Petersburg	33709	US	8/13/22	
chuck maffei	Trenton	8628	US	8/13/22	
Juilana Dillinger	Mercer	16137	US	8/13/22	
Dianne Gohmann	Nampa	83687	US	8/13/22	
Jeslyn Valdez	New York	10032	US	8/13/22	
Zugeyly De Jesus	New York	10118	US	8/13/22	
Marybret Bruehl	Edmond	73013	US	8/13/22	
Shelby McCaleb	Richmond	23236	US	8/13/22	
Gissel Montes	San Jose	95126	US	8/13/22	
Heather Lessard	Richmond	23226	US	8/13/22	
Jean Smith	Westport	6830	US	8/13/22	
Alice Markey	Hattiesburg	39402	US	8/13/22	
Malachi Wilson	Dallas	75237	US	8/13/22	
Ava Pelkey	Pleasanton	94588	US	8/14/22	

Bavan Nadarajah	New Market	MD	21774	US	8/14/22
janis ripple	Orion	48362	US	8/14/22	
Sydney Lerrick			US	8/14/22	
Stacia Underberg	Middletown	MD	21769	US	8/14/22
Shae Mckay	Port Saint Lucie		34953	US	8/14/22
Kevin Craig	Los Angeles		90012	US	8/14/22
Claudia Terrill	Middletown	MD	21769	US	8/14/22
Trish Novello		75245	US	8/14/22	
Scotty Cain	Hammond	70403	US	8/14/22	
Sara Gemmell	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/14/22
Albert Martin	Miami	33102	US	8/14/22	
Douglas Henderson	Haines City		33844	US	8/14/22
robert cobb	Ormond Beach		32174	US	8/14/22
CONNIE STEUBER	Lafayette		47905	US	8/14/22
José Alvarado	Nebraska		40229	US	8/14/22
Orion Solu	Oceano	93445	US	8/14/22	
Khalifa Munyagane	Provo		84606	US	8/14/22
Andrew Herman	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/14/22
Daniel Coffman			US	8/14/22	
Tina Kirschenman	Frederick	MD	21703	US	8/14/22
Larry Whittle	Phoenix	85008	US	8/14/22	
Tapsoba Nafissa	The Bronx		10467	US	8/15/22
Thomas O'Hare	Frederick	MD	21710	US	8/15/22
Cindy Roberts	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/15/22
Samer Bahadur Yadav	Charlotte		28277	US	8/15/22
Radford Kevin	Brackenridge		15014	US	8/15/22
Becky Wilt	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	8/15/22
amor Foy	Wilmington		28403	US	8/15/22
Lin Thura	Los Angeles		90009	US	8/15/22
Joseph Coursey	Greenwood		29543	US	8/15/22
Kathy Lauder	Hermitage	TN	37076	US	8/15/22
Nell Sir			US	8/15/22	
Julia Glazer	Chevy Chase	MD	20815	US	8/15/22
Johanna Long	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	8/15/22
Syril Kline	Summerville	SC	29483	US	8/15/22
Claudia Olson	Frederick	MD	21703	US	8/15/22
Lana Lloyd	Middletown	MD	21769	US	8/15/22
Ned Wolff	Frederick	MD	21703	US	8/15/22
Justine Niamke	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/15/22
Kimberley Geys	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/15/22
Stephanie Simon	Frederick	MD	21703	US	8/15/22
Julie King	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/15/22
Debra Athey	Washington	DC	20011	US	8/15/22
Andrew Davis	Salem OR	97301	US	8/15/22	
Damita Taylor	Grand Prairie		75050	US	8/15/22
Russell Carter	Clarksburg	MD	20871	US	8/15/22
Glenn ORear	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/15/22
Lisa Higinbotham	Santa Rosa		95401	US	8/15/22
Ecatarina Grant	Baltimore	MD	21230	US	8/15/22
Lucid Kays	Virginia Beach		23452	US	8/15/22

ashley m	Palm Bay	32907	US	8/15/22
Amber C Mesquite		75181	US	8/15/22
Sophia -I'm not putting that-	Oneida	13421	US	8/15/22
Alex Casana	Miami	33178	US	8/15/22
Dori Ellison	Frederick	21702	US	8/15/22
Eric Guillot	Frederick	21701	US	8/15/22
Dharani Srinivasan	Cupertino	95014	US	8/15/22
Britta Briggs	Palm Harbor	34685	US	8/15/22
Margaret Hindman	Frederick	MD	21701	US
tanya biroe	Pittsburgh	15137	US	8/15/22

Name	City	State	Postal Code	Country	Commented Date	Comment
Ingrid Rosencrantz	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22	""I'm signing because Frederick County is under tremendous growth pressure and we need to preserve areas of significant environmental and cultural value - we have a chance to preserve the area around Sugarloaf and the Monocacy Battlefield. Please help us by signing the petition. Thanks!""
Steve Black				US	8/2/22	""Save green space!!!Block industrialization""
Gil Rocha	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/2/22	""To protect the Sugarloaf Mountain area's natural beauty.Gil Rocha- Dickerson MD""
Eric Cronquist	Beallsville	MD	20839	US	8/2/22	""Preservation of agricultural land is more important to the residents of this area than intensive residential and commercial development."""
Dj McGuinness	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/2/22	""We can "pave paradise and put in a parking lot", or just leave paradise alone. Wild life is being choked onto the Highways and laying dead in the gutters. Enough urban spread, this is not "smart building", there are very few areas in Frederick County where high density building hasn't contributed to waste water runoff and flooding. Greed for some, decimates what's left of God's green earth. Enough already!""
Kevin Firmin	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22	""I'd like to see a long term commitment to keep this area beautiful. Once one piece is cut out, the rest of the area will be primed for additional development in the minds of big developers. Keep this area safe from commercial development and the rich, greedy developers!""
Alexandra Carrera	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22	""For environmental, historical, aesthetic reasons (among others) the area of Frederick County to the West of I-270, an historically protected area, significant to cyclists, horseback riders, naturalists and hikers from all over the DMV, as well as its resident homeowners and farmers, deserves to be protected as the treasure it is for generations to come."""
Sharon Dooley	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/3/22	""I support protections for the agricultural and conservation areas in the Sugarloaf plan. I oppose allowing loopholes such as are in paragraph 54 that would revisit this plan and might permit development on the western side of 270. Thanks for your foresight in this preservation""
Moe Rosencrantz	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22	""I'm signing because I'm in the generation that's going to be inheriting this county when I'm older, and I want to come to adulthood in a county that has preserved the beauty of the sugarloaf area. And if someday I have kids of my own, I want them to be able to have the same experiences of nature and how lovely it is as I did when I was a child. Think about the next generation. Keep the line at 270."""
John Carrera	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22	""I care about saving the natural beauty of our area. People need undeveloped spaces for their peace of mind and our survival depends on leaving ecosystems intact. Good urban planning means saying "no" to development sometimes. Montgomery County did it with the Ag. Preserve, Frederick County can do it, too!""
Sue Trainor	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22	""There is lots of space already designated for development in Frederick County. Preserve our treasured landscapes and protect them from profit-motivated development creep. Money isn't the only measure of value."""

Lorie Bacorn Burlington WV 26710 US 8/3/22 """I grew up looking at Sugarloaf from our farm and all the farmland in the distance. When I go back to Urbana now I am disoriented by all the sprawl. It's so ugly. That sprawl had a lot to do with our family moving to WV on the late 70's. Please save the Sugarloaf area."""

Susan Eisendrath Rockville MD 20853 US 8/3/22 """I support keeping Sugarloaf as natural as possible and to support biodiversity."""

Ann Knott Hinton WV 25951 US 8/3/22 """I grew up on Parks Mills Rd. Although I've lived in WV since 1977, I have fond memories of Sugarloaf Mountain. I'm sitting on my porch as I write this looking at the mountains surrounding me and can't imagine them covered in homes. Preserve Sugarloaf for future generations to enjoy."""

Paul Wallick Frederick MD 21702 US 8/3/22 """Sugarloaf should remain rural as possible."""

Barbara Schectman Frederick MD 21701 US 8/3/22 """This is an area whose natural features are worth preserving."""

Karin Eckelmeyer Portola Valley CA 94028 US 8/3/22 """This place was a treasure for us when I was growing up in the 40's and 50's."""

Linda Knott Hinton WV 25951 US 8/3/22 """I'm signing because I grew up with the beautiful Sugarloaf Mountain in view from our farm. The landscape has changed so very much in the years since I've left, and enough is enough!"""

Ann Andrex Union Bridge MD 21791 US 8/4/22 """We need open spacePreserved"""

Katharine Byron Middletown MD 21769 US 8/4/22 """We use and enjoy the Sugarloaf area and encourage its preservation."""

Allie Taylor Poolesville MD 20837 US 8/4/22 """This is an important plan that supports many county wide goals."""

Ann Connor Poolesville MD 20837 US 8/4/22 """It is our duty to preserve and support open land green spaces and agricultural lands for current and future generations .We cannot get these back once gone or chipped away at by loopholes. It is up to us now to support the land that sustains us ."""

Tina Brown Poolesville MD 20837 US 8/4/22 """Because I support protecting Sugarloaf Mountain, a National Natural Landmark and the surrounding rural communities."""

Donald wleklinski Terre Haute IN 47803 US 8/4/22 """Needs attention."""

Aurora Munyan Middletown MD 21769 US 8/5/22 """Over-Development and hedge-fund driven real estate is a cancer to our environment and society."""

Abigail Neilan McMinnville OR 97128 US 8/6/22 """I grew up in Barnesville, a short bike ride down a dirt road away from the mountain. I enjoyed recreating on and around Sugarloaf well into my adult years when I moved away. I have the fondest memories of that special place. It's peaceful serenity and natural beauty must be preserved for future generations."""

STEVEN LUKE THURMONT MD 21788 US 8/8/22 """We need to maintain and protect our wilderness areas. No amount of construction will benefit these areas, no matter how small."""

Ellen Gordon Gaithersburg MD 20878 US 8/8/22 """I want to protect the borders of our vital, one of a kind AGRICULTURAL resource for now and the future!"""

Amy Burkall New Market MD 21774 US 8/8/22 """Open spaces make

our community beautiful and adds value to our property. Developers are destroying our natural beauty at a staggering rate - we need to save Sugarloaf and its unique, picturesque surroundings!""

Dolores milmoe poolesville MD 20837 US 8/8/22 """Sugarloaf is a regional treasure for the entire Metro area. Frederick officials should step up to protect it for future generations. Please have the political will to fend off developers who only seek personal gain. Sugarloaf is a priceless asset for Frederick County and should not be despoiled."""

Nanci Wilkinson Chevy Chase MD 20817 US 8/8/22 """Too many natural landscapes are being developed without consideration for the huge benefits of vibrant natural habitats!"""

Sandra Kovach Beltsville MD 20705 US 8/8/22 """For many years, I happily celebrated the birthday of one of my dearest friends by hiking on Sugarloaf mountain. This was a highlight of the year for all of us. Not having this magnificent place of nature protected is unthinkable . It must be preserved as is."""

Joyce Bailey Barnesville MD 20838 US 8/9/22 """This land is too precious to lose. For the sake of our children and grandchildren, for the climate, and for biodiversity please adopt the recommended Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan as written."""

Kathleen Walker Leesburg VA 20175 US 8/9/22 """The Sugarloaf Mountain area is a wild treasure that should be protected in perpetuity"""

Gemma Radko Frederick MD 21704 US 8/9/22 """I want this area to be protected from further development."""

Kathleen Holmay Kensington MD 20895-3521 US 8/9/22 """The Stronghold property is a special and beautiful resource near our crowded urban area, a place of peace that brings the tranquility of the natural world to anyone who visits."""

John Fay Silver Spring MD 20902 US 8/9/22 """It is too precious to allow wholesale development in that area to enrich a few landowners."""

Peter Eeg Boyds MD 20841 US 8/9/22 """Protecting Frederick and Montgomery Co Ag areas is critical to our future environmental health and global climate change mitigation"""

David Bowen Dickerson MD 20842 US 8/9/22 """Because I strongly support continued agriculture, open space and recreation in this area."""

Jan Knox Frederick MD 21701 US 8/9/22 """It's the right thing to do to protect our environment for now and future generations. I want an environmentally sound Frederick County (and hopefully the rest of the world) for my children and grandchildren. The Urbana area has been developed enough. Thank you"""

Gregory erikson Leominster MA 1453 US 8/9/22 """We need more green areas"""

Kyla Moore Frederick MD 21704 US 8/11/22 """Ecological, agricultural, and historical preservation is critical in maintaining what this piece of Frederick County has to offer!"""

Anne Davies Boyds MD 20841 US 8/11/22 """I love the entire Sugarloaf area. I have ridden my horses throughout and consider it a true treasure, to be protected and preserved for future generations."""

Jean Rosolino Frederick MD 21710 US 8/11/22 """I live in this area and do not want to see uncontrolled development of this beautiful rural landscape."""

Chuck Peake Frederick MD 21704 US 8/11/22 """I'm tired of

developers doing whatever they want in Frederick County!"""

Robin Swope Damascus MD 20872 US 8/11/22 ""We need to have open spaces for everyone's mental health! Preserve Sugar loaf mountain area for all to enjoy"""

Robin Swope Damascus MD 20872 US 8/11/22 ""We need to have open spaces for everyone's mental health! Preserve Sugar loaf mountain area for all to enjoy"""

Blanca Poteat Dickerson MD 20842 US 8/11/22 ""The Sugarloaf Plan is a preservation plan, not a development plan. It involves less than 5 percent of the county and protects farmlands, woodlands, waterways and the other natural features of the Sugarloaf Mountain landscape."""

Terry Oland Frederick MD 21704 US 8/11/22 ""We need to keep the Sugarloaf as is, a National Landmark! Once it Gone it's Gone! ENOUGH is ENOUGH"""

Paul Rosencrantz Frederick MD 21704 US 8/12/22 ""I live on Baker Valley Road and do not want the inevitable pressure to develop to ruin the bucolic nature of our community."""

David Hunter Frederick MD 21701 US 8/12/22 ""I support the plan!"""

Tim Fortin Brownsville MD 21715 US 8/12/22 ""This land is not some swath of acreage for developers to profit on, while destroying the very aspects of our area that people find most enjoyable. Rampant development is not on the best interest of the land or the county."""

Dolan, Mary

From: Pandora Gunsallus <GUNSLPP@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 4:33 PM
To: Council Members
Subject: Sugarloaf Preservation Plan workshop August 15, 2022. Phone and written comments

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Dear County Council Members,

Hi, my name is Pandora Gunsallus. My address is 3350 Parks Mill Road. I own about 25 acres of farmland near the corner of Route. 80 on Parks Mill Road. My land is part of a larger 366 acre farm, bought by my grandparents about 110 years ago.

I am writing to support the adoption of the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan as a long-term land use management document. I also support the plans purpose of preserving the unique character of Sugarloaf Mountain, its surrounding area, and the precious natural resources of the region. That is why I'm in favor of maintaining the I270 boundary from the Montgomery County line to the Monocacy River. I also support the application of the Overlay District within the entire boundary area in order to protect the rural landscapes and natural resources of the Sugarloaf area and the nearby Monocacy National Battlefield.

I oppose the language on page 54 of the draft plan, leaving the door open for amendments to the plan boundary or overlay.

I also support open and transparent government with the proper public input. Thank you to the Planning Commission for voting to restore the boundary back to I270. If planning for Frederick County is happening with out public input, chances are it's not the right plan to adopt for Frederick County.

Thank you, Pandora Gunsallus

241 Cynthia Drive, Canonsburg PA. 15317
Home phone: 724-514-7228.

Sent from my iPad

Dolan, Mary

From: Cherney, Ragen
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 2:42 PM
To: Nick Carrera; Council Members
Cc: Carrera, Alexandra; Carrera, Johnny; Cherney, Ragen
Subject: RE: Suggested amendments on Overlay and PGC rezoning

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. Council Members have all received your comments. Your comments will be part of the Council record.

Amendments can only be introduced by setting council members.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney

Chief of Staff/Legislative Director

Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049



From: Nick Carrera <mjcarrera@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 2:31 PM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Cc: Carrera, Nicholas <mjcarrera@comcast.net>; Carrera, Alexandra <sasha.carrera@gmail.com>; Carrera, Johnny <johnnyquerucus@me.com>
Subject: Suggested amendments on Overlay and PGC rezoning

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Dear Council Members,

I've spoken strongly on the issues of the Overlay and the rezoning for the Potomac Garden Center. From what I recall, the Council decided that changes would only be made in response to specific amendment proposals. But I don't know if amendments could be offered by citizens, or only by Council Members.

Here are two suggested amendments. If I may propose them, consider it done. If I may not, then please consider them my suggestions, and perhaps one of you can propose them in my place.

Sincerely, and thanks for your consideration,

Proposed amendment regarding Overlay:

1. On page 53 of the July 2022 draft, in the second paragraph of the section on the zoning district, amend the 3rd sentence from the end to read as follows:

"For these reasons, and to help achieve the Plan's Vision, the creation of a Sugarloaf Rural Heritage Overlay Zoning District is proposed, **covering the entire area of the Sugarloaf Plan**, as shown on Map 4-6."

2. On page 65, replace Map 4-6 with the Map 4-6 on page 66 of the March 2022 draft Plan.

Proposed amendment regarding rezoning the Potomac Garden Center:

1. Table 1B, page 51: For the entry "General Commercial," change the figure in the "Proposed" column to 7.4, and the figure in the "Differential" column to zero. Alternatively, delete the entire entry for General Commercial.
2. Table 1D, page 52: make the same changes as those made in Table 1B.
3. Map 4-2a, page 61: Change red area for Potomac Garden Center to that in map 4-2, page 60.
4. Map 4-4, page 63: Change red area for Potomac Garden Center to that in map 4-3, page 62.

Dolan, Mary

From: Luna, Nancy <NLuna@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Sent: Monday, August 15, 2022 5:22 PM
To: Council Members
Cc: County Council Staff
Subject: New voicemail for County Council from Public Input

From: +17023541740

Message Transcription: Hello. My name is Stephanie Redwine and I live in Frederick county and I want to record my opposition to the Sugarloaf treasured landscape management plan. I would like for the council to review the plan and reject what is in the plan currently and revise it as needed. It looks like the landowners in the area would like this done as well. I am not a landowner, but I do live in Frederick county and, but definitely want to register my opposition. Thank you very much.

[Audio File](#)

You can change or disable notifications like these on the [project settings tab](#).

Position on the I-270 Technology Corridor, August 15, 2022

My name is Steve Poteat, I live on Sugarloaf Mountain Road. I think we need to answer the question—Where does the I-270 Technology Corridor exist? Is it both sides of I-270, west side or east side?

The developer lobbied State Department of Commerce comments on the Sugarloaf Plan suggest the I-270 corridor has high importance in the “biotechnology cluster” for the State.

But the I-270 Technology Corridor actually ends at Clarksburg in Montgomery County and for all practical purposes stops in Germantown. There is exactly ONE biotechnology firm from Germantown to Frederick a distance of 25 miles.

Let's review the west side of I-270. Montgomery County has zoned all the land on the WEST side of I-270 from MD 121 to the county line in Hyattstown as Agriculture Reserve or very low residential density. In this area there are only rural roads, no public water and sewer and no public facilities with the exception of the Montgomery County Detention Center.

In Frederick County land on the WEST side of I-270 from the County line to the Monacacy Battlefield also is zoned low density residential and agriculture with only a two acre plant nursery zoned commercial.

This is clearly not the I-270 Biotechnology Corridor as envisioned by the State, or Frederick County or Montgomery County and mentioned in the Livable Frederick Master Plan.

There are biotechnology firms in Germantown. But along the EAST side of I-270 all the way to Frederick, a distance of 25 miles, there is

only one biotechnology firm. Kite Pharmaceuticals, has recently located a manufacturing facility in Urbana.

The remainder of the east side is dominated by a construction companies, heavy equipment parking, a building materials recycling plant, landscape companies, and two federal data centers. All of this is desirable employment but of course not biotechnology as the State desires.

In summary from Clarksburg north the I-270 Corridor only really exists on EAST side of I-270 between MD 355 and I-270.

You should note that the reduced amount of LACK of employment on the east of I-270 was compounded by the fact that Natelli Communities, citing the lack of demand for employment land, had rezoned 250 acres of employment land there, to residential.

That's 4 million square feet of employment development lost, and now Knowledge Farms in Urbana is asking to do the same.

All of this is compounded by the fact that the I-270 Corridor is not scheduled for any transit improvements in the foreseeable future. No transit, no transit oriented development in Urbana.

Let's keep development on the east side of I-270 where it can be managed.

August 15, 2022

Suggested changes to Draft STLMP pages 53 and 54

Urbana Community Growth Area

The County's Comprehensive Land Use map, the Livable Frederick Master Plan, and its Thematic Plan map all provide policy guidance for, and describe and depict, appropriate locations for future growth and development, as well as areas intended to retain rural qualities and protect natural landscapes. The Community Growth Area (CGA) boundary is a land use planning mechanism that establishes a finite geographical area where community infrastructure investments (schools, parks, roads, etc.) and public water and sewer service provision will be made. It signifies areas where zoning could be applied to facilitate efficient, compact development patterns and create vibrant neighborhoods. A CGA depicts preferential areas and locations for land use conversions to accommodate our housing, commerce, and employment needs, consistent with County policies and initiatives, and community goals.

The Urbana CGA borders the Sugarloaf Planning Area along I-270, which is **[DELETE currently]** a boundary that demarcates a large mixed-use (commercial, employment, residential) community from an area with dispersed residences, unique environmental and historic resources, and a distinctively rural sense of place; however, minor commercial development exists in the Sugarloaf Planning Area in the vicinity of the MD 80/I-270 interchange. The Urbana CGA embodies the characteristics of a typical CGA in Frederick County where population growth, public and private investments, and employment growth are focused and targeted. It contains four public schools, a library, a YMCA facility, a variety of housing types, plus numerous commercial services and businesses, including several in the biological and information technology sectors. These existing and planned employment, residential, commercial, and industrial land uses follow the entire east side of I-270, from just north of the existing Urbana community southward to the Montgomery County border. **[DELETE Programmed improvements to I-270 as part of the Op. Lanes Maryland project may influence and shape future planning for the Urbana Community Growth Area (refer to Chapter 5 for a more detailed narrative on the transportation network in and near the Sugarloaf Planning Area).]**

The long-range conceptual vision of the I-270 corridor as depicted in the LFMP's Thematic Plan includes transit service, mixed-use, and multi-modal development nodes at **[ADD: potential]** **[DELETE the future]** I-270 interchanges at Park Mills Road and Dr. Perry/Mott Roads, and at the existing MD 80 interchange. Achieving this future land use pattern will require inter-governmental coordination to establish transit, federal and State funding for design and construction of the potential interchanges, along with new planning initiatives, policy and regulatory evaluation, and legislative action at the local level.

The last comprehensive land use plan update and comprehensive rezoning in the Urbana area occurred in 2004, with the adoption of the Urbana Region Plan on June 24, 2004. Since then,

there has been considerable growth and development in the Urbana area and along the I-270 corridor. Recognizing this, the Livable Frederick Master Plan Implementation Program (October 2019) in its Planning Area Catalogue described an Elective Plan for a larger, thematically-conceived *Urbana Corridor* that could include a plan for the South Frederick Triangle, the Urbana Community Growth Area, or the I-270 Corridor. ~~The scale and scope of future planning for the Urbana Community Growth Area or the I-270 corridor may determine the degree and extent of examination of lands within the Sugarloaf Planning Area, if any, and may result in a limited plan amendment to the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan. The South Frederick Triangle has now been incorporated into the South Frederick Corridors Plan.~~

(Add the following para)

“The scale and scope of future planning for the Urbana Community Growth Area or the I-270 corridor should minimize any impact on the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape. Any proposed changes to transportation or land use plans in neighboring growth areas must be assessed with full attention to the preservation objectives of the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan. Any future I-270 plan or Urbana plan should be crafted to minimize their impact on the natural resources and rural character of the Sugarloaf region. Multimodal development, as envisioned by Livable Frederick is contingent on available mass transit. To achieve and secure the objectives of STLMP, the multimodal development envisioned in LFMP should be constrained by I-270 and occur only to the East of the interstate.”

AND... (on Page 72)

Policy 5.2 Future transit centers, park-and-ride facilities, and transit-oriented development projects associated with future interchanges on I-270 should be thoroughly evaluated in order to ~~[ADD: minimize impact on the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape, and]~~ serve the Urbana Community Growth Area, as well as potential points along the I-270 Corridor that may support compact employment and mixed-use development.