

**From:** [Brandt, Kimberly G.](#)  
**To:** [Specht, Jennifer](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Monocacy National Battlefield and the Sugarloaf Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 2:37:14 PM  
**Attachments:** [9-2-22Draft Briefing on Boundary Issues.pdf](#)  
[Fwd Comments on Monocacy National Battlefield and the Sugarloaf Plan.msg](#)  
[Fwd Please vote against Council Member Dacey's amendment to change the boundary. Do not allow development west of I270.msg](#)  
[Fwd Comments one the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan.msg](#)  
[Sugarloaf Alliance.msg](#)  
[Data Centers .msg](#)  
[West of I-270 rezoning.msg](#)  
[Master plan hearing.msg](#)  
[image001.png](#)

---

**From:** Cherney, Ragen <RCherney@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 8:58 AM  
**To:** Brandt, Kimberly G. <KGBrandt@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Cc:** Mitchell, Kathy (Legal) <KMitchell2@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: Monocacy National Battlefield and the Sugarloaf Plan

**Ragen Cherney**  
*Chief of Staff/Legislative Director*  
Frederick County Council  
Winchester Hall  
12 East Church Street  
Frederick, Maryland 21701  
301.600.1049



---

**From:** Ingrid Rosencrantz <[catoctinck@gmail.com](mailto:catoctinck@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 8:53 AM  
**To:** Council Members <[CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Monocacy National Battlefield and the Sugarloaf Plan

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

I went through all the comments yesterday and see that both verbal comments provided during Council Meetings as well as written comments I submitted to individual Council members are not included in the record. I am re-sending comments I sent to individual council members. Please add these to the record for the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan. Thank you.  
Ingrid Rosencrantz

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Ingrid Rosencrantz <[catoctinck@gmail.com](mailto:catoctinck@gmail.com)>  
**Subject: Monocacy National Battlefield and the Sugarloaf Plan**  
**Date:** September 7, 2022 at 5:16:57 PM EDT  
**To:** [mckeegan-ayer@frederickcountymd.gov](mailto:mckeegan-ayer@frederickcountymd.gov), Jerry Donald <[jdonald@frederickcountymd.gov](mailto:jdonald@frederickcountymd.gov)>, Kai Hagen <[khagen@frederickcountymd.gov](mailto:khagen@frederickcountymd.gov)>, [mblue@frederickcountymd.gov](mailto:mblue@frederickcountymd.gov), [smckay@frederickcountymd.gov](mailto:smckay@frederickcountymd.gov), [pdacey@frederickcountymd.gov](mailto:pdacey@frederickcountymd.gov)  
**Cc:** Jan Gardner <[JGardner@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:JGardner@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>

Dear Council Members,

I'm following up on my comments from last night to provide more detail on the importance of keeping the boundary of the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan at I-270. Removing the Plan's protections from the area around the Monocacy National Battlefield would have a significant impact on visitor experience, raise concerns for area's hallowed ground and negatively affect attractiveness as a tourist destination.

I've attached a briefing with maps and talking points below. (This is the same briefing I handed out in paper copy last night). Of course, as Mr. Goodfellow alluded to when you asked a few weeks ago, the Battlefield proper is Park Service land. However, I'd like to highlight that the area adjoining the Monocacy National Battlefield and in its view shed, is not Park Service land and would not be protected from large scale development. This is of special concern as a developer owns land in this area.

If you look at pages 5, 6 and 7 of the briefing, you notice the following:

1. The close proximity of the Monocacy National Battlefield to developer-owned land, (outlined in yellow dashes). Note only one parcel separates developer-owned land from Battlefield land. (Pages 5, 6, and 7)
2. Note both the historical and Planning Commission boundary marked in green while the draft July 2021 draft boundary in blue. (Slide 6)
3. Note that there are two large parcels (the Sheriff's Boys Ranch and a second farm both of which abut the Park and are ripe for potential development. The Sheriff's Boys Ranch directly adjoins land owned by the developer. (Slide 6)
4. These two large parcels overlook the Park and Baker Valley Road, which is how visitors access this portion of the Park. To preserve the Park's view shed and rural nature, it is imperative to retain the Plans protections for these parcels. (Slide 6)
5. Note properties west of Baker Valley road are under preservation easements but large properties to the right and uphill of Baker Valley are not under any preservation easement. (Slide 7)

6. Keeping the Plan boundary at I-270, as the Planning Commission recommends, would help to protect these two properties from future development and help maintain the rural landscape surrounding the Monocacy National Battlefield. Hasn't the Monocacy Battlefield suffered enough, being split by I-270, abutting the Urbana Growth Area to the east of I-270 at Ball Road, and bounded by the South Frederick Corridors Plan ("an epicenter of commerce and industry") to the north?

**Do we need to surround the remaining corner of the Monocacy National Battlefield with commerce and industry?**

**A vote to change the Planning Commission's recommended boundary of I-270 would be a vote to remove the Plan's protections from the Battlefield area.**

Sincerely,

Ingrid Rosencrantz

(Mostly grew up at my grandmother's pre-Civil War log cabin directly across from Monocacy National Battlefield)

Fingerboard Road,  
Frederick, MD

1.

**From:** [Brandt, Kimberly G.](#)  
**To:** [Specht, Jennifer](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment from Trammell Crow Company for Public Hearing on Sugarloaf Area Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 3:43:07 PM

---

**From:** Hagen, Kai <KHagen@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 3:04 PM  
**To:** Bruce Dean <bdean@mdglawfirm.com>; Sugarloaf Area Plan <SugarloafAreaPlan@FrederickCountyMD.gov>; Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>; Keegan-Ayer, MC <MCKeegan-Ayer@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Cc:** Brandt, Kimberly G. <KGBrandt@FrederickCountyMD.gov>; Goodfellow, Tim <TGoodfellow@FrederickCountyMD.gov>; Cherney, Ragen <RCherney@FrederickCountyMD.gov>; Fischer, Eric @ Washington DC <efischer@trammellcrow.com>; Brewer, William @ Washington DC <WBrewer@trammellcrow.com>  
**Subject:** Re: Public Comment from Trammell Crow Company for Public Hearing on Sugarloaf Area Plan

There is a lot more to say about this issue, but I'll include just one more item here and now.

How did the data centers that were targeted for significant properties on the Sugarloaf Mountain side of the highway jive with the importance of and emphasis on life science research and production?

kai

---

**From:** Hagen, Kai <[KHagen@FrederickCountyMD.gov](#)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 2:39 PM  
**To:** Bruce Dean <[bdean@mdglawfirm.com](#)>; Sugarloaf Area Plan <[SugarloafAreaPlan@FrederickCountyMD.gov](#)>; Council Members <[CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov](#)>; Keegan-Ayer, MC <[MCKeegan-Ayer@FrederickCountyMD.gov](#)>  
**Cc:** Brandt, Kimberly G. <[KGBrandt@FrederickCountyMD.gov](#)>; Goodfellow, Tim <[TGoodfellow@FrederickCountyMD.gov](#)>; Cherney, Ragen <[RCherney@FrederickCountyMD.gov](#)>; Fischer, Eric @ Washington DC <[efischer@trammellcrow.com](#)>; Brewer, William @ Washington DC <[WBrewer@trammellcrow.com](#)>  
**Subject:** Re: Public Comment from Trammell Crow Company for Public Hearing on Sugarloaf Area Plan

Thank you, Bruce.

Contrary to the impression the writer attempts to create, Frederick County's ability to "capitalize on the interest in life science research and production" does not in any real and meaningful way depend on developing hundreds of acres of farms and forests on the

south/west side of I270 between the county line and the national battlefield park (a stretch with only one exit, I might add).

I'll also note that Montgomery County, which is held up as a model for the development of this corridor, chose to include zero development along both sides of the last three or so miles of I270 there, and zero around the county's last interchange there, as well.

Both counties can and will attract such life science research and production without having to cross over to include hundreds of acres on the Sugarloaf Mountain side of the highway.

kai

---

**From:** Bruce Dean <[bdean@mdglawfirm.com](mailto:bdean@mdglawfirm.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 10:48 AM  
**To:** Sugarloaf Area Plan <[SugarloafAreaPlan@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:SugarloafAreaPlan@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>; Council Members <[CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>; Keegan-Ayer, MC <[MCKeegan-Ayer@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:MCKeegan-Ayer@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>  
**Cc:** Brandt, Kimberly G. <[KGBrandt@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:KGBrandt@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>; Goodfellow, Tim <[TGoodfellow@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:TGoodfellow@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>; Cherney, Ragen <[RCherney@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:RCherney@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>; Fischer, Eric @ Washington DC <[efischer@trammellcrow.com](mailto:efischer@trammellcrow.com)>; Brewer, William @ Washington DC <[WBrewer@trammellcrow.com](mailto:WBrewer@trammellcrow.com)>  
**Subject:** Public Comment from Trammell Crow Company for Public Hearing on Sugarloaf Area Plan

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Please see the attached letter from my client Trammell Crow Company for tonight's Council Public Hearing. Please make sure it is distributed to all Council members.

Thank you.

Bruce N. Dean  
Partner

---

McCurdy, Dean & Graditor, LLC  
31 W. Patrick St  
Suite 130  
Frederick, MD 21701

Direct: 240.503.1455  
Office: 301.620.1175  
Cell: 301.471.5908  
E-mail: [bdean@mdglawfirm.com](mailto:bdean@mdglawfirm.com)  
Website: [www.mdglawfirm.com](http://www.mdglawfirm.com)

---

This e-mail message is intended only for the addressee and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any interception, review, retransmission, dissemination, or other use of, or taking of any action upon this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited by law and may subject them to criminal or civil liability. If you received this communication in error, please contact us immediately at (301) 620-1175, and delete the communication from any computer or network system. Although this e-mail (including attachments) is believed to be free of any virus or other defect that might negatively affect any computer system into which it is received and opened, it is the responsibility of the recipient to ensure that it is virus free, and no responsibility is accepted by the sender for any loss or damage arising in any way in the event that such a virus or defect exists.

# Trammell Crow Company

September 27, 2022

**VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL ONLY**

President M.C. Keegan- Ayer and Members of the  
Frederick County Council  
12 E Church Street  
Frederick, MD 21701

**Re: July 22, 2022 Planning Commission Recommended Sugarloaf Treasured  
Landscape Management Plan (the “PC Draft Plan”)**

Dear Council Members:

I am writing you as a Managing Director of the Trammell Crow Company. Over the past several years, Frederick County has seen a significant number of projects take place in the pharmaceutical and life-science fields, including the National Cancer Institute’s Advanced Technology Research facility, AstraZeneca, Kite Pharma and Ellume, to name just a few. These projects do not occur in a vacuum; there is tremendous synergy in having a large number of opportunities to attract such employers (as well as their employees) to Frederick County to work in these fields. Trammell Crow Company, as the developer of the Frederick Commerce Center in the City of Frederick as well as of four (4) newly approved GMP Life-Science facilities totaling over 500,000 square feet in Jefferson Technology Park, has a vested interest in Frederick County remaining committed to keeping land available for the future development of these types of facilities as well as the housing, retail, and other infrastructure that supports them.


For that reason, we urge the County Council to listen to the Frederick County Chamber of Commerce and not adopt the PC Draft Plan, which seeks to permanently place properties located at strategic existing and future I270 interchange locations under the PC Draft Plan’s planning and zoning restrictions. We agree with the approach the original draft Sugarloaf Plan took, which kept these properties outside of the Sugarloaf planning area, with the intention of considering them during a future I270 Corridor Plan for potential employment development. Frederick County has an excellent opportunity to capitalize on the national interest in life science research and production thanks to its significant existing base in this industry. Given that, we expect Frederick County to continue to attract a variety of national and international companies and we believe that given the dynamics of the industry those users will strongly want to be clustered along the interchanges of the I270 Technology Corridor.

Frederick County Council  
September 27, 2022  
Page 2

We believe that such a course of action is more in keeping with the Livable Frederick Master Plan's goals of preserving the I270 Interstate Corridor for future smart growth without disrupting or degrading the rural landscape setting of Sugarloaf Mountain or its environs.

Thank you for your attention to and consideration of this submittal. Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that appears to read "Eric Fischer".

Eric Fischer, Managing Director

**From:** [Brandt, Kimberly G.](#)  
**To:** [Specht, Jennifer](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Monocacy National Battlefield and the Sugarloaf Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 2:37:14 PM  
**Attachments:** [9-2-22Draft Briefing on Boundary Issues.pdf](#)  
[Fwd Comments on Monocacy National Battlefield and the Sugarloaf Plan.msg](#)  
[Fwd Please vote against Council Member Dacey's amendment to change the boundary. Do not allow development west of I270.msg](#)  
[Fwd Comments one the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan.msg](#)  
[Sugarloaf Alliance.msg](#)  
[Data Centers .msg](#)  
[West of I-270 rezoning.msg](#)  
[Master plan hearing.msg](#)  
[image001.png](#)

---

**From:** Cherney, Ragen <RCherney@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 8:58 AM  
**To:** Brandt, Kimberly G. <KGBrandt@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Cc:** Mitchell, Kathy (Legal) <KMitchell2@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: Monocacy National Battlefield and the Sugarloaf Plan

**Ragen Cherney**  
*Chief of Staff/Legislative Director*  
Frederick County Council  
Winchester Hall  
12 East Church Street  
Frederick, Maryland 21701  
301.600.1049



---

**From:** Ingrid Rosencrantz <[catoctinck@gmail.com](mailto:catoctinck@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 8:53 AM  
**To:** Council Members <[CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Monocacy National Battlefield and the Sugarloaf Plan

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

I went through all the comments yesterday and see that both verbal comments provided during Council Meetings as well as written comments I submitted to individual Council members are not included in the record. I am re-sending comments I sent to individual council members. Please add these to the record for the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan. Thank you.  
Ingrid Rosencrantz

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Ingrid Rosencrantz <[catoctinck@gmail.com](mailto:catoctinck@gmail.com)>  
**Subject: Monocacy National Battlefield and the Sugarloaf Plan**  
**Date:** September 7, 2022 at 5:16:57 PM EDT  
**To:** [mckeegan-ayer@frederickcountymd.gov](mailto:mckeegan-ayer@frederickcountymd.gov), Jerry Donald <[jdonald@frederickcountymd.gov](mailto:jdonald@frederickcountymd.gov)>, Kai Hagen <[khagen@frederickcountymd.gov](mailto:khagen@frederickcountymd.gov)>, [mblue@frederickcountymd.gov](mailto:mblue@frederickcountymd.gov), [smckay@frederickcountymd.gov](mailto:smckay@frederickcountymd.gov), [pdacey@frederickcountymd.gov](mailto:pdacey@frederickcountymd.gov)  
**Cc:** Jan Gardner <[JGardner@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:JGardner@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>

Dear Council Members,

I'm following up on my comments from last night to provide more detail on the importance of keeping the boundary of the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan at I-270. Removing the Plan's protections from the area around the Monocacy National Battlefield would have a significant impact on visitor experience, raise concerns for area's hallowed ground and negatively affect attractiveness as a tourist destination.

I've attached a briefing with maps and talking points below. (This is the same briefing I handed out in paper copy last night). Of course, as Mr. Goodfellow alluded to when you asked a few weeks ago, the Battlefield proper is Park Service land. However, I'd like to highlight that the area adjoining the Monocacy National Battlefield and in its view shed, is not Park Service land and would not be protected from large scale development. This is of special concern as a developer owns land in this area.

If you look at pages 5, 6 and 7 of the briefing, you notice the following:

1. The close proximity of the Monocacy National Battlefield to developer-owned land, (outlined in yellow dashes). Note only one parcel separates developer-owned land from Battlefield land. (Pages 5, 6, and 7)
2. Note both the historical and Planning Commission boundary marked in green while the draft July 2021 draft boundary in blue. (Slide 6)
3. Note that there are two large parcels (the Sheriff's Boys Ranch and a second farm both of which abut the Park and are ripe for potential development. The Sheriff's Boys Ranch directly adjoins land owned by the developer. (Slide 6)
4. These two large parcels overlook the Park and Baker Valley Road, which is how visitors access this portion of the Park. To preserve the Park's view shed and rural nature, it is imperative to retain the Plans protections for these parcels. (Slide 6)
5. Note properties west of Baker Valley road are under preservation easements but large properties to the right and uphill of Baker Valley are not under any preservation easement. (Slide 7)

6. Keeping the Plan boundary at I-270, as the Planning Commission recommends, would help to protect these two properties from future development and help maintain the rural landscape surrounding the Monocacy National Battlefield. Hasn't the Monocacy Battlefield suffered enough, being split by I-270, abutting the Urbana Growth Area to the east of I-270 at Ball Road, and bounded by the South Frederick Corridors Plan ("an epicenter of commerce and industry") to the north?

**Do we need to surround the remaining corner of the Monocacy National Battlefield with commerce and industry?**

**A vote to change the Planning Commission's recommended boundary of I-270 would be a vote to remove the Plan's protections from the Battlefield area.**

Sincerely,

Ingrid Rosencrantz

(Mostly grew up at my grandmother's pre-Civil War log cabin directly across from Monocacy National Battlefield)

Fingerboard Road,  
Frederick, MD


1.

# Issues involved in the Boundary of the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan

Sugarloaf-Alliance.org

September 2, 2022

## Historic Boundary - in place for approximately 50 years



Note base maps are from a publicly available application called OnXMaps Web App. Additional lines are approximate in nature.

**Green line is the historic I-270 boundary.**


-Public Sewer and Water to the East  
-Farms, forests, and small hamlets to the west

**Green line is also the Planning Commission Recommended Boundary**

### Map Legend:

- Yellow dotted line represents developer-owned parcels.
- small dots owned prior to release of July 2021 Plan
- larger dashes, purchased in November of 2021 while Plan was in front of the Planning Commission. (Applies to all maps in this presentation).
- Monocacy National Battlefield is shaded blue.
- Note North is toward the upper left corner of the paper to provide a lengthier view of the boundary

# July 2021 Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Boundary



The purpose of this plan is preservation.


Note the corrupted path of the proposed northeastern boundary in the July 2021 Plan.

Note the first developer cutout at Park Mills road and Route 80. Note the second developer cutout on Thurston Road .

Remember that the Boundary has been I-270 for the past 50 years.


Also remember that there is no planned interchange at Park Mills Road in the County's Annual Transportation and Needs Review for at least the next 20 years. Council Member McKay asked if the County could remove reference to the non-existent from the existing documentation.

## Gateway to Sugarloaf – Proximity of Urbana Interchange to the green space of Sugarloaf Mountain



- Note proximity of interchange and developer property to green space at and near Sugarloaf Mountain.
- Note that developer land is toward the top of the watershed and that any contamination, sediment or impacts from major construction will flow down stream into the Plan area.
- Note the historic boundary line of I-270.


# Monocacy National Battlefield and the Treasured Landscape Management Plan



- Note the proximity of Monocacy National Battlefield to Developer owned land.
- Note there is only one parcel separating Developer-owned land (in yellow) and the Battlefield.
- Note the cultural integration of this area.
- Note the lack of respect for Hopehill, an historic African American community, whether the March 2021 boundary or the July 2021 boundary
- Note the lack of respect for the farmers along Baker Valley Road who already put their land under protective easements.


# Proximity of Developer Land to Monocacy National Battlefield

## Map showing proximity of Monocacy National Battlefield to developer-owned property



- Note historic boundary and Planning Commission Boundary in green.
- Note proximity of developer properties in yellow.
- Note July 2021 draft Boundary in blue.
- Note that developer property is only one parcel away from Monocacy National Battlefield.
- Note that there are two large parcels remaining in this area that are ripe for potential development.
- Note properties west of Baker Valley road are under preservation easements but large properties to the right are not.

## Land use and preservation easements near Monocacy National Battlefield. Why move the boundary and open the area around the Battlefield to intensive development pressure?



- Note protective easements to the west of Baker Valley Road.
- Note the historic and Planning Commission Recommended boundary of I-270.
- Note the July 2021 proposed boundary in red.
- Note the remaining undeveloped Agricultural parcels to the east of Baker Valley Road.
- Note the developer owned properties marked with yellow cross hatching.
- Note the streams in this area. The developer properties are in the headwaters of the streams.
- Note what plan says about the magnification of impacts if buildings are constructed in the headwaters of streams.
- Discharge from any possible construction will flow into the protected area, including sensitive habitats.
- Note that changing the boundary would expose land around the Battlefield to intensive development pressure.

## Conclusions

- What does a comparison of the maps show you?
- Why is the July 2021 boundary tortured to go around certain properties?
- Why not support protecting the area around Monocacy National Battlefield?
- What about the unfairness and inequity of the July 2021 boundary? How do you think residents who live on Route 80 or Thurston Road feel?
- If you were living on the protected side of Route 80 or Thurston Road, but dense development arrives on the unprotected side, right across the road, would you stay or would you go? Would you work to rezone or sell out because that was better for your family? Does that lead to development sprawl?
- What benefits will this plan have if Council Members vote to support the tortured boundary and watch development march forward, property by adjoining property?
- What can the community to expect for the next small area and preservation planning efforts?

**From:** [Ingrid Rosencrantz](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Please vote against Council Member Dacey's amendment to change the boundary. Do not allow development west of I270  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 8:44:47 AM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

I am submitting my previously submitted comments for the record. Please add these to the record. Thank you.  
Ingrid Rosencrantz

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Ingrid Rosencrantz <[catoctinck@gmail.com](mailto:catoctinck@gmail.com)>  
**Subject: Please vote against Council Member Dacey's amendment to change the boundary. Do not allow development west of I270**  
**Date:** September 12, 2022 at 5:04:54 PM EDT  
**To:** [jfitzwater@frederickcountymd.gov](mailto:jfitzwater@frederickcountymd.gov), [mckeegan-ayer@frederickcountymd.gov](mailto:mckeegan-ayer@frederickcountymd.gov)  
**Cc:** paul walker <[pwsccg@yahoo.com](mailto:pwsccg@yahoo.com)>

To Council President Keegan-Ayer and Council Member Fitzwater:

I urge you to support the Sugarloaf Plan boundary as recommended by the Frederick County Planning Commission, on the east along I-270 from the Monocacy National Battlefield to the Montgomery County line and including Sugarloaf Mountain. Changing this boundary would open up the area to development, bit by bit, piece by piece and, with the intense development pressure in this part of the County, in the end, little will be preserved. If we can't hold the line at 270, how will we hold it elsewhere?

I would also like to highlight that I have spoken to several Civil War groups that are quite concerned about removing protections from the area around Monocacy National Battlefield, and I expect you will be receiving comments from them if you already haven't. I also just heard again from the Heart of the Civil War, who submitted comments last week supporting the Plan, including the boundary at I-270 from the Battlefield to the Montgomery County Line.

Also, let me add my support to Mr. Paul Walker's comments he submitted a few weeks ago. We are both on the Smarter Growth Alliance of Frederick County and, as he noted, "most green voters in the county oppose the dense development west of I-270 such an amendment would encourage. The various environmental groups have supported this preservation issue the past year, following the leadership of the Sugarloaf Alliance."

Further, please support the inclusion of this entire Plan area in the Rural Heritage Overlay Zoning District.

**From:** [Ingrid Rosencrantz](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Cc:** [Gardner, Jan](#)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Comments one the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 8:43:59 AM  
**Attachments:** [comments on Sugarloaf plan - Ingrid Rosencrantz.docx](#)

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

I am resending comments I made over a year ago to the County Council. Please add these to the record. Interesting to see that they are all still valid concerns.  
Thank you.

Ingrid Rosencrantz

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** Ingrid Rosencrantz <[catoctinck@gmail.com](mailto:catoctinck@gmail.com)>  
**Subject: Comments one the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan**  
**Date:** August 31, 2021 at 4:51:48 PM EDT  
**To:** Council Members <[councilmembers@frederickcountymd.gov](mailto:councilmembers@frederickcountymd.gov)>, Sugarloaf Area Plan <[sugarloafareaplan@frederickcountymd.gov](mailto:sugarloafareaplan@frederickcountymd.gov)>  
**Cc:** "Gardner, Jan" <[JGardner@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:JGardner@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>, Ingrid Rosencrantz <[catoctinck@gmail.com](mailto:catoctinck@gmail.com)>

---

Dear County Council Members,

Attached please find my comments on the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan. First let me thank you for the opportunity to be on the citizens advisory committee. My Dad's side of the family has lived on the property where I live on Fingerboard Road for about 100 years and my Mom's side of the family goes back to Frederick's founders. It's an understatement to say I care very deeply about the area. I participated in many the very informative meetings and submitted comments on the earlier draft shared with the committee. I will say I am disappointed that the County has not appropriately responded to some of those comments, especially the comments on the boundary of the study area, and I am offering those comments as well as others here.


My main concern involves the boundary of the Plan and how that boundary was determined. Please see my attached comments; they include draft maps that clearly demonstrate one developer's success in manipulating our County's government. When you take the next steps with this plan, please drop the Natelli Cutout, and extend the eastern boundary along I-270 to the Monocacy River. This plan could then be a success that the County could be proud of, with wide public support. Otherwise, there will be grave concerns with this County's government and its integrity.

Thank you,


Sincerely,  
Ingrid Rosencrantz  
Fingerboard Road

## Comments on the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan - Issues with the boundary lines of the Sugarloaf Plan-- Hold the line on development at 270!

- **Biggest concern.** Currently, the biggest concern is the boundary of the Sugarloaf Plan. Historically, the County has used Route 270 as the dividing line between new high-density development to the east and beautiful rural and small community preservation to the west. The dividing line of Route 270 remains by far the best line for planning purposes and no high-density development should occur west of 270 in this area. If the line at 270 falls, urban sprawl will reign and eventually consume much of the area west of 270.
- **Two Natelli-owned properties west of Route 270.** There are two Natelli-owned properties west of 270; both abut but are not included in the County's preferred plan boundary. (Please see attached maps 1 and 2.)
  - The Natelli Property on Thurston Road was clearly carved out of the Plan boundary between the initial version shared with the Citizen's Advisory Committee and the second draft that was shared with the public at the end of July, 2021.
  - The Natelli-owned property on Park Mills Road was not included within the County's initial draft boundary shared with the Citizen's Advisory Committee, despite the history of the boundary being Route 270. The County's preferred initial boundary ignored the well-accepted boundary of Route 270.
    - Several folks commented on the initial Draft Plan that the boundary should be Route 270 and not Route 80 and the County has not responded appropriately to address these comments. I am on the Citizen's Advisory Committee and I was verbally told by a County representative that the maps were already made and changes could not happen.
      - Maryland DOT has on long range maps a possible Route 270 interchange at Park Mills Road, when in fact, the purpose of the Plan is supposedly preservation. How might the local community feel about this proposed interchange and why is it not addressed directly in the Sugarloaf Plan?
      - In fact, when this issue of two Natelli-owned properties was raised several times during the August "open houses," the County did not answer the questions or clarify that there are two Natelli properties West of 270 and abutting the County-proposed boundary.



## Map showing proximity of Natelli Park Mills Property to Monocacy Battlefield National Park



**Monocacy Battlefield National Park.** This is an opportunity to better protect Monocacy Battlefield National Park. From the perspective of Park vulnerability, the potential growth area to the east of 270 extends to Ball Road, practically next to the Park. This will change the bucolic nature of the area near the Park, which is already bounded to the north by commercial development. If the area to the west of Route 270 is included in the plan, there will be more protections in place, and it's the best opportunity to preserve the bucolic nature of the area to the south and west of the Battlefield. If we do not take this opportunity to prevent high density development to the west of Route 270, the Park will be surrounded and its very nature will change.

- If high-density development is allowed west of 270, the Monocacy Civil War Battlefield will be further threatened. There is only one property currently between the Natelli-owned land on Park Mills road and the Park boundary (see attached map). Mr. Natelli has been clear that his intention is to develop land he owns.
- Also, if the area between Route 80 and Route 270 from Park Mills to Baker Valley is not protected, large landowners will sell for development. In fact, one landowner abutting Natelli's Park Mills property has advertised their farm for sale, highlighting its proximity to the Natelli plot as a selling point to potential developers. If the line at 270 is not held, the agricultural and forested land along the west side of 270 will be developed. See the map above.

**Make the Plan boundary Route 270.** Again, I wish to very clearly make the comment that the boundary for the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan should be Route 270 from the Montgomery County line to the Monocacy River, with no special carveouts for any developer-owned land.

- **Fairness.** There should be no special carveouts or sweetheart deals of any kind. As many other commenters have said, fairness is a major issue.
- **Appropriate Buffer.** There should be an appropriate buffer between development and the preservation area. By placing the boundary of the Sugarloaf Study area at Route 270 from the Montgomery County line to the Monocacy River, a clear buffer will be established, which will protect the feel of the neighborhood and way of life of those that live to the west of Route 270, and will discourage some large landowners from selling their property for development.
- **Water quality and protection of watersheds.** The plan professes to protect water resources however, if the boundary is drawn as the County proposes, at Route 80 and Thurston road (avoiding but abutting two Natelli properties), the plan will protect development interests at the head of the watersheds. Both properties are higher in elevation and run-off from these “to- be” developed properties will run downhill into the “so-called” protected area. Moving the boundary to 270 will fix this issue by limiting development at the head of the watershed and protect the watershed, especially the creeks that flow to the Monocacy River from an increased burden of sediment and other pollutants. Why is the boundary not at the top of the watershed?
- **Preservation.** If preservation is actually the goal of the plan, and if the Sugarloaf Plan is to be at all effective, the boundary has to be at Route 270. If this well-established natural boundary is crossed, there will be a race to the bottom, with rural neighbors opting to appeal current zoning, cashing out and moving rather than tolerating the overpowering density of a Villages-like neighborhood and environment. This has been the history of suburban sprawl.
- **Effectiveness.** One breach of the 270 line will lead to many other breaches. Because we love our way of life, we choose to hold the line at 270 but if the line is crossed, many of us who own large tracts of land will chose to push through zoning changes on our own parcels and sell to developers and move. If the boundary is not set directly at 270, eventually there will be no Sugarloaf treasured area, except for the mountain itself.

**Additional Comments:**

- Does the community want to be Montgomery County north, with development lining 270 the entire way to Frederick City?
- What infrastructure will support extending development west of 270?
  - Where could sewer and water run in the area of the Thurston Road cutout?  
There are concerns about extending water and sewer to the west of 270 and some ideas were mentioned at Tuesday’s meeting about how it might happen.

- What kind of infrastructure will be needed for development of the Natelli property at Park Mills Road? Mr. Natelli has been clear that he intends to develop the property he owns.
- What impacts will this have on the rural nature of our community?
- What impacts will this have on our local roads and traffic?
  - How many accidents happen on Route 80, and other roads in the plan? What about the number of accidents at the corner of Slate quarry Road and Route 109?
  - Thurston Road to Slate Quarry is already an over-used commuter route.
  - What happens when roads are widened to deal with new traffic demands? What happens to people whose front yards shrink and their houses end up too close to major traffic arteries?
    - How will that affect their way of life? Traffic noise, personal risk, environment risk, asthma from exhaust, etc.? Who benefits from their sacrifice?
- What impacts will this have on our schools?
  - Schools already are overcrowded. What money will be available for new schools? Will a new school be built west of 270 to support potential development?
  - As evidenced by complaints of Urbana gridlock on the first day of school, clearly the County has not solved its existing traffic issues.
  - Do impact fees actually cover the costs school construction and other infrastructure needs?
- Why is Thurston Road not in the plan as a rural road?
- Please recognize and respect the clear cultural divide between the east and west sides of 270.

#### **Process issues and trust in County government**

- Why the hurry? I am on the Sugarloaf Stakeholder's Advisory Committee and the County was quiet on this topic for over a year and suddenly, within less than a month's time, the County released the draft plan to the Advisory Committee, set up 2 "Open Houses" within a single week in the middle of August, and had limited the time for the community to consider the plan, develop comments, and present them. What's driving the hustle?
- The format of the last meeting does not meet the community's needs and may not meet typical "sunshine" requirements for public meetings. This particular format puts the county residents at a clear disadvantage.
  - Participants could not know who was participating in the meeting in real time, as we would in person or on a Zoom call. Why did the County choose to keep this control?
  - The format did not foster community cohesion or discussion by neighbors about preferences for the plan.

- The platform was difficult to use. The power-point was not visible. Both phone and computer were needed to both see and call in, creating double sound and delay, and many citizens had difficulty getting through to the call-in queue.

Again, we are citizens of this County – why do we not get the opportunity for a fair and transparent playing field in which to develop and transmit our comments? Also, do we need to file an information request to see who called into the meeting. This forum is not acceptable for public comment.

- I have heard many serious concerns about backdoor discussions between the developer and the County. County staff referred to meetings with landowners. I believe, as citizens who pay our taxes, we are due a clear accounting of meetings that were held and the topics and tenor of the discussions.
- Many months ago, I made a comment on the initial draft - shared with the Sugarloaf Citizen's Advisory Committee by the County - suggesting that the study area should extend to Route 270 from the County line to the Monocacy and should not be bounded by Route 80 to the northern side. Several citizens also commented on this section of the draft agreeing that 270 should be the boundary. When I followed-up on the comment, I was told that the maps were already made and that no changes could be made. We now see that is not true with new cutout to the boundary to support development of a Natelli-owned property.
- Decision-makers are employed – and some elected - as public servants. Backdoor deals, out of the public eye, appear self-serving to those in on the deal. Insistence on a small-picture conversation (excluding all the Natelli properties in the area) suggests manipulation of the public conversation. Sadly, we have to begin asking the cynical questions about officials' expectations of monetary gain, ambition and power. Is this what Frederick County's government has devolved to?

**From:** [Maryrose](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 8:11:00 AM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Dear Councilmembers,

Please support the preservation goals of the Sugarloaf Plan, the I-270 boundary, and the overlay district. I believe that it is critical to our local environment that the County Council pass the Planning Commission's recommended Sugarloaf plan.

Thank you,

Maryrose Wilson

**From:** [Mary Carlsson](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf Alliance  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 8:37:35 AM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

I firmly oppose any changes to the plans I-270 boundary and the overlay preservation goals for the Sugarloaf area. I am particularly opposed to any consideration for a data center and other dense development. Why is it so difficult to keep something beautiful from being scoured by development for the sake of greedy developers?

I have lived on Sugarloaf mountain for 30 years.

Mary Carlsson

**From:** [Giuseppe Savona](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Data Centers  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 8:16:02 AM

---

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Dear Council Members

We strongly oppose the construction of data centers within the surrounding area of Sugarloaf Mountain.

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Robert Groombridge](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** West of I-270 rezoning  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 7:55:52 AM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

I'm against the rezoning of the areas west of I-270. There is a need for Frederick County to keep its rural and agricultural areas intact. There are many reasons why this is the wrong way for the county to go. For one the road infrastructure can't handle the increase in traffic, causing safety issues. Two, I-270 can't handle the daily traffic now and the increase in cars from development in this area is irresponsible and not very well thought out.

Thank you.

**From:** [Cathy Ouellette](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Master plan hearing  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 7:42:40 AM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Dear Council Members,  
I am a resident that lives near Sugarloaf Mountain.  
I am writing to urge you to grant NO exemptions to the  
good master plan for Frederick County. Please don't be  
bullied by StrongHold, Inc. when they say they will close  
Sugarloaf to the public.  
Keep Frederick County beuatiful!  
Sincerely,  
Catherine Ouellette

**From:** [Brandt, Kimberly G.](#)  
**To:** [Specht, Jennifer](#)  
**Subject:** FW: New voicemail for County Council from Public Input  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 2:37:34 PM  
**Attachments:** [Sugarloaf Management Plan.msg](#)  
[image001.png](#)  
[Sugarloaf .msg](#)  
[Sugarloaf Plan comments.msg](#)  
[Support for Sugarloaf plan.msg](#)  
[Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan - Hold the Line and Oppose Developer Carve-Out Boundary Changes West of I-270.msg](#)  
[Support for Sugarloaf Mt Management plan.msg](#)  
[Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan.msg](#)  
[Protect the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape.msg](#)  
[Please Vote to Approve the Sugarloaf Plan Without Exceptions.msg](#)  
[Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan - Sugarloaf Alliance Inc. public comments.msg](#)

---

**From:** Cherney, Ragen <RCherney@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 9:05 AM  
**To:** Brandt, Kimberly G. <KGBrandt@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Cc:** Mitchell, Kathy (Legal) <KMitchell2@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: New voicemail for County Council from Public Input

**Ragen Cherney**  
*Chief of Staff/Legislative Director*  
Frederick County Council  
Winchester Hall  
12 East Church Street  
Frederick, Maryland 21701  
301.600.1049



---

**From:** Luna, Nancy <[NLuna@FrederickCountyMD.gov](#)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 8:56 AM  
**To:** Council Members <[CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov](#)>  
**Cc:** County Council Staff <[CountyCouncilStaff@FrederickCountyMD.gov](#)>  
**Subject:** New voicemail for County Council from Public Input

---

From: +13015290819

Message Transcription: My name is Ellen Georgie. I live at 29 85 Hope Mills Lane,

Adamstown, Maryland. So I am right between the proposed changes to the Sugarloaf plan, and that is literally my backyard. I am against extending development to this side of two 70. We've been here since 2004 and have watched the spread of Urbana and the Naali development. And while we welcome people to Frederick County, we really believe that we need to have a livable county. And our access to Sugarloaf Mountain is very important, not only for us, but the wildlife that continually streams back and forth. And having a data center between us and Sugarloaf Mountain or another sprawling development between us and the battlefield would be a detrimental effect on our lives, the quality of our lives, the beauty of our commute in this area. And I am against expanding development on the side of two 70. Thank you very.

[Audio File](#)

You can change or disable notifications like these on the [project settings tab](#).

**From:** [Brandt, Kimberly G.](#)  
**To:** [Specht, Jennifer](#)  
**Subject:** FW: RE: Save the Sugarloaf & Frederick Co.  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 2:39:21 PM  
**Attachments:** [Re West of I-270 rezoning.msg](#)  
[image001.png](#)  
[Sugarloaf area.msg](#)  
[Comments from August 23 2022 Sugarloaf Plan.msg](#)  
[No development west of 270.msg](#)  
[Comments on the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan.msg](#)

---

**From:** Cherney, Ragen <RCherney@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 9:41 AM  
**To:** Brandt, Kimberly G. <KGBrandt@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Cc:** Mitchell, Kathy (Legal) <KMitchell2@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: RE: Save the Sugarloaf & Frederick Co.

**Ragen Cherney**  
*Chief of Staff/Legislative Director*  
Frederick County Council  
Winchester Hall  
12 East Church Street  
Frederick, Maryland 21701  
301.600.1049



---

**From:** TERRY OLAND <[terry.oland@comcast.net](mailto:terry.oland@comcast.net)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 9:19 AM  
**To:** Council Members <[CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: RE: Save the Sugarloaf & Frederick Co.

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

We the VOTERS of Frederick Co. are watching, (SEE 9/13 BELOW EMAIL)

AGAIN:

PROTECT our Sugarloaf homes and HOLD THE 270 LINE !!!!

Mr. Natelli DOES NOT LIVE HERE & WE / FREDERICK CO. DOESN'T NEED WHAT HE IS SELLING !!!! He purchased FARMLAND & it's time to FARM IT !!!

HOLD THE 270 LINE NOW & FOREVER !!!!

NO DATA CENTERS or MORE DEVELOPMENT ON THE SUGARLOAF SIDE OF 270  
----- PERIOD !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

We the voters are watching & will be at the meeting, 9/27/22, TONIGHT! PLEASE open your eyes & ears and do what's right! HOLD THE LINE !!!!

Terry Oland  
2409 Thurston Road

----- Original Message -----

From: "Fitzwater, Jessica" <[JFitzwater@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:JFitzwater@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>  
To: TERRY OLAND <[terry.oland@comcast.net](mailto:terry.oland@comcast.net)>  
Date: 09/27/2022 8:26 AM  
Subject: RE: Save the Sugarloaf & Frederick Co.

Thank you so much for contacting me about the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Plan. I truly appreciate hearing your thoughts on this incredibly important area plan within the Livable Frederick Master Plan. We have heard significant concerns from many different stakeholders, and I assure you that I am listening and looking for areas of common ground, as I always do.

I apologize if this response is delayed- we have received a lot of emails and I am doing my best to absorb everything.

Thank you again for your engagement,  
Jessica

**Jessica Fitzwater**  
Frederick County Council, District 4  
12 E. Church St.  
Frederick, MD 21701  
[jfitzwater@frederickcountymd.gov](mailto:jfitzwater@frederickcountymd.gov)

---

**From:** TERRY OLAND <[terry.oland@comcast.net](mailto:terry.oland@comcast.net)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 13, 2022 10:30 AM  
**To:** Council Members <[CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>  
**Subject:** Save the Sugarloaf & Frederick Co.

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Council Members,

It's time to STEP UP for the Sugarloaf & Frederick's Preservation and

HOLD THE 270 LINE !!! PLEASE do your job & DO NOT approve Councilmen's Dacey's amendment to CUT OUT Mr. Natelli's FARMLAND from a GREAT PRESERVATION plan! Mr. Natelli, who lives in Montgomery Co. and ALWAYS give his Frederick office address at Council meetings, purchased OUTSTANDING FARMLAND and he should FARM IT! Frederick Co. or the State of Maryland DID NOT give Mr. Natelli any guarantee for development rights!

IF you open the door to more development like he has already done in Frederick how do we support our Schools, Roads, Fire & Police Protection, Clean Air & Water and ALL the other services that will be needed! We, the ones who live here, will be stuck with the bill & all the mess as Mr. Natelli returns to his home in Montgomery Co. with pockets full of money!

PLEASE do what's right and HOLD THE LINE !!!!!!

My wife & I have lived in Frederick Co. for 71 years and it's time to save our OUTSATNDING HOME before it's TO LATE !!!!!

Terry & Sharon  
2409 Thurston Road  
Frederick, Maryland 21704

**From:** [Jane Dunsmore](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf area  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 9:25:53 AM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Please think of this area as the equal of Central Park in New York City.

You can build all around it, but you can't replace it after it is built up. **It is a gem that can be destroyed. Lost. Forever.**

Please don't destroy this gem to make more taxable houses.

Thank you.

Merinda Jane Dunsmore

9194 Lansing Ct.

Frederick, MD 21701

**From:** [Ellen Georgi](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** No development west of 270  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 9:32:03 AM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Dear Frederick County Council members,

As a resident of the area west of 270 that would be directly affected by the expansion of the allowed development zone, I ask you to not allow this to happen. We have a working migration zone for wildlife from the Sugarloaf and Baker Valley areas, and development would be detrimental to migration paths. Having watched the development of the Natelli plan since our arrival here in 2004, we can see the negative impact on our lives. More traffic, more pollution, less open space and more demands on the well water we share. We bought our house in the county, not in a development, because we valued the beauty of the environment on this side of 270. Having the open spaces on this side of 270 linking up with the green spaces of Sugarloaf is important to our quality of life.

Thank you for taking the time to listen to my opinion.

--

Ellen Georgi

**From:** [Ingrid Rosencrantz](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Comments on the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 9:39:28 AM  
**Attachments:** [I. Rosencrantz comments County Council 8\\_11\\_22.pdf](#)  
[Rosencrantz 8\\_15\\_22 County Council comments.pdf](#)

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

I'm attaching below written versions of comments I submitted verbally during earlier County Council Meetings. Please add these to the record for the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan.

Thank you.  
Ingrid Rosencrantz  
Fingerboard Road  
Frederick, MD 21704

My name is Ingrid Rosencrantz and I live on Fingerboard Road in the Plan area. First let me say I support the Plan and its goals for preservation.

Secondly, I'd like to acknowledge both Council Member McKay and Council Member Hagan for their insights and ideas during last week's meeting concerning the existing plan's page 54 language. Great insights, Council members. Thankyou!

The offending language on page 54 offers an invitation to reopen the Plan to allow development on the west side of 270 in the near future. I'd like to reiterate that the goal of this plan is preservation. To have a chance of meeting this goal in the face of extreme development pressure, it seems best if the Plan directly states that the area west of 270 is for preservation and part of the County's green infrastructure. Also, as Mr. Goodfellow said last Thursday, include a clear statement that any multimodal development is contingent on mass transit.

There is plenty of designated space for development in the nearby growth areas (Urbana, South Frederick, etc.). Any proposed changes to transportation or land use plans in neighboring growth areas should be evaluated considering potential impacts to the preservation goals of the Sugarloaf Plan. Development in the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape is not inevitable, and this is the time to say so, clearly and directly.

In addition, I suggest revising language on p. 58 to clearly set the goal of expansion of the Carrollton Manor Rural Legacy Area boundary to cover the entire Sugarloaf Plan area. Please add a map showing the existing Rural Legacy area and the expansion to cover the entire Plan area. To add the entire area costs neither the County nor the State any dollars but instead offers the opportunity to preserve land in the area in perpetuity if money is available to do so. It provides an additional tool to meet the preservation goals of the Plan and provides for the County and State to make individual decisions on individual plots at a later time, depending on priorities and funding. Why not set as a goal of expansion of the Rural Legacy Area to cover the entire Plan area?

As I'm sure the Council Members are aware, the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape provides necessary environmental value and benefits that cannot be reclaimed once destroyed by intensive development. We need the fields and forests preserved to help combat climate change and balance human land uses with our ever-dwindling natural resources.

My name is Ingrid Rosencrantz and I'm speaking to you from the woods on my family's farm which is in the plan area. This Land's been in my family for over 100 years. If you could hear over the telephone the sounds that I'm hearing now you would hear the creek running and maybe you would hear birds singing, perhaps a scarlet tanager or earlier in the season, a wood thrush. When I hear the white throated sparrow in the spring, I immediately think of staying at my grand-parent's house on Baker Valley Road, just across from the Monocacy Battlefield. You might also see some deer wander by or if you're really lucky, a fox hunting in the bushes. I see those mostly in the winter when they stand out from the snow on the ground. It's a little heartbreaking to see all the downed ash trees in the woods, due to the emerald ash borer, but I'm hopeful that the other trees like the tulip poplars, the oaks and even the locust trees, will fill in those holes.

I grew up here playing in the woods and the creek, making hay and helping my neighbor carry milk because they didn't have a fancy milking parlor. As a kid, I wrestled imaginary alligators in Bennett's creek. I jumped out of the hay mow and learned about electric fences on my Great Uncle's farm on Baker Valley, which is now part of the Battlefield. I rode my bicycle down Park Mills Road to play with my cousins who lived at the opposite end of my family's farm.

As you can probably tell, I love this land and I believe it's worth preserving.

And let me say, I'm not the only one. I just looked at the petition that Sugarloaf Alliance has posted. They put it up only a week ago and there are already over 500 signatures; that's 500 people that support preservation of this area, holding the line at 270, applying the overlay to the entire plan area and removing the language on page 54 that would allow the plan to be reopened soon after it's finalized to allow development on the west side of 270.

I'm sure not all of the folks that signed the petition grew up here, but I know some did. And many come here for a relaxing break from the hustle and bustle of Frederick, or Urbana or Rockville or even DC. They hike at Monocacy Battlefield, climb Sugarloaf Mountain or kayak Bennett's Creek. Some come simply to take a drive in the country. And they all support preserving this beautiful and bucolic area.

I'd like to end my comments with a quote from a book called The Pine Island Paradox:

"I think the ethic of care has it right: The care we feel for people is the ground of our moral responsibilities toward them. And I think Aldo Leopold has it right: Our moral responsibility to care for the land grows from our love for the land and from the intricate, life-giving relationship between people and their places."

Thank you.

**From:** [Ingrid Rosencrantz](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Comments from August 23, 2022 Sugarloaf Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 9:29:44 AM  
**Attachments:** [Rosencrantz 8\\_23\\_22comments.pdf](#)

---

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Attached is a written version of comments submitted verbally on August 23, 2022 to the Frederick County Council on the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan. These comments may differ from the verbal comments that were submitted. Please add these to the record for this Plan.

Thank you.  
Ingrid Rosencrantz  
Fingerboard Road,  
Frederick, MD 21704

My name is Ingrid Rosencrantz and I live on Fingerboard Road within the area of the Plan. My comments this evening are more technical than personal so I won't be bringing up the scarlet tanager or my grandmother's grit and determination. Instead, this evening, I'd like to ask you to look at some maps I have provided that begin to outline the history of the boundary of the Sugarloaf Treasurer Landscape Management Plan.

The first map presents the historic planning boundary between intensive development with water and sewer to the northeast of 270 and the agriculture and resource conservation zoned area with low density housing to the southwest of 270. (Attachment 1). Please note that on the first two maps the direction north is to the upper left corner of the page. This orientation is necessary to present a large portion of the northeastern boundary of the plan on one page.

As you've heard before, I-270 has been the dividing line between development to the northeast and preservation to the southwest of 270. As I'm sure you're all aware there's water and sewer to the northeast of I-270 and there is no infrastructure to the southwest of I-270. I've also added an outline, in yellow dashes, delineating parcels southwest of I-270 that are owned by the developer. One of these parcels, outlined by longer dashes was purchased by the developer in the late fall of 2021, quite recently, and while this plan was under review.

OK let's go back to the early draft boundary then I saw while I was part of the citizens advisory committee. In the area I was most familiar with, the boundary was not I-270 as expected but instead Route 80, which frankly confounded me. I submitted comments on October 27th of 2020. I've attached a link here (<https://livablefrederick.wixsite.com/livable-frederick/forum-1/sugarloaf-area-plan/revise-northern-part-of-study-area-to-use-270-as-boundary-rather-than-route-80>). As you can see in my comments I was frustrated that the historically Black community of Hope Hill was cut in half and that a boundary of route 80 could end up putting neighbor against neighbor. In response to my comments, I was told that the county can't change the maps because it would require re calculating all the numbers in the plan.

Imagine my surprise when the July 2022 plan was released. The boundary was clearly changed in the area of Thurston Road, carving out several parcels including a large agriculturally zoned parcel owned by the developer. I also noted that hope hill was carved into the plan but no protections were provided to his community on its northern or western boundaries. My second attachment shows the proposed boundary in the July 2021 Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan, along with parcel ownership. Many others have discussed the issues around lack of transparency in this decision, and I'll point out, again, in case you were not here last night, that there is ongoing litigation involving this lack of transparency. I suspect the attached map may provide you with some inside of your own.

Putting that aside I'd like to make a few additional points, Which gets me to my third map attachment this map is from the counties GIS system and shows the upper northwest quadrant of the plan area. I'd like to highlight the area between the river and Baker valley Rd is preserved under several different land preservation programs and there is a very small area remaining that abuts and partially surrounds the Monocacy National Battlefield that is zoned agricultural.

This plan is a preservation plan. What reason would the county have to not include this hallowed ground and its bucolic surroundings in the plan? The Planning Commission clearly felt it was important to change the boundaries and incorporate this area and that is represented in the plan that you have in front of you today. Thank You.

**From:** [Brandt, Kimberly G.](#)  
**To:** [Specht, Jennifer](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on the Sugarloaf Overlay  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 2:40:49 PM  
**Attachments:** [comments on plan overlay.pdf](#)  
[Sugarloaf.msg](#)  
[image001.png](#)

---

**From:** Cherney, Ragen <RCherney@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 10:48 AM  
**To:** Brandt, Kimberly G. <KGBrandt@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Cc:** Mitchell, Kathy (Legal) <KMitchell2@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on the Sugarloaf Overlay

**Ragen Cherney**  
*Chief of Staff/Legislative Director*  
Frederick County Council  
Winchester Hall  
12 East Church Street  
Frederick, Maryland 21701  
301.600.1049



---

**From:** Ingrid Rosencrantz <[catoctinck@gmail.com](mailto:catoctinck@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 10:08 AM  
**To:** Council Members <[CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>  
**Subject:** Comments on the Sugarloaf Overlay

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

I'm submitting comments that I initially submitted to the Planning Commission in February of this year on the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan. These comments seem even more relevant now that we understand that data centers are the most likely use of the land in the Plan"carve-outs" that Mr. Dacey and the Developer would like to reinstate. Please include this email as well as the attachment in the record for this action.

Thank you.

Ingrid Rosencrantz  
Fingerboard Road  
Frederick, MD 21704

## Monocacy Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan - Comments on the Overlay

- **In order to meet the goal of preserving the Sugarloaf region, the Monocacy-Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan Overlay should apply to the entire area within the currently defined boundary.** The intent of the Overlay District is to “ensure the long-term sustainability, health and integrity of ...the landscapes that comprise the Sugarloaf Planning Area.”
- The Livable Frederick Master Plan presents a future vision that emphasizes the County’s natural environment including the Green Infrastructure and Agricultural Infrastructure segments. The Monocacy-Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan and the Overlay District are consistent with the Master Plan’s goals.
- Some recent comments to the Planning Commission have opposed any future land use and development limitations in the Monocacy-Sugarloaf region and cite “the I-270 Corridor Plan” for support. I repeat this fact: **the County has no I-270 Corridor Plan.**
  - The Frederick County Transportation Needs and Priorities Review Report through Year 2045 does not include reference to a future I-270 interchange at Park Mills Road.
- Fifty years of past planning documents for the Sugarloaf/Urbana area identify I-270 as the boundary between rural and developed areas (Mr. Goodfellow, staff presentation to the Planning Commission, 11/10/21). This boundary should stand and be supported by the Plan overlay.
- The overlay should be made stronger by requiring exceptions for buildings over the established size limit go through zoning appeals process rather than only requiring review by the County Planning Staff.
- I read articles about Amazon wanting to build data centers in the area, possibly in the southern part of the County. Pages 2 through 6 below provide a rough side-by-side comparison showing what that might look like if it were to happen on developer-owned land in the Monocacy Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Plan Area. Each of these pages represents random data center construction from Ashburn VA compared to developer owned land in the Plan area. Each of these areas is at or near the top of the watershed, where water will flow downhill and further into the Treasured Landscape area. Also note the lack of infrastructure to support these industrial uses. Are we risking imminent domain to get power to these possible data centers? Is this really what we want in the Treasured Landscape? I think not!
- Pages 6 and 7 represent State of Maryland designated areas of biological value. As one would expect, the valued areas are located throughout the plan area. I’ve highlighted the areas near 270 that may be the most vulnerable to development in order to demonstrate that biological value exists up to and beyond 270. Again, the protections afforded by the overlay should extend over the entire plan area.

## Possibility of Data Centers?

Back of the envelope visual comparison of random data center construction near Ashburn, VA and developer owned properties within the Monocacy Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan




Random Data Center near Ashburn, VA




Portion of Area near Thurston Road originally proposed as a cut-out from the plan.

Google Earth shots are at the same scale. Each segment of yellow line is 200 meters (656 ft), roughly 2 football fields.

We cannot confirm whether these areas were/are destined for data centers. In any case, these pictures clearly demonstrate that the Monocacy Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape is no place for such large buildings with extremely high power and water demands.




Random Data Center near Ashburn, VA




Area at Park Mills Road originally not in the plan

Google Earth shots are at the same scale. Each segment of yellow line is 200 meters (656 ft), roughly 2 football fields.

We cannot confirm whether these areas were/are destined for data centers. In any case, these pictures clearly demonstrate that the Monocacy Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape is no place for such large buildings with extremely high power and water demands.



Random Data Center near Ashburn, VA




Area north of Route 80 adjoining the Sheriff's Boys Ranch


Google Earth shots are at the same scale. Each segment of yellow line is 200 meters (656 ft), roughly 2 football fields.

We cannot confirm whether these areas were/are destined for data centers. In any case, these pictures clearly demonstrate that the Monocacy Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape is no place for such large buildings with extremely high power and water demands.


The screen shot below shows the same data center construction in Ashburn, VA (labeled QTS data centers) at 600m scale to provide more context. Note the high level of infrastructure to support industrial operations. The Treasured Landscape does not have this kind of public infrastructure.



There are State designated Targeted Ecological Areas (TEAs) throughout the Plan Area, including near I-270. This is another reason that the overlay should apply to the entire plan. (See examples below).





Area near I-270 and Park Mills Road containing TEAs.



Areas near I-270 and Thurston Road containing TEAs.

Note examples of locations of Maryland Forest Interior Dwelling Species and Sensitive Species Project Study Areas within the Plan area near I-270. Again, these areas should be preserved by the overlay.



**From:** [Frank Berry](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 10:06:32 AM

---

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Please do not sell one of Frederick County's Crown Jewels. Once it's gone, it's gone.  
Thank you, Frank Berry, 25440 Old Hundred Road, Dickerson, MD

Sent from my iPad

**From:** [Brandt, Kimberly G.](#)  
**To:** [Specht, Jennifer](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Notification of County Council Public Hearing for Rezoning Sugarloaf Rural Heritage Overlay Zoning District  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 2:47:13 PM  
**Attachments:** [Notice of Sugarloaf Overlay.pdf](#), [FCLF Response, Sugarloaf Rural Heritage Overlay Zoning District.pdf](#), [Sugarloaf Plan.msg](#), [image001.png](#)

---

**From:** Cherney, Ragen <RCherney@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 1:50 PM  
**To:** Brandt, Kimberly G. <KGBrandt@FrederickCountyMD.gov>; Mitchell, Kathy (Legal) <KMitchell2@FrederickCountyMD.gov>; Goodfellow, Tim <TGoodfellow@FrederickCountyMD.gov>; Horn, Steve <SHorn@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Cc:** Cherney, Ragen <RCherney@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: Notification of County Council Public Hearing for Rezoning Sugarloaf Rural Heritage Overlay Zoning District

**Ragen Cherney**  
*Chief of Staff/Legislative Director*  
Frederick County Council  
Winchester Hall  
12 East Church Street  
Frederick, Maryland 21701  
301.600.1049



---

**From:** Anthony Moscato <[advocacy@fredericklandmarks.org](#)>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 26, 2022 6:36 PM  
**To:** Council Members <[CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov](#)>; Cherney, Ragen <[RCherney@FrederickCountyMD.gov](#)>; Horn, Steve <[SHorn@FrederickCountyMD.gov](#)>; Barlet, Lori <[LBarlet@FrederickCountyMD.gov](#)>  
**Subject:** Notification of County Council Public Hearing for Rezoning Sugarloaf Rural Heritage Overlay Zoning District

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Good Evening, Honorable Frederick County Council

As the property owner of the Zion Church and Cemetery in Urbana, the Frederick County Landmarks Foundation received the Notice to Adjoining Property Owners (dated September 1, 2022) regarding

the hearing for Rezoning Sugarloaf Rural Heritage Overlay Zoning District, Draft Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan (see attachment). We appreciate the notification and the opportunity to address the underlying matter. Accordingly, please find attached our letter of support for the application of the overlay. We kindly request our letter to be included in the record of proceeding as concerning the underlying matter.

We truly appreciate your consideration and welcome any questions.

Sincerest thanks,  
Anthony S. Moscato, Jr.,  
Chair, Advocacy Committee  
Frederick County Landmarks Foundation

**From:** [Maryrose](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 8:11:00 AM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Dear Councilmembers,

Please support the preservation goals of the Sugarloaf Plan, the I-270 boundary, and the overlay district. I believe that it is critical to our local environment that the County Council pass the Planning Commission's recommended Sugarloaf plan.

Thank you,

Maryrose Wilson



## FREDERICK COUNTY GOVERNMENT

### DIVISION OF PLANNING & PERMITTING

Jan H. Gardner  
County Executive

Steven C. Horn, Division Director

---

#### NOTICE TO ADJOINING PROPERTY OWNERS

---

September 1, 2022

**Notification of County Council Public Hearing for Rezoning  
Sugarloaf Rural Heritage Overlay Zoning District  
Draft Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan**

Date/Time: Tuesday, September 27, 2022 at 5:30 P.M.  
Location: Winchester Hall, First Floor Hearing Room, 12 East Church Street, Frederick, Maryland 21701 - Comments also accepted by telephone at 855-925-2801, meeting code 8365

The **Frederick County Council** will conduct a public hearing on **Tuesday, September 27, 2022 at 5:30 p.m.** for the draft Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan and the land use designation and zoning changes proposed therein, to include application of a new Sugarloaf Rural Heritage Overlay Zoning District ("Overlay District") to a portion of the planning area. Public comment will be limited to three (3) minutes per speaker. Recognized organizations will be limited to five (5) minutes.

You are receiving this notification because your property has been identified as *adjoining* the area where the proposed Overlay District would be applied. Please note that the proposed Overlay District is not being applied to your property.

The proposed Overlay District contains design standards for non-residential development, a list of prohibited uses, new requirements for timber harvesting permit applications, and a limit on building footprint for non-residential structures. Structures used only for agricultural activities, as defined in 1-19-11.100 of the County Code, are exempt from this size limitation.

The July 2022 Recommended Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan, which includes a map of the proposed Overlay District on Page 65 and the Overlay District text on Pages A-21 through A-25, is available for review at: [www.FrederickCountyMD.gov/SugarloafAreaPlan](http://www.FrederickCountyMD.gov/SugarloafAreaPlan) Questions may be directed to Mr. Tim Goodfellow, Project Planner, at 301-600-2508 or [TGoodfellow@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:TGoodfellow@FrederickCountyMD.gov)

Individuals requiring special accommodations are requested to contact the Planning Department at 301-600-1138 (**TTY: Use Maryland Relay**) to make the necessary arrangements no later than five (5) working days prior to the public hearing.

Frederick County, Maryland does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, age, marital status, disability, familial status, gender identity, sexual orientation, or source of income.

Steven C. Horn  
Director, Division of Planning and Permitting



## Frederick County Landmarks Foundation

*Saving the Past for Frederick County's Future*

1110 Rosemont Avenue  
Frederick, Maryland 21701

Phone: 301-663-3885

Email: [advocacy@fredericklandmarks.org](mailto:advocacy@fredericklandmarks.org)

Website: [Fredericklandmarks.org](http://Fredericklandmarks.org)

Frederick County Council  
Winchester Hall  
12 East Church Street  
Frederick, MD 21701

September 26, 2022

Dear Honorable County Council:

The Frederick County Landmarks Foundation (FCLF) supports the application of the Sugarloaf Rural Heritage Overlay Zoning District (the Overlay) in furtherance of the vision and goals articulated in the *Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan* (the Sugarloaf Management Plan). The Sugarloaf Mountain and surrounding area are significant to Frederick County's local, rural history as well as the histories of the State of Maryland and the United States of America. Accordingly, the preservation of these historic resources is essential to the Frederick community's cultural heritage and identity.

As recently as September 2018, the Sugarloaf Mountain Historic District was listed in the [Maryland Inventory of Historic Properties](#) (MIHP).<sup>1</sup> Based on the information contained in the MIHP survey, the Maryland Historical Trust determined the Sugarloaf Mountain Historic District would be eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places under all four criteria of eligibility. Please consider the importance of such a determination for two reasons; first, the U.S. Department of the Interior, National Park Service states, "The National Register of Historic Places is the official list of the Nation's historic places **worthy of preservation.**" (emphasis added);<sup>2</sup> and second, it is rare for an eligibility determination to be made under more than one criterion.

The MIHP survey also notes various reasons for the historical significance of the Sugarloaf Mountain and surrounding area, inclusive of the historic viewshed:

The Sugarloaf Mountain Historic District preserves a wide range of cultural traditions and historic landscapes with large farms surrounding the mountain providing sweeping vistas in all directions . . . One of the major visual features of the cultural landscape throughout the Sugarloaf Historic District are the large bank barns . . . The Sugarloaf area is best regarded as an environmental district, a cultural landscape, rich in landmarks and historical associations. Remarkable for its continuity over nearly 300 years (plus thousands of years of earlier Indian history), its many and diverse landmarks of architectural and engineering significance, its beautiful scenic and cultural landscapes, it should be recognized as an achievement of historic preservation . . . This hinderland [sic] provides [] a vivid impression of how the area looked 200 years ago.

---

<sup>1</sup> Maryland Historical Trust Inventory No. F-7-120; M-12-44

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.nps.gov/subjects/nationalregister/index.htm>



## Frederick County Landmarks Foundation

*Saving the Past for Frederick County's Future*

1110 Rosemont Avenue  
Frederick, Maryland 21701

Phone: 301-663-3885

Email: [advocacy@fredericklandmarks.org](mailto:advocacy@fredericklandmarks.org)

Website: [Fredericklandmarks.org](http://Fredericklandmarks.org)

In addition, the MIHP survey highlights the historical significance of Sugarloaf Mountain and surrounding area as experienced by individuals from within the Sugarloaf Mountain Historic District:

The view of Sugarloaf Mountain today from within the district presents the characteristics and perceptual qualities of the traditional Piedmont cultural landscape. The rolling topography of open and wooded area, cultivated fields and rivers and streams preserve elements of the natural setting of the region. The cultural networks and patterns of spatial organizations of man-made [sic] features, including roads, railroads, canals, farmsteads and cultivated fields reflect the history of the district. There are few modern intrusions.

Moreover, the application of the Overlay would be consistent with Gordon Strong's original intentions for the Sugarloaf Mountain and surrounding area as discussed in the MIHP survey:

Foremost to the conservation initiatives of this region was the work of Gordon Strong in creating his own private philanthropic institution, Stronghold, Inc. . . . This interest never waned and upon it was built the unique commitment to acquire and develop the entire mountain, first as a gentleman's country estate, and later as a public environmental trust.

In Gordon Strong's lifetime can be seen a transition from the gentleman's country house ideal to a more contemporary dedication to the conservation ethic. Tracing this biographical evolution will do much to explain why Strong, after assembling the 3,000 acres of Sugarloaf Mountain, chose to hand it over to a non-profit trust to maintain forever for public education and enjoyment.

FCLF wholeheartedly supports the Frederick County Government's efforts to preserve the County's rapidly diminishing rural historic resources. The Overlay is necessary to assist the Frederick community with the preservation of Sugarloaf Mountain and surrounding area as important cultural resources and is a positive step for ensuring the display of the County's rural heritage and historic significance. Accordingly, FCLF supports the Overlay in furtherance of the vision and goals of the Sugarloaf Management Plan.

Sincerely,

Anthony S. Moscato, Jr.  
Chair, Advocacy Committee  
On behalf of Frederick County Landmarks Foundation

**From:** [Brandt, Kimberly G.](#)  
**To:** [Specht, Jennifer](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Public Comment from Trammell Crow Company for Public Hearing on Sugarloaf Area Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 3:43:07 PM

---

**From:** Hagen, Kai <KHagen@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 3:04 PM  
**To:** Bruce Dean <bdean@mdglawfirm.com>; Sugarloaf Area Plan <SugarloafAreaPlan@FrederickCountyMD.gov>; Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>; Keegan-Ayer, MC <MCKeegan-Ayer@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Cc:** Brandt, Kimberly G. <KGBrandt@FrederickCountyMD.gov>; Goodfellow, Tim <TGoodfellow@FrederickCountyMD.gov>; Cherney, Ragen <RCherney@FrederickCountyMD.gov>; Fischer, Eric @ Washington DC <efischer@trammellcrow.com>; Brewer, William @ Washington DC <WBrewer@trammellcrow.com>  
**Subject:** Re: Public Comment from Trammell Crow Company for Public Hearing on Sugarloaf Area Plan

There is a lot more to say about this issue, but I'll include just one more item here and now.

How did the data centers that were targeted for significant properties on the Sugarloaf Mountain side of the highway jive with the importance of and emphasis on life science research and production?

kai

---

**From:** Hagen, Kai <[KHagen@FrederickCountyMD.gov](#)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 2:39 PM  
**To:** Bruce Dean <[bdean@mdglawfirm.com](#)>; Sugarloaf Area Plan <[SugarloafAreaPlan@FrederickCountyMD.gov](#)>; Council Members <[CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov](#)>; Keegan-Ayer, MC <[MCKeegan-Ayer@FrederickCountyMD.gov](#)>  
**Cc:** Brandt, Kimberly G. <[KGBrandt@FrederickCountyMD.gov](#)>; Goodfellow, Tim <[TGoodfellow@FrederickCountyMD.gov](#)>; Cherney, Ragen <[RCherney@FrederickCountyMD.gov](#)>; Fischer, Eric @ Washington DC <[efischer@trammellcrow.com](#)>; Brewer, William @ Washington DC <[WBrewer@trammellcrow.com](#)>  
**Subject:** Re: Public Comment from Trammell Crow Company for Public Hearing on Sugarloaf Area Plan

Thank you, Bruce.

Contrary to the impression the writer attempts to create, Frederick County's ability to "capitalize on the interest in life science research and production" does not in any real and meaningful way depend on developing hundreds of acres of farms and forests on the

south/west side of I270 between the county line and the national battlefield park (a stretch with only one exit, I might add).

I'll also note that Montgomery County, which is held up as a model for the development of this corridor, chose to include zero development along both sides of the last three or so miles of I270 there, and zero around the county's last interchange there, as well.

Both counties can and will attract such life science research and production without having to cross over to include hundreds of acres on the Sugarloaf Mountain side of the highway.

kai

---

**From:** Bruce Dean <[bdean@mdglawfirm.com](mailto:bdean@mdglawfirm.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 10:48 AM  
**To:** Sugarloaf Area Plan <[SugarloafAreaPlan@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:SugarloafAreaPlan@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>; Council Members <[CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>; Keegan-Ayer, MC <[MCKeegan-Ayer@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:MCKeegan-Ayer@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>  
**Cc:** Brandt, Kimberly G. <[KGBrandt@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:KGBrandt@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>; Goodfellow, Tim <[TGoodfellow@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:TGoodfellow@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>; Cherney, Ragen <[RCherney@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:RCherney@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>; Fischer, Eric @ Washington DC <[efischer@trammellcrow.com](mailto:efischer@trammellcrow.com)>; Brewer, William @ Washington DC <[WBrewer@trammellcrow.com](mailto:WBrewer@trammellcrow.com)>  
**Subject:** Public Comment from Trammell Crow Company for Public Hearing on Sugarloaf Area Plan

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Please see the attached letter from my client Trammell Crow Company for tonight's Council Public Hearing. Please make sure it is distributed to all Council members.

Thank you.

Bruce N. Dean  
Partner

---

McCurdy, Dean & Graditor, LLC  
31 W. Patrick St  
Suite 130  
Frederick, MD 21701

Direct: 240.503.1455  
Office: 301.620.1175  
Cell: 301.471.5908  
E-mail: [bdean@mdglawfirm.com](mailto:bdean@mdglawfirm.com)  
Website: [www.mdglawfirm.com](http://www.mdglawfirm.com)

---

This e-mail message is intended only for the addressee and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any interception, review, retransmission, dissemination, or other use of, or taking of any action upon this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited by law and may subject them to criminal or civil liability. If you received this communication in error, please contact us immediately at (301) 620-1175, and delete the communication from any computer or network system. Although this e-mail (including attachments) is believed to be free of any virus or other defect that might negatively affect any computer system into which it is received and opened, it is the responsibility of the recipient to ensure that it is virus free, and no responsibility is accepted by the sender for any loss or damage arising in any way in the event that such a virus or defect exists.

# Trammell Crow Company

September 27, 2022

## **VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL ONLY**

President M.C. Keegan- Ayer and Members of the  
Frederick County Council  
12 E Church Street  
Frederick, MD 21701

**Re: July 22, 2022 Planning Commission Recommended Sugarloaf Treasured  
Landscape Management Plan (the “PC Draft Plan”)**

Dear Council Members:

I am writing you as a Managing Director of the Trammell Crow Company. Over the past several years, Frederick County has seen a significant number of projects take place in the pharmaceutical and life-science fields, including the National Cancer Institute’s Advanced Technology Research facility, AstraZeneca, Kite Pharma and Ellume, to name just a few. These projects do not occur in a vacuum; there is tremendous synergy in having a large number of opportunities to attract such employers (as well as their employees) to Frederick County to work in these fields. Trammell Crow Company, as the developer of the Frederick Commerce Center in the City of Frederick as well as of four (4) newly approved GMP Life-Science facilities totaling over 500,000 square feet in Jefferson Technology Park, has a vested interest in Frederick County remaining committed to keeping land available for the future development of these types of facilities as well as the housing, retail, and other infrastructure that supports them.


For that reason, we urge the County Council to listen to the Frederick County Chamber of Commerce and not adopt the PC Draft Plan, which seeks to permanently place properties located at strategic existing and future I270 interchange locations under the PC Draft Plan’s planning and zoning restrictions. We agree with the approach the original draft Sugarloaf Plan took, which kept these properties outside of the Sugarloaf planning area, with the intention of considering them during a future I270 Corridor Plan for potential employment development. Frederick County has an excellent opportunity to capitalize on the national interest in life science research and production thanks to its significant existing base in this industry. Given that, we expect Frederick County to continue to attract a variety of national and international companies and we believe that given the dynamics of the industry those users will strongly want to be clustered along the interchanges of the I270 Technology Corridor.

Frederick County Council  
September 27, 2022  
Page 2

We believe that such a course of action is more in keeping with the Livable Frederick Master Plan's goals of preserving the I270 Interstate Corridor for future smart growth without disrupting or degrading the rural landscape setting of Sugarloaf Mountain or its environs.

Thank you for your attention to and consideration of this submittal. Should you have any questions, please feel free to contact me.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that appears to read "Eric Fischer".

Eric Fischer, Managing Director

**From:** [Brandt, Kimberly G.](#)  
**To:** [Specht, Jennifer](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Oppose Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 3:44:16 PM  
**Attachments:** [Sugarloaf Plan.msg](#)  
[image001.png](#)  
[Re Public Comment from Trammell Crow Company for Public Hearing on Sugarloaf Area Plan.msg](#)  
[Sugarloaf Plan.msg](#)

---

**From:** Cherney, Ragen <RCherney@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 3:35 PM  
**To:** Brandt, Kimberly G. <KGBrandt@FrederickCountyMD.gov>; Mitchell, Kathy (Legal) <KMitchell2@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: Oppose Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan

**Ragen Cherney**  
*Chief of Staff/Legislative Director*  
Frederick County Council  
Winchester Hall  
12 East Church Street  
Frederick, Maryland 21701  
301.600.1049



---

**From:** [kjam415@erols.com](#) <[kjam415@erols.com](#)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 3:29 PM  
**To:** Council Members <[CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov](#)>  
**Subject:** Oppose Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Dear Council Members,  
2022

September 27,

### **Oppose Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan**

We are writing to you to express our concern regarding the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan. We are farmers in southern Frederick County and our land is already zoned agriculture and has the most restrictive zoning for development. This new plan is a taking of land from landowners without any compensation. It is a property rights issue. There are currently five preservation

programs already that regulate certain lands in the Sugarloaf study area. Now you want more.

Restrictions along I-270 were identified as a primary growth sector within the Livable Frederick Master Plan have become a point of contention. The I-270 modal transportation and economic development hub as envisioned in Livable Frederick. Now they want to change that and put it under the overlay. Stick to your Livable Frederick Master Plan.

Please oppose the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan.

Charles & Kathryn Jamison  
6028 Dickerson Road  
Dickerson, MD 20842

**From:** [Tammy Parker](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 3:13:50 PM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

September 27, 2022

Hello, our names are David & Tammy Parker. We live at 3823 Baker Valley Road, Frederick, MD. We also own 3942, 4001(95.31 acres) and 4005 Baker Valley Rd. We are the proud owners of Parker's Automotive LLC, 4003 Baker Valley Rd, which is located on 95.31 acres, as a "Special Exception" for an Auto Repair Facility on Agricultural land. This "Special Exception" was granted "unanimously", by the Frederick County Board of Appeals, on October 22, 1996.

My parents bought the 95.31 acres in June of 1979. For over 30 years, the tillable land has been leased by the Smith Family on Baker Valley Rd to grow crops. This property is also in a "Valley" and can't be seen from Baker Valley Rd. Our properties are surrounded by individual homes, Farm Preservation, Conservation, United States of America Land Resources, Sheriffs Boys Ranch & the Monocacy Battlefield. In addition, the property on the corner of Baker Valley Rd and Rt. 80 seems to be in Forest Conservation.

**We don't understand why Baker Valley Road is included in the "Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan" and "zoning" changes proposed therein!! It was stated that Stronghold, which is Sugarloaf Mountain, shouldn't have this plan "Shoved down their throats" because they have been good stewards of their land. We have been good stewards of our land too!!**

If this "Plan" is approved, you are taking away our Pension when we retire! In addition, you are taking our Children and Grandchildren's right to live on the "Family Farm" in the future!!

So in conclusion, we, David & Tammy Parker, **oppose the "Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan" and zoning changes therein!! Keep it Agricultural!!**

Sincerely,

David & Tammy Parker

**From:** [Ellen Gordon](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#); [McKay, Steve](#); [Blue, Michael](#); [Donald, Jerry](#); [Fitzwater, Jessica](#); [Keegan-Ayer, MC](#); [Hagen, Kai](#); [Dacey, Phil](#)  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 2:56:24 PM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

I write in support of the Plan's I-270 boundary from Montgomery County to the Monocacy as well as the overlay and the Plan's preservation goals for the Sugarloaf area. Regarding the large carve-out included in the Dacey Amendment; at a time when Maryland's climate is changing—as any farmer in the state can tell you—it is incredibly short-sighted to consider allowing farmland to be destroyed and converted into houses or commercial uses such as data centers. The height of the pandemic proved just how fragile our food supply system is. Food insecurity is rampant in our region. Traditional US fruit and vegetable growing regions in California are threatened by persistent extreme drought. Huge grain and livestock farms of the Midwest, after first suffering huge losses to floods 3 years ago, now see their grains withering from lack of rain, and harvests are down markedly. Western wildfires are devastating livestock and fruit-producing operations.

At a time that the USDA is putting more \$80 billion dollars into encouraging farmers to adopt climate-smart practices—practices that not only increase productivity in a changing climate, but help sequester carbon and reduced greenhouse gases—this amendment would obliterate any opportunity to obtain those funds, and in so doing, decrease Frederick County's ability to better feed its population, and to reduce its carbon emissions. And potentially replace it with data centers that are huge consumers of electricity—electricity that is still being largely supplied by the burning of fossil fuels.

For 35 years, I have had the privilege of looking out my window to see the forested slopes and peak of Sugarloaf Mountain and the ridge line. I've hiked every trail many times and stood at all the viewpoints, smiling and breathing deeply as I look out on the checkerboard of farmlands. My children grew up first hiking, then running those trails. One used them to physically prepare for eventual work as a backcountry ranger in western mountains, and the other to help gain the physical stamina for fighting western wildfires. To hear the Stronghold board threaten that they may close it to public—in direct contravention of Gordon Strong's will--that they may ravage the ecosystem by building near the peak and other buildings such as a private camp on steep slopes is shattering. It's my understanding that Stronghold is feeling pressured by the numbers of visitors to the mountain, as well as the costs associated. Never, in the 3 & 1/2 decades that I've lived nearby, have they ever tried reaching out to the public, to involve users in discussions of the problems they face—problems possibly caused by those users, who love the mountain—and how they could help resolve those problems. Plenty of cherished destinations have faced this same scenario, often dubbed, "being loved to death," and have worked in common cause to come up with solutions. If it's not simply avarice—Sugarloaf Mountain is incredibly valuable real estate—if Stronghold is really interested in respecting Gordon Strong's wishes and the legal terms of his will, then they should not be objecting to the designation for

treasured landscape in the overlay. And they should use the tools of the 21 century, as well as the good will of their tens of thousands of visitors to address their problems.

*Ellen Gordon*

[ellen@gordonballard.com](mailto:ellen@gordonballard.com)

**Dickerson, MD**

**301-814-1975**

**From:** [Brandt, Kimberly G.](#)  
**To:** [Specht, Jennifer](#)  
**Subject:** FW: New voicemail for County Council  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 4:15:08 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)

---

**From:** Cherney, Ragen <RCherney@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 4:04 PM  
**To:** Brandt, Kimberly G. <KGBrandt@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Cc:** Mitchell, Kathy (Legal) <KMitchell2@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: New voicemail for County Council

**Ragen Cherney**  
*Chief of Staff/Legislative Director*  
Frederick County Council  
Winchester Hall  
12 East Church Street  
Frederick, Maryland 21701  
301.600.1049



**From:** Luna, Nancy <[NLuna@FrederickCountyMD.gov](#)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 3:39 PM  
**To:** Council Members <[CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov](#)>  
**Cc:** County Council Staff <[CountyCouncilStaff@FrederickCountyMD.gov](#)>  
**Subject:** FW: New voicemail for County Council

---

From: +13017884783

Message Transcription: Yes, this is Terry Oland, 24 0 9 Thurston Road. I've lived here for 35 plus years. I lived in Frederick County for all my 71 years. If you do not fully support the current preservation overlay, the I two 70 West side will become a development plan and not a preservation plan. I support the I two 70 boundary from Montgomery County to the Monsey. I support the overlay and the plan preservation goals of the Sugarloaf area. I'm very upset that secret meetings behind closed doors took place about Amazon data centers and changes to the plan after those meetings took place. This will be your most important vote of your terms. Please vote to hold the current I two 70 line and remember, your names will forever be tied to your decisions. We will be watching and we will remember what you do. Thank you for your service. Please, please, please do the right thing.

[Audio File](#)

You can change or disable notifications like these on the project settings tab.

---

**From:** [Brandt, Kimberly G.](#)  
**To:** [Specht, Jennifer](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Testimony - 9/27 public hearing, Sugarloaf Plan.  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 4:16:27 PM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Matt Seubert <matts853@gmail.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, September 27, 2022 4:04 PM  
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
Cc: Gardner, Jan <JGardner@FrederickCountyMD.gov>; Horn, Steve <SHorn@FrederickCountyMD.gov>; Brandt, Kimberly G. <KGBrandt@FrederickCountyMD.gov>; Goodfellow, Tim <TGoodfellow@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
Subject: Fwd: Testimony - 9/27 public hearing, Sugarloaf Plan.

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

> Dear council members,  
>  
> First off, I want to thank Steve McKay for his hard work on all of the Stronghold amendments. I hope he's able to bring them on board with the Plan.  
>  
> I also want to thank the Sugarloaf Alliance for their outstanding work uncovering Project Holiday. The boundary question certainly appears to be about data centers.  
>  
> The way I see it - and this isn't personal at all with Mr. Natelli - if the council allows the exclusion of his properties you will essentially be establishing data center zoning. This threatens to turn Frederick County into Loudon Northeast. I can't think of anything more antithetical to the County's rural heritage or to the spirit of this plan than giving Amazon access to the gateway of Sugarloaf. So please don't let visions of Amazon dance in your heads. The County is thriving. We don't need their investments or tax revenue to continue doing so.  
  
> Besides, the county has already done it's fair share in supporting the data center universe with Eastalco - that's an appropriate place for data centers and I think society needs to pressure Amazon and others to build these things at infill sites like empty malls or abandoned industrial centers. At this juncture in our existence we need more wild ecosystems, not wired ones.  
  
> In my opinion, we're losing the planet to climate change. Just think of the enormous amounts of CO2 emissions involved with flattening farms and forests, building new roads, running utilities, and constructing and operating these data furnaces 24-7. Please don't let that be your legacy.  
>  
> This Council just pledged to look at development through the lens of climate change. Don't turn your back on that pledge in the same term just because it's Amazon, or frankly, to accommodate one developer.  
>  
> I'd be a hypocrite if I didn't appreciate what Mr. Natelli's done for the county, but the prospect of data centers West of 270 is overreach. His argument that you're bound to rely on Livable Frederick in your decision is specious - much of the land in question he bought in the early 2000s, and he could have retained the ORI land he had in the Urbana MXDs for just such an occasion, but he sought and secured residential zoning instead. Real estate is speculative and there's no implicit or explicit partnership your bound to with him.  
  
> If all this isn't enough to persuade you to hold the line, then I'll make a deal with you and promise to stop using the internet.  
>  
> Sincerely,  
Matt Seubert

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Brandt, Kimberly G.](#)  
**To:** [Specht, Jennifer](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Sugarloaf Plan Public Comment 9/27/22  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 28, 2022 7:46:52 AM  
**Attachments:** [Sugarloaf Plan Public Comment 92722.msg](#)  
[Fwd Testimony - 927 public hearing Sugarloaf Plan. .msg](#)  
[Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan.msg](#)  
[RE Verbal comments not in the on-line record .msg](#)  
[0927 Council meeeting.msg](#)

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Cherney, Ragen <RCherney@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
Sent: Tuesday, September 27, 2022 5:11 PM  
To: Brandt, Kimberly G. <KGBrandt@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
Cc: Mitchell, Kathy (Legal) <KMitchell2@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
Subject: FW: Sugarloaf Plan Public Comment 9/27/22

Ragen Cherney  
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director  
Frederick County Council  
Winchester Hall  
12 East Church Street  
Frederick, Maryland 21701  
301.600.1049

-----Original Message-----

From: P & G Rosencrantz <bakervalley@comcast.net>  
Sent: Tuesday, September 27, 2022 4:04 PM  
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>; Fitzwater, Jessica <JFitzwater@FrederickCountyMD.gov>; Donald, Jerry <JDonald@FrederickCountyMD.gov>; Keegan-Ayer, MC <MCKeegan-Ayer@FrederickCountyMD.gov>; McKay, Steve <SMcKay@FrederickCountyMD.gov>; Blue, Michael <MBlue@FrederickCountyMD.gov>; Hagen, Kai <KHagen@FrederickCountyMD.gov>; Dacey, Phil <PDacey@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
Cc: Gardner, Jan <JGardner@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
Subject: Sugarloaf Plan Public Comment 9/27/22

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

**From:** [P & G Rosencrantz](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#); [Fitzwater, Jessica](#); [Donald, Jerry](#); [Keegan-Ayer, MC](#); [McKay, Steve](#); [Blue, Michael](#); [Hagen, Kai](#); [Dacey, Phil](#)  
**Cc:** [Gardner, Jan](#)  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf Plan Public Comment 9/27/22  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 4:04:22 PM

---

Dear County Council Members:

My name is Paul Rosencrantz, I reside on Baker Valley Road on the west side of 270 across from the well-preserved Monocacy Battlefield. My family and I appreciate the opportunity to speak to you this evening, and urge you to vote NO on the Dacey Amendment. We strongly support the the Plan's I-270 boundary from Montgomery County to the Monocacy and the overlay and the Plan's preservation goals for the Sugarloaf area. We oppose the paragraph on page 54, which opens the door to short-term Plan amendments. Holding the line to the Monocacy River has the benefit of being the fairest approach, is the right choice scientifically, and remains the best way to preserve our rural character.

A little background, I have lived almost the entirety of my life within the area bounded by the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan. I grew up on a section of family farm on Route 80, directly across from one of the proposed Amazon/Natelli carve-outs -- my family still owns that land. I've stacked hay, driven tractors, and walked across those fields. I can remember as a teenager desperately wanting a McDonalds in Urbana—which in retrospect has reminded me of the adage, “Be careful what you wish for.”

Hearing a few years ago that the county was developing a preservation plan to finally protect the west side's natural resources and unique community was both welcome and overdue. Having listened to the debate as it moved through various stages, it has become clear that the Sugarloaf plan is an important bell-weather for the ability of local government to preserve our local area's way of life in the face of relentless development pressure. The special-interest carve-outs to the Sugarloaf plan will undermine the plan as a whole, while deservedly stoking cynicism. Do council members really want to be seen blatantly favoring powerful outside forces who have received special treatment every step of the way at the expense of local residents and constituents?

Land use issues are can certainly be complex. However in this case, it is clear that the facts are all on one side. There is no long-term economic benefit from these carve outs that come close to balancing the certain disruption of existing residents. Comments from residents on the county's own website are running 10-1 against the Dacey Amendment. Rarely will a decision provide such a stark contrast between those who value local community input and those who don't.

The existing plan as voted on by the planning commission properly safeguards environmental, historical, and community interests that the vast majority of residents hold dear. Weakening those protections, indeed reshaping the very land that the plan purports to protect for the sake of providing a huge windfall with no enduring benefits to residents while claiming to preserve a treasured landscape seem irreconcilable.

As someone who now lives across from the Monocacy battlefield, I think it appropriate to paraphrase Lincoln's words in asking the question: will “government of the people, by the people, for the people, perish from the earth?”

Respectfully,  
Paul Rosencrantz  
4139 Baker Valley Road  
Frederick, MD

**From:** [Sharon OLAND](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 4:18:56 PM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Good Afternoon:

My name is Sharon Oland and I live at 2409 Thurston Road. I have lived on Thurston Road for the past 35 years and in Frederick County my entire life. I have been following the process of getting this plan approved since the draft was presented over 2 years ago. I am strongly opposed to the amendments proposed by Councilman Dacey to change the boundary. I am asking that you approve the boundary the Planning Commission approved, July 2022, with the Overlay over the entire area. Anything short of this will defeat the purpose of the plan and allow high density development and possibly data centers to be built on Thurston Road.

Thank you,

Sharon Oland  
2409 Thurston Road  
Frederick, MD 21704  
240-447-1136

**From:** [Luna, Nancy](#)  
**To:** [Ingrid Rosencrantz](#)  
**Cc:** [steveblack2313@gmail.com](#); [Sue](#); [Nick Carrera](#); [bcpoteat@gmail.com](#); [cspoteat@gmail.com](#); [johannaspringston](#); [Karla Stoner](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Verbal comments not in the on-line record?  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 4:24:34 PM

---

Good Afternoon,

Persons who come and give live public comments at Winchester Hall are video recorded. Those comments received during the meeting are not separately recorded but rather are recorded as part of the meeting which is always considered part of the record. You may view those archived recordings by clicking the following link, scroll to the bottom and click County Council and then search the date of the meeting in which comments were given.

<https://www.frederickcountymd.gov/5956/Video-Archives>

The Council President will announce when she will be closing the public record following the public hearing.

Thank you,  
Nancy Luna  
Executive Assistant, Frederick County Council  
12 E. Church Street  
Frederick, MD 21701  
301-600-2336

-----Original Message-----

From: Ingrid Rosencrantz <catoctinck@gmail.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, September 27, 2022 9:05 AM  
To: Cherney, Ragen <RCherney@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
Cc: Steve Black <steveblack2313@gmail.com>; Sue Trainor <sue.trainor.music@gmail.com>; mary jeanne or nick carrera <mjcarrera@comcast.net>; Blanca Poteat <bcpoteat@gmail.com>; Steve Poteat <cspoteat@gmail.com>; Johanna Springston <johannaspringston@gmail.com>; Karla Stoner <bloomforge@peoplepc.com>  
Subject: Verbal comments not in the on-line record?

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Mr. Cherney,

I went through all the comments yesterday evening and found that some verbal comments are included in the record (phone calls) while I could not find my comments delivered in person to the council verbally during the Council meetings. Could you please help me understand why the in-person verbal comments have not been included? Perhaps I missed something in directions for commenting? Also, can you tell us when the Public Hearing record will close?

Thanks so much!

Ingrid Rosencrantz

**From:** [Karla Stoner](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** 09/27 Council meeeting  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 5:04:48 PM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

09/27/2022 County Council Meeting:

Once again:

1. We encourage the Council to support the I270 boundary from the Montgomery county line to the Monocacy River and the battlefield for the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Plan. If this area is not preserved and protected, it coiuld be lost forever.
2. Light needs to be shed on the 'secret meetings' for the cut out of land areas owned by developers on the west side of I270 and the data center meetings. These are sore points for many county residents and do not reflect well on council members. Clarification is needed.

Thank you,

Karla and Bill Stoner

Urbana, MD

**From:** [Brandt, Kimberly G.](#)  
**To:** [Specht, Jennifer](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 28, 2022 7:48:06 AM  
**Attachments:** [Voice Recording from Public Input.msg](#)  
[image002.png](#)

---

**From:** Cherney, Ragen <RCherney@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 5:38 PM  
**To:** Brandt, Kimberly G. <KGBrandt@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Cc:** Mitchell, Kathy (Legal) <KMitchell2@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan

**Ragen Cherney**  
*Chief of Staff/Legislative Director*  
Frederick County Council  
Winchester Hall  
12 East Church Street  
Frederick, Maryland 21701  
301.600.1049



---

**From:** Barbara Carley <[ba.ca517md@gmail.com](mailto:ba.ca517md@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 5:31 PM  
**To:** Council Members <[CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Please vote for this plan. We do have this treasure that attracts people from Montgomery County and Virginia. Don't allow it to be swallowed up with housing. That would make it less a draw for people outside Frederick County. We also want places we can visit with the true rural feeling.

Barbara Carley  
1413 Dagerwing Pl, Frederick, MD 21703

**From:** [Luna, Nancy](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Cc:** [County Council Staff](#)  
**Subject:** Voice Recording from Public Input  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 5:30:15 PM

---

Hello, my name is Chuck Hart, and I lived in Frederick County for over 20 years, and my company has been working in Frederick County for decades as well. I've been part of, and I've watched their urban a corridor grow into one of the most desirable areas in the country, or Urbana has won many awards and has been named by multiple outlets as the best place to raise a family. The uniqueness of this corridor comes down to people and leaders who shared a sensible growth vision that offered a great location for economic growth, as well as the ability to preserve the natural beauty of the surrounding areas. The area of land being discussed offers many of the same characteristics and smooth smart growth opportunities that we've seen come to fruition in the current or Urbana corridor. Frederick County has the opportunity to be leaders in approving a plan that can provide smart economic growth using the existing and growing infrastructure and preserve the natural beauty that makes this corridor so special. I appreciate the opportunity to speak on this matter and I look forward to a resolution that more resembles the original Livable Frederick Plan. Thank you for your time in this matter.

**From:** [Brandt, Kimberly G.](#)  
**To:** [Specht, Jennifer](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Sugarloaf Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 28, 2022 7:48:54 AM  
**Attachments:** [County Council Sugarloaf Plan Hearing September 27 2022.msg](#)  
[Comments on development under consideration west of I-270.msg](#)  
[Sugarloaf Plan.msg](#)  
[STATEMENT OF CARLEAH SUMMERS ON THE SUGARLOAF TREASURED LANDSCAPED MANAGEMENT PLAN.msg](#)  
[Frederick county sugarloaf plan.msg](#)

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Cherney, Ragen <RCherney@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
Sent: Tuesday, September 27, 2022 7:41 PM  
To: Brandt, Kimberly G. <KGBrandt@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
Cc: Mitchell, Kathy (Legal) <KMitchell2@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
Subject: FW: Sugarloaf Plan

Ragen Cherney  
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director  
Frederick County Council  
Winchester Hall  
12 East Church Street  
Frederick, Maryland 21701  
301.600.1049

-----Original Message-----

From: Holly Larisch <hal.larisch@gmail.com>  
Sent: Tuesday, September 27, 2022 5:48 PM  
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
Subject: Sugarloaf Plan

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Dear Frederick County Council,

I live in Barnesville, Maryland and I support The Plan's I-270 boundary from Montgomery County to the Monocacy and The Overlay and the Plan's preservation goals for the Sugarloaf area. I oppose the paragraph on page 54, which opens the door to short-term Plan amendments, because it creates an explicit opportunity for developers to quickly push for Plan changes despite more than 2 years of work and public input on the current version. I also oppose The Dacey Boundary Amendment that would draw the boundary at Rt. 80 and include the Thurston Road cut-out.

Thank you,  
Holly Larisch

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Pandora Gunsallus](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** County Council Sugarloaf Plan Hearing September 27, 2022  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 5:56:09 PM

---

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Dear County Council Members,

Hi, my name is Pandora Gunsallus. My farm property address is 3350 Park Mills Rd. My parcel sits near the corner of Route 80.

I am very much in favor of holding the line of development at I-270 from the Montgomery County line all the way up to the Monocacy River and maintaining the current Overaly on the Sugarloaf area west of I-270. I-270 is the natural and historically recognized divider between development on the east and preservation on the west. The Sugarloaf Mountain area needs this buffer.

I oppose the paragraph on page 54, leaving the door open to short-term plan amendments, creating an opportunity for development to be pushed through despite the more than two years of work and public input in the current version.

I oppose the Dacey amendment that would draw a boundary at Route 80 and include the Thurston Road cut-out. Heavy construction and IT business do not belong in a preservation plan area. There is already 15,000+ acres set aside for this type of business on the east side of I-270. Data centers don't belong amidst the park-like setting of Sugarloaf Mountain.

Also, unfortunately the Sugarloaf plan with the developer cut-outs mysteriously appeared in the plan with no public input. It is wrong to put forth an amendment to a version of the plan that never should have existed in the first place and in my opinion, because of no public input, is invalid.

Thank you for all your hard work in adopting a thoughtful preservation plan for Frederick county.

Pandora Gunsallus 241 Cynthia Dr. Canonsburg PA 15317  
Farm property: 3350 Parks Mill Road, Frederick, MD 21704

Sent from my iPad

**From:** [Sherry Stephenson](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Comments on development under consideration west of I-270  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 6:11:51 PM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Hello,

I am a new property owner in the Sugarloaf Mountain area, having bought a home at 1320 Linthicum Road (right off of Thurston Road) this past August. I have known the area, however, for a long time, as I have boarded my horse at Bennett's Creek Farm in Frederick County for 12 years.

This is a most beautiful region, with unspoiled countryside surrounding the treasured landmark of Sugarloaf Mountain. I bought the home to be in this region, because it is an extraordinarily lovely and a unique part of Maryland.

Allowing the development project under consideration by the developer (who is believed to be Mr. Natelli) would be a disaster for the Sugarloaf Mountain region. It would destroy the pristine nature of the land west of I270, which was set aside to be protected. It would impact negatively upon the enjoyment of the Sugarloaf Mountain region due to increased traffic and in particular, environmental destruction. It would destroy sensitive waters and eliminate the wildlife that now abound. It would violate the resources conservation zoning district which is now mandated for the land which would be used for the development in question.

Why would such a plan with so many negative consequences be allowed to go forward? Why would the County permit treasured and unique woodland valleys, wetlands, streams and wildlife to be destroyed? Such a tragic outcome would follow if this were allowed.

If Frederick County officials wish to plan for building additional private residences near Urbana, my understanding is that there are other land sites that have been identified which could be used for this purpose.

I aspired for years to live in the Sugarloaf Mountain region. I am so happy to be able to do so now. I would find it quite tragic if this beautiful region that so many love would be subject to environmental destruction, altering these lands in a way that would remove them from enjoyment not only for my generation but all future generations. This would be a real tragedy. And an avoidable one.

I urge all of the Council members to think of the region, the wildlife, the environment, all of these unique treasures that can never be replaced once artificial, manmade structures have been introduced. And I strongly urge you not to approve the request for development and rezoning. **PLEASE VOTE NO>**

Sherry Stephenson  
1320 Linthicum Road  
Dickerson, Maryland

**From:** [Margaret Koogle](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf Plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 6:45:55 PM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

I got tied up at work and arrived at Winchester Hall this evening about 6 pm. The main room was full, the hallway was full and more people signed up to speak than you could possibly get too! In addition when I called the number to phone in, I wasn't able to leave a message.

So here's what I wanted to say:

I'm Margaret Koogle, fourth generation owner of 6800 Lily Pons Road, Adamstown, MD known as Lilypons Water Gardens.

I approve of the proposed amendments that the County Council has recommended to the Sugarloaf Plan. In addition, I recommend that inclusion into the Sugarloaf Rural Heritage Overlay Zoning District be voluntary.

I recommend this first of all because the existing zoning of AG and RC have preserved this area from development and because it is setting a precedent to add HOA type overlays on county properties. HOA's have a place in densely populated communities but in the county we should be able to be unique! The overlay is unnecessary regulation and violates property rights. Page 18 of the Liveable Frederick Master Plan states specifically that, "Any legislation, regulation or policies arising from this plan should consider the rights of individual property owners."

That being said, I understand that there are several landowners that would like this restrictive overlay added to their properties, therefore, I propose that in keeping with property rights that inclusion in the Sugarloaf Heritage Overlay Zoning District be voluntary and I request that our property be excluded.

Thank you, Margaret

--  
Margaret Koogle  
[misspons@gmail.com](mailto:misspons@gmail.com)  
Lilypons Water Gardens: 301.874.5133 x 1004  
[lilypons.com](http://lilypons.com)  
Cell: 301.676.4750  
Re/max Results: 301.698.5005

**From:** [Carleah Summers](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** STATEMENT OF CARLEAH SUMMERS ON THE SUGARLOAF TREASURED LANDSCAPED MANAGEMENT PLAN  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 6:48:22 PM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Dear Council Members,

Thank you for this opportunity to provide testimony on this matter of vital importance to the economy, health and safety, and livability of Frederick County. For the record, my name is Carleah Summers and I am proud to testify in strong support of the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscaped Management Plan.

Each of us in this room this evening are experiencing an existential crisis. Our planet is literally on fire before our eyes as a result of climate change. The growing number of extreme weather events occurring across the world during this summer of 2022 is merely the most recent reminder of the consequences of excessive fossil fuel consumption and carbon emissions.

At the same time, the natural beauty and quality of life that distinguishes Frederick County is now being threatened by excessive sprawl development. It has been a catalyst for the loss of forests, farmland and open spaces. It threatens the quality of the air we breathe and the water we drink. And by its very nature, it imposes upon our residents and taxpayers the costs associated with traffic gridlock, overcrowded schools and overburdened public services. If we are going to leave a better world for our children and theirs, and if we are going to preserve everything that we have come to cherish about Frederick County, we must rededicate ourselves to the principles of Smart Growth development and we must draw a hard line against suburban sprawl.

It is in that spirit that I enthusiastically support this Plan. It is a **blueprint for balance** between the imperatives of economic growth and that of a planet in crisis. It does not in any way forestall future development, but rather ensures that it will occur in a way that respects and protects everything that makes our county an attractive place to live, start a business and raise a family.

It is my hope that this Plan will become a paradigm for responsible development in the future – both here in Frederick County and throughout our fast-growing region and state. Thank you for this opportunity to testify and for your consideration.

Respectfully,

Carleah Summers  
Candidate for Maryland State Senate District 4

**From:** [Anna Lipowitz](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Frederick county sugarloaf plan  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 7:27:04 PM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Hello,

I am a resident of Frederick County and I support the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan. Please vote in favor of the plan. Please also support the the Plan's I-270 boundary from Montgomery County to the Monocacy and The Overlay and the Plan's preservation goals for the Sugarloaf area.

I oppose the following:

- The paragraph on page 54, which opens the door to short-term Plan amendments, because it creates an explicit opportunity for developers to quickly push for Plan changes despite more than 2 years of work and public input on the current version.
- The Dacey Boundary Amendment that would draw the boundary at Rt. 80 and include the Thurston Road cut-out.

Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Anna Lipowitz

## **Speccht, Jennifer**

---

**From:** Brandt, Kimberly G.  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 28, 2022 7:52 AM  
**To:** Speccht, Jennifer  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on the Sugarloaf Overlay plan and PGC rezoning

---

**From:** Cherney, Ragen <RCherney@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 10:34 PM  
**To:** Brandt, Kimberly G. <KGBrandt@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Cc:** Mitchell, Kathy (Legal) <KMitchell2@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: Comments on the Sugarloaf Overlay plan and PGC rezoning

**Ragen Cherney**  
*Chief of Staff/Legislative Director*  
Frederick County Council  
Winchester Hall  
12 East Church Street  
Frederick, Maryland 21701  
301.600.1049



---

**From:** Abigail Brown <[abigail.mommybrown@gmail.com](mailto:abigail.mommybrown@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 10:31 PM  
**To:** Council Members <[CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>  
**Subject:** Comments on the Sugarloaf Overlay plan and PGC rezoning

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

**Dear County Council Members,**

Thank you for taking your time to read through and take into consideration all of the comments that have been coming, from the community, into the County Council regarding the Sugarloaf Preservation Overlay. Here are just a few of mine...

**1. The boundary line of the Sugarloaf Overlay.** You have heard from many, many residents and property owners that reside under the Sugarloaf Overlay plan that the overwhelming voice of the community is to support the boundary line, intact, without exceptions or cutouts, at I 270. This comment has been exhausted so there is not much more I can add that probably has not already been said. However, I will leave you with a challenge at this time. I am challenging each one of you

on this council, before a vote is made on the boundary, for you to drive down rt 80 and see if a boundary at rt 80 vs. I 270 makes any logical sense to you in the context of the conversation on preservation and supporting an overlay at all. Start at the 270/80 interchange, and travel down 80, until you reach the Monocacy river. You will not spend much time close to I 270, but you will drive past almost 3,000 acres that will NOT be preserved and protected if you DO NOT hold the line at I 270.

**2. To add to the conversation on the boundary line, I am against the proposed removal of the 3 businesses at the intersection of 270/80 from the preservation overlay. The line needs to remain at I 270 to truly preserve this entire area. What is the rationale behind considering these to be removed?** This question has been asked by several folks for a long while, and the public has not heard an answer. One of many reasons I would urge you to vote \*against\* removing these 3 businesses, as well as the cutouts, is because then they would be exempt from remaining under, what I think is a great bill (83022) that Tim Goodfellow proposed at the meeting held on August 30, 2022. This bill referenced having design standards for new, non-residential development, and if adopted, would guide things such as structure and building design to make sure it would naturally fit into the area, would control lighting, etc. for all non residential, newly developed properties under the overlay. **This bill would not affect the current structures at these properties, but would simply provide standards for the future.**

[83022-PROPOSED-BILL---Sugarloaf-Rural-Heritage-Overlay-District \(frederickcountymd.gov\)](http://frederickcountymd.gov/83022-PROPOSED-BILL---Sugarloaf-Rural-Heritage-Overlay-District)

§1-19-7.720. DESIGN STANDARDS NON-RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT.

Discussed in this section include: structure and building design, lighting, etc.)

**3. We are opposed to the complete rezoning of the Potomac Garden Center property to Commercial.**

(I handed out a site plan of the PGC property to each of you at the Public Hearing tonight, September 27th, 2022 for easy reference. This is a copy of the site plan I was given from Tim Goodfellow just a week or so ago when I visited the Planning Commission office. To the best of my knowledge, this is the most recent site plan that the Planning Commission has on file regarding this property)

Currently, PGC is split zoning. Their property is divided into a smaller parcel of commercial zoning (3.5 acres) located at the main entrance, and a larger parcel (16.19 acres) of agricultural zoning that surrounds it. I have recently connected with the planning commission, and learned that PGC has had the APPROVAL to expand on their property, in order to increase their business, for over a decade and a half, while still remaining under split zoning. They were initially given preliminary approval back in 2002, with a revised plan in 2007. Quoting directly from the site plan, "Portion of site zoned Agriculture has received written approval for a Special Exception from the Board of Appeals on March 26, 2002... Commercial Greenhouses or Nurseries in a district: are permitted on a special exception with the following conditions..." In the interest of time, you can look at these exceptions as printed on the first page of the site plan. This plan has given PGC the green light to build additional structures, such as greenhouses, storage buildings, etc. to grow their business.

This site plan has been divided into two phases. Phase 1 was given the go ahead to move forward with developmental growth on part of the property, in both the commercial AND agriculturally zoned areas. Phase 2 has been drawn up, obviously seen by the planning commission, and has been added

for informational purposes on this site plan which helps the public see the future plans for PGC. To completely approve Phase 2, there is an additional site visit that is required, an APFO review, and final approval from the planning commission. In addition to these requirements, I quote, "The next site plan stage requires a traffic impact study to include Phase 1 development." However, at present, Phase 1, which again was granted approval over 15 years ago, has not yet been completely developed, to even meet the next steps to move on to Phase 2. I know this because part of the approved land under Phase 1 is clearly visible from my property, which is zoned agriculture.

So, the question remains... If the planning commission has seen plans for the entire parcel at PGC, under this split zoning, with again, much of it Agriculture under the plans, why is the entire parcel needed to be commercial for PGC to continue to grow and thrive? When I asked this question to the planning commission office, the answer I was given was that they looked over the plans, saw that there were drawn up plans for development, and then made the recommendation for commercial rezoning. I want to challenge this recommendation, because simply put, what is allowed to be developed on an agricultural piece of property is vastly different then what is allowed to be developed on commercial property. It doesn't matter if a property has plans to develop, it's WHAT that is being developed on it that should guide a rezoning decision. Commercial rezoning gives way to a broad brush stroke of many possible types of development that would otherwise not fit under the Sugarloaf Preservation plan for this side of I 270 at large, now or in the future.. Our big concern with a full rezoning is of course living directly next to a commercially zoned piece of property. It will very well affect our property in many ways, as well as my neighbors, who share similar concerns. We have had no issues with PGC thus far, and hope they continue to thrive as a business.

4. I wanted to share my concern that much of the Urbana area, and Frederick County at large, in my honest opinion, has not been notified well of the Sugarloaf Preservation Plan, drastically limiting the knowledge of this conversation. I am speaking specifically of the placement, or lack there of, of Public Hearing signs around the area. There are two parts to my concern, and attachments to provide more details..

- 1.
- 2.
3. **To address the potential rezoning of PGC.**
4. It seems that the request for a complete rezoning is being slipped in under the radar from much of the community (as typically if a property is to be rezoned, public hearing signs need to remain up on a property, visible by the most traveled roads adjacent
5. to the property for the 30 days prior to a public hearing, as per county guidelines) this was not the case with PGC specifically, because this property is being given re zoning consideration under the overlay as a whole, and not given the same public attention
6. that it would, if it was a stand alone issue.
- 7.
- 8.
- 9.
10. I live on rt 80 (Fingerboard rd.)
11. **At no time, either prior to the Public Hearing while the Overlay was still**
12. **in the hands of the Planning Commission OR prior to the Public Hearing today, September 27th, 2022, have I personally seen any Public Hearing signs facing the traffic on the stretch of road, either direction, from the Rt 80/I 270 interchange, running all the**
13. **way down to the Monocacy River at Michaels Mill rd. I travel this stretch of road multiple**

14. times a week, and I have been keeping an eye out specifically for signs once I learned of Public Hearings. I have seen a couple of the backs of signs, facing smaller populations of traffic pulling onto rt 80 from side roads, but nothing facing this main road
15. that passes through this large section of the proposed preservation area.
- 16.
- 17.
- 18.
19. There are 5 attachments to this email. There is a screenshot directly taken from the Frederick County Government Website. And the additional 4 are photos I took on September 26, 2022. (I would be happy to show any of you time stamped photos from my phone, if you would like to verify this date, I'm just not tech savvy enough to share through an email).
21. These 4 photos show 3 major intersections entering the proposed Sugarloaf Preservation area. Note that you do not see any Public Hearing signs.
- 22.

Thank you,

Abigail Brown

8564 Fingerboard rd.

(We are the only property that is adjacent to the Potomac Garden Center, and we are sandwiched between rt 80 and I 270)



#### Public Notice/Hearing Placard Requirements

The premises must be posted in accordance with the following rules:


- An affidavit certifying the posting of the required placard must also be filed on the day the property is posted.
- Placard must be posted a minimum of 30 days prior to the public hearing date.
- Placard must be posted in a conspicuous manner not over 6 feet above the ground level and affixed to a sturdy frame where it will be clearly visible and legible to the public.
- Placard must be placed on the property within 10 feet of the property line that abuts the most traveled public road.
- Placard shall be maintained at all times by the applicant until after the public hearing. If a new placard is needed or required, please contact the Division of Planning at 301-600-1138.
- Proper posting of the placard will be spot-checked by the Zoning Administrator and if not in compliance, it may result in the rescheduling of the hearing.

[Placard Installation Guidelines](#)





Park mills rd. Coming from 355,  
crossing over 270, entering  
Sugarloaf preservation area.



Park mills rd. Coming from 355,  
crossing over 270, entering  
Sugarloaf preservation area.



rt 80/ Michaels Mills rd  
Bridge over Monocacy River.  
Entering Sugarloaf Preservation  
area.

**From:** [Luna, Nancy](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Cc:** [County Council Staff](#)  
**Subject:** Voice Recordings from 9/27/22 Sugarloaf Area Plan Public Hearing  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 28, 2022 9:41:55 AM

---

5:41pm David Danko

Yes, this is David Danko. I live in the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan area, and I just wanna say I support the plan. I live on Mount from Road Thank you.

5:58pm Pandora Gonzales

Hi, my name is Pandora Gonzales. My farm property address is 33 50 Park Mills Road. My parcel sits near the corner of Route 80. I am very much in favor of holding the line of development at I270 from the Montgomery County line all the way up to the Monocacy River, and maintaining the current overlay on the Sugarloaf area west of I270. I270 is the natural and historically recognized divider between development on the east and preservation on the west. The Sugarloaf Mountain area needs this buffer. I oppose the paragraph on page 54, leaving the door open to short term plan amendments, creating an opportunity for development development to be pushed through despite the more than two years of work and public input. In the current version, I oppose the d c amendment that would draw a boundary at Route 80 and include the Thurston road cutout. Heavy construction and IT business do not belong in a preservation plan area. There is already 15,000 plus acres set aside for this type of business on the east side of I two 70. Data centers don't belong and miss the park-like setting of Sugarloaf Mountain. Also, unfortunately, the Sugarloaf Mountain Plan with the developer cutouts mysteriously appeared in the plan with no public input. It is wrong to put forth an amendment to a version of the plan that never should have existed in the first place. In my opinion, because of no public input, it is invalid. Thank you for all your hard work in adopting a thoughtful preservation plan for Frederick County. Thank you. Bye.

6:09pm Kevin Davey

Hi, my name's Kevin Davey. I live at 3340 Gal Drive in Frederick, Maryland. I'm calling today to urge the council to support the Sugar Loaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan. Specifically urge council to enact the plan to protect this really treasured land within Frederick County, specifically to maintain the I two 70 boundary, and in addition to that close off development to this area, so that we'll continue to preserve it for future generations and for the folks who live in the area. Thank you.

6:20pm Tracy Ochs

My name is Tracy Ochs. I live at 3010 Thurston Road. I want to vote for the I270 boundary and the preservation overlay. I've lived in Frederick County since 1975, and over the years I've seen our beautiful countryside disappear quickly with the overdevelopment, the traffic, and the influx of people to our county. We need to preserve our farmland and our ruralness to the extent that we can. We need to protect anything in nature, any species, and the development has got to stop. We need to say no to developers. We need to stand our ground, and we need to be a model for other areas that want to try to preserve the uniqueness of their town, county, and state. Thank you.

6:20pm Eric Tap

Yes, this is Eric Tap at 7805 lowest court. I wanted to say that I am against the rezoning of the land here. We've just moved here from Arundel County where they have built up and rezoned multiple times, which has led to a degradation in the environment. If it happens here, would be a real shame considering the amount of wildlife and open space that's currently available. Hopefully that this does not get to move forward and zoning stays, has preserved, and not converted. Thank you.

6:54pm Sue Forton

My name is Sue Forton. My husband and I live on Mount Ecor Road and have been residents of Southern Frederick County for about 36 Years. I support the plan with the boundaries and overlay intact for 30 years. We lived east of 270 on Route 355. We, like many of our neighbors, were well aware of the 270 corridor that corridor was and still is defined by 270 and 355 is comprised of hundreds of acres that lie in between. The rural nature that we used to enjoy on the east side of 270 36 years ago is dramatically changed due to the intense development of her Urbana and Monrovia. But even before these Eastern communities were developed, the and West were not similar. It is the mountains, wildlife, vegetation, stream slopes, and scenic views that make it so treasured it deserves to be preserved. I am grateful to the planning staff for their thoughtful and comprehensive plan that focuses on preservation. To me, it is consistent with the long term vision of Frederick County and the state of Maryland, a vision that seeks to balance natural resources and environmental havens with planned growth in existing developed hub areas. It is this blend that defines the quality of life in Frederick County. For me, there is something for everyone. I am dismayed and disturbed by the lack of transparency by our elected officials and private interest to seek to undermine the vision of for their own personal gain. I attended a meeting about a year ago where Mr. Matt Elli was asked about his intentions for the land that had been cut out from the original draft. He stated he was just tidying up and that he was just like any other landowner in Frederick County and would have to go through the same processes as anyone else to change his land use. I hope the council considers this. He and his voice are the same as me and my voice, not one that his more weight, just because his has been made louder through the power of his wealth, his connections, and his secret closed door meetings with county officials and others. I am grateful to stronghold for their stewardship and their generosity in allowing so many people to experience its tranquility. But I am dismay at what seems to be their lack of transparency. If the plan and its overlays do not prohibit what they have been doing so well for so long, I can only assume their objection is because they no longer want to continue their great work. I do not trust unspoken intentions, but do trust a document that clearly states the community's heartfelt love for the mountain that was entrusted to them. I have one vote, one voice, and I appreciate your consideration of it. I support the plan with the boundaries and the overlay.

7:23pm David Taggart

My name is David Taggart. I live at 2646 Park Mills Road in Adamstown. My property is probably more severely affected by the zoning change from agricultural to resource Conservation is over 46 acres of my property is, is proposed for rezoning. Moreover, I feel that this rezoning and the, the Sugarloaf overlay is a big power grab and a land grab by the Frederick County government, basically taking away the rights of the land owners and people who live in this area and giving it to the county government. The, the, the use would be controlled by the government, by whatever they want, and not by what, you know, the land owners want for their businesses and their operations. I'm also concerned very much about the lack of detail of definitions. Some of the prohibited uses in the air proposed prohibited uses that of a saw mill. Will, are we talking about a commercial saw mill? We talking about an individual saw mill sawing boards for their own use. What about the outdoor recreation facility? What's the definition of that? Is a horse riding arena or, or, you know, cross country course. Is that a recreation facility to be prohibited in the area? You know, these things are, are not defined in the, in the bill that I've read online anyway, so anyway, I, my concern about that is, you know, that this will be controlled by the, an unelected board from planning and permitting that will have control over what individuals will do. I've heard a number of comments on previous meetings from people may, many people from the Montgomery County side of the mountain expressing their support for this plan, overlaying like that, and read in the Frederick News post about the support from concerned groups from as far away as California. I just wanna point out, and I know the council knows this, but these people are not your constituents. The people who live here in the shadow of sugar lift mountain are your constituents. They're the ones that are gonna be affected by these changes, not these other folks. I've seen

that there's been some Amendments offered to exempt stronghold from, from this plan because of their opposition to it. Well, what about the rest of us? You know, this, this is just taking away yet another, taking away the rights of the people who own land in this, in this district to operate it in the way that they see fit and giving it to the bureaucrats in the Frederick County government to do with it as they see fit. And I don't think that it's fair. I think that this county council should reject it outta hand or at least get some definition of, of what exactly are we talking about with land use. And, and what it is, is, is land use that's already in use, is that grandfathered or would that be outlawed? A summer camp with an archery range. The archery range has gotta go. You know, I think this is all, all very much up in the air and I urge the county council to reject it. Thank you for your time.

7:38 Anna Lipitz

Hi, my name is Anna Lipitz and I'm a resident of Frederick County and I support the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan. I'm in favor of the plan. I support the plan's boundary from Montgomery County to the Monocacy and the overlay and the plan's preservation goals for the Sugarloaf area. I oppose the paragraph on page 54, which opposes the door to short term plan amendments because it creates explicit opportunity for developers to quickly push for plan changes despite more than two years of work in public's input on the current version. Thank you

8:08pm Brad Nielsen

Hi, my name is Brad Nielsen. I live at 321 Robert Road. I just wanna say I've, I moved here within the last three years and I, I saw the sign at the end of the road, you know, invited me to the, the meeting and did work. I, I just couldn't make it. But I really appreciate everyone that showed up. And I would just like to say I, I am dumbfounded by just the changes that are being proposed. I, I've lived in Frederick County for over 20, 25 years, another part of town, but I always appreciated this part of town. That's why I bought in this area was because it was so beautiful and it was appeared to be protected. But it really, it, it, it's, it's disheartening to see that there's going to be yet again an another proposed, you know, change in a development potentially. I think sometimes we've destroyed Frederick One development at a time and it, it's just painful to watch. I, I've watched some of the intersections that have been planned for, whether it's Hayward Road or, or whether it's 85, and I just lost confidence in some of the planning and zoning that's happened within the county. I just wanna say that because these proposals, it just seems that what it would, it would beg the question, what's next? If we make allowances for zoning changes to happen, it just gets a foot in the door, and then what happens next? That, that's why I would urge you to vote no on the proposal. And that's all I have to say. Thank you so much.

8:59pm Virginia Fisher

Hi everyone. My name is Virginia Fisher calling in regards to tonight's hearing about the Sugarloaf Plan. I'm a Frederick County native having attended Urbana Elementary in high school and now live in new market. I know you've probably heard a lot about conservation tonight, and I want to just provide my own perspective. I grew up here and then moved away for a few years, and it's only been since I've moved back that I have appreciated how special and beautiful the area on the Sugarloaf side of 270 is. If we want to keep or attract younger demographics to our county for talent in our schools, healthcare and other critical areas, we need to keep the wild and unique areas of Frederick County intact. Areas like Sugarloaf, you might think sprawling cookie cutter neighborhoods would be the attraction for my generation, but I would strongly disagree. Please keep that in mind when deciding on these development decisions. Thank you.

9:09pm William Woodcock

Hello, this is William Woodcock, 923603 Circle, Frederick, Maryland, and I would like to leave a comment that we have got a, a very big sufficient amount of protected lands in Frederick County. We have 104,000 acres. That's as of 2017, so I'm sure it's way, way more than that Now, that's almost 25% of the land mass here in Frederick County. Come on. We do not need more protected

land. The sugar loaf area is already protected. Even back in 2017, 3000 acres of the area of Sugar Loaf was in a protected class or protected area. In all due respect, the area that is protected now is the size of two Baltimore cities. The city of Baltimore is about 86 square miles, and we are protecting 150 square miles of land here in Frederick County. That's almost the size of Calvert County in Maryland, the entire county. It's almost the size of the entire county. So please just stop this madness of the property rights of the Frederick County people or at risk. There is no need for anymore protected lands unless people want that. They, they desire that of their own land. Fine. If the county wants to pay for park land, fine. But please do not add additional restricted areas and protected land. Thank you very much. Goodbye.

9:41pm Lisa Buchanan Bell

My name is Lisa Buchanan Bell, a Frederick County resident. You should know that citizens are calling the SLA Plan a government land grab and an attack on property rights for valid reasons. There's a right way and a wrong way for the government to take control of private land. The right ways is to buy the land from Wheeling Sellers. The wrong ways is the sneaky backdoor unethical tactic of taking control of private properties through unnecessary government regulations. This is what the Sugar Load Plan does. Please send the plan back to the Planning Commission to fix the plan to protect property rights and get the job done right to then be resubmitted to the council for review. Thank you, Council and McKay for your practical proposed amendments. Please, if you do keep the plan, please make more amendments as reasonably suggested by the Monos Citizens Group. Letter to you, dated September 12th, along with insightful reform suggested by the FCAR and others who oppose the plan and have practical and fair suggested revisions. It's incredibly disappointing that the county government creators of the Sugar Load Plan have been disingenuous claiming the plans infringements on property rights, including needlessly, applying more restrictions of private land and down zoning portions of a huge number of properties from AG to ag, from AG to RC are somehow necessary to quote, prevent overdevelopment and protect the environment to tell it like it is. Sadly, this is a big lie and many supporters of the plan are falling for all the misinformation and then stoking fears with false narratives. The truth is there's no credible evidence to support these false narratives and ample data exists to prove their they are indeed false according to the SLO plan itself. On page 52, 90 4% of the land in the sugar glow plan area is zoned ag zoning and resource, along with resource conservation, which are already the most highly restrictive zoning there is to prevent overdevelopment and protect environment. Additionally, 93% of the land and the Sugarloaf Air Plans boundaries is already developed with exception of some land noted in the plan. Overdevelopment simply cannot happen in the Sugarloaf area given the existing restrictive zoning and the small percentage of the developable lot remaining. Moreover, the Cilo area is already the most protected in the nation, the most protected given new since there are numerous layers of existing environmental re regulations and programs that are already successfully protecting the area. All of this negates the need for additional harmful restrictions to private land under the false guides. It's necessary. Please fix the plan to protect property rights, remove the unnecessary overlay in down zoning of properties, and clarify that policies and initiatives are voluntary, not regulatory. Thank you again for the opportunity to speak. Bye-bye

10:20pm Orlando Morales

Hello. I was, I had signed up to speak to the at the meeting, but I had to leave. My name is Orlando Morales. I live on 1820 Mount Ephrim Road. I just wanted to say that we have to hold the line on 270 west of 270 because we don't take care of our natural resources. Our natural resources won't be able to take care of us. Thank you. Bye bye

10:26pm Stacy Tall

Hello, my name is Stacy Tall. I live at 209033 Rodrick Road. I lived in this property for about 10 years. I just want to express my concern and disappointment. I just wanna say that I feel strongly that value isn't derived by how many people and businesses we can cram into one acre. I value

the beauty of our landscape. I value protecting the surrounding environment and ecosystem, and I also value integrity. The secret planning that's been happening is despicable in my opinion, and forcing people, the people of this community to constantly spend their time and energy to maintain what's right and what's good, while the people elected to protect our interests. Work against us is also despicable lining the pockets of our dev of developers and Amazon at the expense of our land's integrity and the residents best interest is shameful. Please hold the line at 270. Please reject Amazon. We don't want any of that here. I don't want any of that here. I hope you'll do the right thing.

10:32pm Jennifer Kes

Good evening. This is Jennifer Kes. I live at 3208 Ramson Way. Before I get into what I'd planned to say tonight, I'd like to comment on points made by a couple of other speakers. And all of this will be in regard to the amendment that would allow dense development west of I270. And I'd like to make clear that I do not live outside the area I've lived for decades now on a road that is immediately adjacent to that proposed shifted boundary. We've heard references a couple of times tonight, a few times tonight, to the livable Frederick Master Plan and the need to support transportation and provide services for the people on 270. But I don't believe I've heard any specifics either tonight or during the meeting two weeks ago about why this requires development on the west side of 270. Looking at the Urbana interchange, we have services for the people on those roads on the east side. Do we need more than four gas stations more than the six or so fast food and fast casual restaurants that we already have. McDonald's, Burger King, Popeye's, Jersey, Mikes, Panera, Subway, others to come already, I'm sure it was also mentioned that we ought to follow in Montgomery County footsteps and consider the fact that they allowed for development on the west side of the Clarksburg interchange adjacent to their agricultural reserve. I can't say I spent a lot of time studying this, but I, I grew up in Montgomery County. There's a reason I live in Frederick County now and chose to raise my children here, but I, I know their, their agricultural reserve is close to a hundred thousand acres. And so having some development on the west side of Clarksburg right there doesn't represent as much of an impact as having development on our interchanges here in Frederick County would have, when you're talking about the Sugarloaf plan area of, I think about 19,000 acres again as opposed to nearly a hundred thousand acres. So those are just some comments I had on, on other testimony I've heard tonight. Obviously, I would urge you to reject the amendment that would allow for the STS development west of the highway. The fact that this proposal apparently resulted from closed counsel sessions held in violation of the open meetings law should be reason enough to vote no. This type of secrecy and backroom dealing is a betrayal of the citizens whom you represent, and it contributes to a loss of trust in our government. But should you consider voting yes, what would this mean for the area? As soon as you choose to deviate from the hard boundary of the highway, you would begin the process of chipping away at what the plan calls the Sugarloaf treasured landscape. And as you've heard from so many people tonight, it is treasured not just by those of us who've chosen to live within the plan's boundaries, but Also by residents of the surrounding areas and others who come from farther away, specifically to enjoy the scenic beauty of this area. I can say that because I grew up in lower Montgomery County and we came up to Sugarloaf all the time, all the time to enjoy this area for the resident whose property is included in or adjacent to the shifted boundary, the proposed amendment would forever change our ability to enjoy our homes. But it wouldn't only affect these residents. It would change what everyone experiences here. It doesn't matter whether you're a resident who lives a little further west and you're returning to your home each evening and it's, or, or, or whether you're someone who's coming to visit this beautiful area. Either way, your enjoyment of the area begins the moment you leave the highway. It's the moment you see fewer cars and buildings, the moment you see the vast beautiful green spaces and the moment you begin to feel a little more at peace. And as another speaker who I saw standing there with her daughter an hour ago, as she noted, we've already lost a lot in this area. I've had three daughters attend her ban of schools and the way in which our view during our drive from Urbana High School to our home just west of the Urbana inter interchange has changed over

this time is dramatic. We used to see that beautiful mountain every day or every day we, we drove home and we really can't see much of it anymore because of the development that's taken place on the west side just over the last 10 years. And I, again, if you allow this happen, it's going to open the floodgate, it's the camel's nose under the tent. It's the foot in the door and it's gonna just be, you just gonna start chipping away and a lot is gonna be lost. Again, it's the treasured, treasured landscape, right? And I think the way that you vote on this amendment will show very clearly whether you do treasure this landscape. And I hope you do. Thank you.

10:38pm Elizabeth Franklin

My name is Elizabeth Franklin. I live at 2669 Thurston Road. I tried to speak earlier while I was still at the hearing, and I think my comments weren't pertinent to the exact bill, but this is what further I have to say. I am speaking out yet again in favor of the original draft plan presented by the council. No cutouts. I can easily and quite enthusiastically support all of council members Steve McKay's amendments. I think they are reasonable and helpful, but perhaps even more enthusiastically. I oppose council member Phil Macy's amendment, which caught me quite by surprise because it did not seem to represent what I thought his original position was. Speaking of which, and the recent small hearing on the 13th of September, I was there and I was at first puzzled and then disillusioned to listen to Mr. Dacey, who basically acted as nothing more than the mouthpiece of Mr. Webster of stronghold and Mr. Natelli, neither of whom even lives in Frederick County, neither of whom is his constituent. And he championed their voices over the voices of his constituents. We who put in in office. So of course our remedy for that betrayal will be to vote Mr. Dacey out. But by the time we have that opportunity, our area could have been sold out by his amendment and irrevocably damaged. I ask the council members to please do the right thing, protect our treasured sugar lope for posterity, hold the line at 270. I also wanna say I listened after I, I had to leave to get to my dogs at about eight, but I listened to the whole meeting and I will say that I was offended by Mr. Angel's hotshot when he said, referring to an earlier anecdote I had told about discovering a rares skink that had not been cited in our area for years. He basically said, Yeah, you know what? Some skinks are a dime a dozen, and this is even while he was demoing The divisiveness that we have. When that kind of commentary is precisely the type of thing that fosters the divisiveness and it's the kind of mentality that will doom Sugarloaf, treasured Sugarloaf landscape should not be mistaken by a couple of opportunistic businessman to be their treasure chest. Please vote in favor of the original proposal and against the cutouts. Thank you very much for your work.

10:39pm Gina Young

Hi, my name is Gina Young at 7904 Hope Valley Court. I was just wanting to leave my input for the conservation area west of 270. And I would just like to say our family supports having the full overlay to preserve this area for all the reasons discussed in the meeting. We support those, those reasons. And that line of thinking, once you start development it, you keep, it keeps going. And this little section of Frederick is a special area. And we also, the other issue is, is we've lived here for 20 years or so and it seems like you have to, I heard someone speak and say that the systems in place allow for preventing, you know, the wrong development. And I would say after living here for 20 years, were constantly having to go at, you know, just be vigilant about the wrong development. And it's not, it's very stressful. And because there's always developers and people who have a lot of financial backing who can often start something, sneak it in, and just the regular community doesn't quite have the power to sometimes slow something down or stop it. So this protection for the area, I think is what is needed to just preserve and, And another man, the meeting also mentioned that this is the chance, this is the time to preserve. You can't go back once you do offer development. So this is just, I think, the right time to, you know, put this in place, the full overlay, no carve out, no data centers. It's not the right place for a data center. There's many other places for that type of development. There's no need for that. There's just no need for that carve out of that amount of space for this little particular area of Frederick. Thank you.



# SUGARLOAF ALLIANCE

[Sugarloaf-Alliance.org](http://Sugarloaf-Alliance.org)

*Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan*

## Petition Signatures

as of  
9/27/22

*The Sugarloaf Alliance represents over 500 stakeholders in the Sugarloaf region. The Alliance's mission is to protect the unique natural and historical aspects of the Sugarloaf Mountain area and its environment through education and initiatives in support of watersheds, streams, meadows, forests, and historic sites. Working with volunteers, civic groups, and local, state, and federal agencies, the organization's primary goal is to preserve the unique character and serenity of the area for future generations. Sugarloaf Alliance is a 501(c)(3) corporation..*

To: Frederick County Council  
From: Sue Trainor, Fingerboard Rd., Frederick  
Date: 9/27/22

Re: Sugarloaf Plan Petition

I set up the petition to gauge community support for the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan. As of 9:30am this morning, the petition has 2,819 signatures.

Signers say:

- They favor preserving the character and natural resources in the area surrounding Sugarloaf Mountain.
- They favor the I-270 boundary from Montgomery County to the Monocacy, including the full overlay zone.
- They oppose the language on p. 54 that would open the door to short-term Plan amendments.

I know in this election season, you may be most interested in the Frederick County signatures. There were 590 this morning; there are more now from folks on the front steps gathering for this hearing.

Maryland numbers also are significant: a total of 972. Many of the folks outside of Frederick are from adjacent counties. I can imagine the Montgomery County folks saying, "Wait, you keep saying you don't want to be Montgomery County... but, but ...." This would be a moment when you decide not to go the way of Montgomery County.

There are 239 signatures from contiguous states and DC. That includes a lot from northern Virginia. I can imagine the Loudoun County folks saying, "Wait! Are you paying attention?? Have you driven through Ashburn?"

The rest come from around the country; change.org is a national platform. I find it encouraging - Frederick is working on a new hotel and gearing up to grow our capacity as a destination. The area in which two of our biggest attractions are found is drawing folks' attention and concern. It also speaks of the attachment to the area felt by folks who have friends and family here or who may have moved away (and may come back to stay at that hotel!).

What you do here affects us. It will affect the generations that follow, who won't be able to turn back the clock to enjoy the rural landscape and precious resources available to us now.

Please accept the Planning Commission's recommendation and keep the boundary at I-270.

							"Studies show farmland costs .40/dollar of property taxes paid to provide services to the residents. Suburban development costs \$1.20/dollar of property taxes paid to provide services to residents. Stop lining developers pockets in the name of "economic growth". We can't afford it."
Callie Fulmer	Lovettsville	VA	20180	US	9/26/22		"Defend this rural and pristine landscape from development."
Heidi Rosvold	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/26/22		"I've only lived in this area for about 10 years and it has changed for the worse. When diving on 355, used to be able to see beautiful farmland. Now the view is nothing but rooftops. Let's keep the sugarloaf area as treetop views rather than rooftop views!"
Nancy Sell	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/26/22		"Most of Frederick County is, or will be, destroyed by development. At a MINIMUM we should protect Sugarloaf Mountain and the surrounding area. As landowners ourselves, my wife and I fully support the Sugarloaf Plan. Please disregard all vested (greed head) interests in this case. Do not cave in to the Stronghold Board's clumsy attempt at extortion. Thank you."
Sherman Johnson	Middletown	MD	21769	US	9/26/22		"I love nature."
Tara Meekins	Lutherville-Timonium	MD	21093	US	9/26/22		"The overdevelopment has become out of control. Our lovely rural landscape is being consumed and it needs to stop."
Susan Coppola	Ijamsville	MD	21754-8810	US	9/24/22		"I want to save the beautiful landscape"
Brenda holt	Bethesda	MD	20817	US	9/23/22		"I'm signing as I grew up in Frederick County and loved the beautiful view of the Mountain. I've seen too much development in the County and want to preserve some of Frederick, the way I remember it!"
Chris Clark				US	9/23/22		"I'm signing because this beautiful mountain is a part of the majestic views we get here in Loudoun as well and should be treasured bottom to top!"
Laurien Dowdy	Leesburg	VA	20176	US	9/20/22		"A RT 80 boundary ruins the Sugarloaf Preservation plan"
Mary Cutshall	Frederick	MD	21701	US	9/20/22		"We need to protect this land."
Ben B	CENTREVILLE	VA	20120	US	9/17/22		"I love Sugarloaf and the natural beauty of the surrounding area!"
Francesca Foret	Frederick	MD	21703	US	9/16/22		

Elizabeth Canter	Gaithersburg	MD	20886	US	9/15/22	"The agricultural character of the area and view from the mountain need to be preserved. Because Sugarloaf is privately owned development pressure can cause them to close off access to the public."
Roberta McNamara	Frederick	MD	21701	US	9/14/22	"We have an enormous amount of high density areas already approved."
Joseph Harris	Dagsboro	DE	19939	US	9/11/22	"I support the Alliance's views for the preservation of this wonderful area."
Gracyn Van Bemmel	Bel Air		21014	US	9/10/22	"I care about the environment."
Mary Holmes Dague	Jefferson	MD	17055	US	9/9/22	"Western Maryland's special beauty, habitat for animals, and rural nature are fast disappearing. Sugarloaf is targeted for development that signals the end of the line between climate concern and chaos. From 1776, the musical: "Is anybody there? Does anybody care?" I do."
Tim Nanof	Beallsville	MD	20839	US	9/8/22	"I am a Board Member of the Sugarloaf Citizens' Association and am invested in maintaining the agricultural and rural integrity of the greater Sugarloaf area throughout Frederick and Montgomery Counties."
Janet Swihart	Randle		98377	US	9/7/22	"Saving Earth one petition at a time..."
Kez Lewis	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/6/22	"We built our home here on many acres. We want it to stay rural for ALL the families that enjoy the area."
Ann Connor	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/2/22	"It is our responsibility to future generations to preserve and protect this resource."
Christina Micek	Rockville	MD	20852	US	9/2/22	"Elected government officials bowing to wealthy development interests is sickening. We, the people, have put these protections in place for this land for a reason. If every piece of land is allowed to be developed in this way- we will have nothing left. This land ensures our future and security."
Christine Rai	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/1/22	"Sugarloaf Mountain and its surrounding natural beauty are part of my childhood memories, where my husband and I were married, and where we take family to celebrate special occasions and enjoy the seasons. Development in the area and on the mountain itself would be a blow to its scenic beauty, rich history, and unique ecosystems."
Cynthia Fain	Boston	VA	22713	US	9/1/22	"We need To preserve Sugar Loaf and our forest and agriculture héritage in Maryland!"

Donna McDowell	Gaithersburg	20882	US	9/1/22	"Sugarloaf must be preserved! You can't undue destruction!"	
JAMES ROLAND	Elkton	MD	21921	US	9/1/22	"it should be signed"
Sarah Defnet	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/1/22	"The public should be able to enjoy our natural resources."
caroline taylor	poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/31/22	"Now is the time to protect the land that sustains us-farms, forests, streams, historic treasures. This forward thinking plan will be something Frederick County, it's electeds and residents, will be mighty proud of - boosting food system and climate change resilience, biodiversity and rural economy."
Audrey Morris	potomac	MD	20854	US	8/30/22	"Land use planning requires a long term commitment to preserve the intended use of our area. The intention to keep development east of 270 should be preserved."
Vikki Gigante	Frederick	MD	21703	US	8/24/22	"We need to keep our trees! Also, Sugarloaf is too beautiful to develop it."
Elizabeth Bauer	Middletown	MD	21769	US	8/22/22	"There are so many natural resources in the Sugarloaf area which must be protected and preserved. The river, creeks and streams feed into the Chesapeake Bay. The Hope Hill community has huge historical significance as does the Monocacy National Battlefield. Life is precious, bulldozing and developing this land threatens the future."
Ilene Freedman	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	8/22/22	"My farm supports the Sugarloaf Landscape Management Plan because we want to limit high density development to east of 270 and preserve sensitive land west of 270 including Sugarloaf Mountain, historic Monocacy Battlefield, and Monocacy Scenic River."
Mary Holmes Dague	Jefferson	MD	17055	US	8/22/22	"Sugarloaf is possibly the most beautiful preserves of land in Frederick County and it is already being encroached upon by all sides. Leave it alone and save this place for our children and grandchildren to appreciate and enjoy;"
Angela Winter	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/20/22	"I left the DC hub for open space and nature of Frederick!"
Jane Thompson	dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/18/22	"I have lived by Sugarloaf Mt all of my life and love the peace and quiet. There are few places this close to DC where people can come to relax in peace and quiet. we

Dorothy Gallagher

Reston

20190 US 8/16/22

need to preserve the few natural resources left in the area which includes agricultural and conservation lands"

James Gunsallus

Canonsburg

PA 15317 US 8/16/22

"I live West of 270 in Point of Rocks. Sugarloaf is a valuable and beautiful treasure."

"I want to know which officials approved the zoning change for the Potomac Garden Center to Commercial property? How was this decision made in the midst of the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Plan? The officials that approved this are well aware of the potential consequences this decision could cause. Was this another "closed-door" deal like the previous Amazon meeting for which the state chastised the County Council? Why was this decision not "tabled" until after the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Plan was finalized and voted on?"

James Gunsallus

Canonsburg

PA 15317 US 8/16/22

"During the discussion of Chapter 4 at last night's (8/15/22) County Council meeting, it was pointed out just how important the headwaters are to the Sugarloaf Landscape Management Plan. The Planning Committee noted during the meeting, the importance of the study area, specifically the North Branch and Urbana Branch Watersheds which are already under attack by previous development on the East side of I-270. The presenter of the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Plan further noted the importance of this Northeast Boundary along I-270 where these watersheds exist and how it affects the water quality downstream. Very large parcels of the property on the Northeast Boundary to the West of I-270 are now owned by the developer of the East side of I-270. This developer went as far as having one of his paid colleagues call into the last council meeting to state there is no affect to these watersheds, should further development occur and they don't matter in this case because their development practices will keep this f"

David Hunter

Frederick

MD 21701 US 8/12/22

"I support the plan!"

Paul Rosencrantz

Frederick

MD 21704 US 8/12/22

"I live on Baker Valley Road and do not want the inevitable pressure to develop to ruin the bucolic nature of our community."

"This land is not some swath of acreage for developers to profit on, while destroying the very aspects of our area that people find most enjoyable. Rampant development is not on the best interest of the land or the county."

Tim Fortin

Brownsville

MD 21715 US 8/12/22

							"I love the entire Sugarloaf area. I have ridden my horses throughout and consider it a true treasure, to be protected and preserved for future generations."
Anne Davies	Boyds	MD	20841	US	8/11/22		"The Sugarloaf Plan is a preservation plan, not a development plan. It involves less than 5 percent of the county and protects farmlands, woodlands, waterways and the other natural features of the Sugarloaf Mountain landscape."
Blanca Poteat	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/11/22		"I'm tired of developers doing whatever they want in Frederick County!"
Chuck Peake	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/11/22		"I live in this area and do not want to see uncontrolled development of this beautiful rural landscape."
Jean Rosolino	Frederick	MD	21710	US	8/11/22		"Ecological, agricultural, and historical preservation is critical in maintaining what this piece of Frederick County has to offer!"
Kyla Moore	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/11/22		"We need to have open spaces for everyone's mental health! Preserve Sugar loaf mountain area for all to enjoy"
Robin Swope	Damascus	MD	20872	US	8/11/22		"We need to have open spaces for everyone's mental health! Preserve Sugar loaf mountain area for all to enjoy"
Robin Swope	Damascus	MD	20872	US	8/11/22		"We need to keep the Sugarloaf as is, a National Landmark! Once it Gone it's Gone! ENOUGH is ENOUGH"
Terry Oland	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/11/22		"Because I strongly support continued agriculture, open space and recreation in this area."
David Bowen	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/9/22		"I want this area to be protected from further development."
Gemma Radko	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/9/22		"We need more green areas"
Gregory erikson	Leominster	MA	1453	US	8/9/22		"It's the right thing to do to protect our environment for now and future generations. I want an environmentally sound Frederick County ( and hopefully the rest of the world) for my children and grandchildren. The Urbana area has been developed enough. Thank you"
Jan Knox	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/9/22		"It is too precious to allow wholesale development in that area to enrich a few landowners."
John Fay	Silver Spring	MD	20902	US	8/9/22		

							"This land is too precious to lose. For the sake of our children and grandchildren, for the climate, and for biodiversity please adopt the recommended Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan as written."
Joyce Bailey	Barnesville	MD	20838	US	8/9/22		"The Stronghold property is a special and beautiful resource near our crowded urban area, a place of peace that brings the tranquility of the natural world to anyone who visits."
Kathleen Holmay	Kensington	MD	20895-3521	US	8/9/22		"The Sugarloaf Mountain area is a wild treasure that should be protected in perpetuity"
Kathleen Walker	Leesburg	VA	20175	US	8/9/22		"Protecting Frederick and Montgomery Co Ag areas is critical to our future environmental health and global climate change mitigation"
Peter Eeg	Boyds	MD	20841	US	8/9/22		"Open spaces make our community beautiful and adds value to our property. Developers are destroying our natural beauty at a staggering rate - we need to save Sugarloaf and its unique, picturesque surroundings!"
Amy Burkall	New Market	MD	21774	US	8/8/22		"Sugarloaf is a regional treasure for the entire Metro area. Frederick officials should step up to protect it for future generations. Please have the political will to fend off developers who only seek personal gain. Sugarloaf is a priceless asset for Frederick County and should not be despoiled."
Dolores milmoe	poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/8/22		"I want to protect the borders of our vital, one of a kind AGRICULTURAL resource for now and the future!"
Ellen Gordon	Gaithersburg	MD	20878	US	8/8/22		"Too many natural landscapes are being developed without consideration for the huge benefits of vibrant natural habitats.!"
Nanci Wilkinson	Chevy Chase	MD	20817	US	8/8/22		"For many years, I happily celebrated the birthday of one of my dearest friends by hiking on Sugarloaf mountain. This was a highlight of the year for all of us. Not having this magnificent place of nature protected is unthinkable . It must be preserved as is."
Sandra Kovach	Beltsville	MD	20705	US	8/8/22		"We need to maintain and protect our wilderness areas. No amount of construction will benefit these areas, no matter how small."
STEVEN LUKE	THURMONT	MD	21788	US	8/8/22		"I grew up in Barnesville, a short bike ride down a dirt road away from the mountain. I enjoyed recreating on and around Sugarloaf well into my adult years when I
Abigail Neilan	Mcminnville	OR	97128	US	8/6/22		

Aurora Munyan	Middletown	MD	21769	US	8/5/22	moved away. I have the fondest memories of that special place. It's peaceful serenity and natural beauty must be preserved for future generations."
Allie Taylor	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/4/22	"Over-Development and hedge-fund driven real estate is a cancer to our environment and society."
Ann Andrex	Union Bridge	MD	21791	US	8/4/22	"This is an important plan that supports many county wide goals."
						"We need open spacePreserved"
Ann Connor	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/4/22	"It is our duty to preserve and support open land green spaces and agricultural lands for current and future generations .We cannot get these back once gone or chipped away at by loopholes. It is up to us now to support the land that sustains us ."
Donald wleklinski	Terre Haute	IN	47803	US	8/4/22	"Needs attention."
Katharine Byron	Middletown	MD	21769	US	8/4/22	"We use and enjoy the Sugarloaf area and encourage its preservation."
Tina Brown	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/4/22	"Because I support protecting Sugarloaf Mountain, a National Natural Landmark and the surrounding rural communities."
						"I grew up on Parks Mills Rd. Although I've lived in WV since 1977, I have fond memories of Sugarloaf Mountain. I'm sitting on my porch as I write this looking at the mountains surrounding me and can't imagine them covered in homes. Preserve Sugarloaf for future generations to enjoy."
Ann Knott	Hinton	WV	25951	US	8/3/22	"This is an area whose natural features are worth preserving."
Barbara Schectman	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/3/22	"I care about saving the natural beauty of our area. People need undeveloped spaces for their peace of mind and our survival depends on leaving ecosystems intact. Good urban planning means saying "no" to development sometimes. Montgomery County did it with the Ag. Preserve, Frederick County can do it, too!"
John Carrera	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22	"This place was a treasure for us when I was growing up in the 40's and 50's."
Karin Eckelmeyer	Portola Valley	CA	94028	US	8/3/22	

							"I'm signing because I grew up with the beautiful Sugarloaf Mountain in view from our farm. The landscape has changed so very much in the years since I've left, and enough is enough!"
Linda Knott	Hinton	WV	25951	US	8/3/22		"I grew up looking at Sugarloaf from our farm and all the farmland in the distance. When I go back to Urbana now I am disoriented by all the sprawl. It's so ugly. That sprawl had a lot to do with our family moving to WV on the late 70's. Please save the Sugarloaf area."
Lorie Bacorn	Burlington	WV	26710	US	8/3/22		"I'm signing because I'm in the generation that's going to be inheriting this county when I'm older, and I want to come to adulthood in a county that has preserved the beauty of the sugarloaf area. And if someday I have kids of my own, I want them to be able to have the same experiences of nature and how lovely it is as I did when I was a child. Think about the next generation. Keep the line at 270."
Moe Rosencrantz	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22		"Sugarloaf should remain rural as possible."
Paul Wallick	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/3/22		"I support protections for the agricultural and conservation areas in the Sugarloaf plan. I oppose allowing loopholes such as are in paragraph 54 that would revisit this plan and might permit development on the western side of 270. Thanks for your foresight in this preservation"
Sharon Dooley	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/3/22		"There is lots of space already designated for development in Frederick County. Preserve our treasured landscapes and protect them from profit-motivated development creep. Money isn't the only measure of value."
Sue Trainor	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22		"I support keeping Sugarloaf as natural as possible and to support biodiversity."
Susan Eisendrath	Rockville	MD	20853	US	8/3/22		"For environmental, historical, aesthetic reasons (among others) the area of Frederick County to the West of I-270, an historically protected area, significant to cyclists, horseback riders, naturalists and hikers from all over the DMV, as well as its resident homeowners and farmers, deserves to be protected as the treasure it is for generations to come."
Alexandra Carrera	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22		"We can "pave paradise and put in a parking lot", or just leave paradise alone. Wild life is being choked onto the Highways and laying dead in the gutters. Enough urban
Dj McGuinness	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/2/22		

							spread, this is not “smart building”, there are very few areas in Frederick County where high density building hasn’t contributed to waste water runoff and flooding. Greed for some, decimates what’s left of God’s green earth. Enough already!"
Eric Cronquist	Beallsville	MD	20839	US	8/2/22		"Preservation of agricultural land is more important to the residents of this area than intensive residential and commercial development."
Gil Rocha	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/2/22		"To protect the Sugarloaf Mountain area's natural beauty.Gil Rocha- Dickerson MD"
Ingrid Rosencrantz	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22		"I'm signing because Frederick County is under tremendous growth pressure and we need to preserve areas of significant environmental and cultural value - we have a chance to preserve the area around Sugarloaf and the Monocacy Battlefield. Please help us by signing the petition. Thanks!"
Kevin Firmin	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22		"I'd like to see a long term commitment to keep this area beautiful. Once one piece is cut out, the rest of the area will be primed for additional development in the minds of big developers. Keep this area safe from commercial development and the rich, greedy developers!"
Steve Black				US	8/2/22		"Save green space!!!Block industrialization"

Karen Lynch	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/2/22
Karen Cannon	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/2/22
Olivia French	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/2/22
Catherine Lawhon	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/2/22
Barbara Rosvold	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/2/22
Sarah Agnello	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/3/22
Nina Shore	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/3/22
Debra Gardner	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/3/22
Gloria Ladouceur	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/3/22
Darlene Bucciero	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/3/22
Barbara Schectman	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/3/22
Mary Ann Ford	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/3/22
Karen Thomassen	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/3/22
Jill King	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/5/22
Kate Wilson	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/5/22
Kathleen Farrington	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/6/22
Sherri Hoskins	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/9/22
Jan Knox	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/9/22
Elena Laird	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/10/22
Theresa Schneider	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/11/22
Mike Lynch	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/11/22
David Hunter	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/12/22
Elan Poteat	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/12/22
Sara Gemmell	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/14/22
Eric Guillot	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/15/22
Margaret Hindman	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/15/22
Angela Winter	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/20/22
Elizabeth Forte	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/22/22
Emily Cleaveland	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/22/22
James Wagner	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/22/22
Joseph Cleaveland	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/22/22
Deborah Ward	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/23/22
Divija Katakam	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/23/22
Carley Hearne	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/24/22
Sasha Hoffman	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/24/22
Bradley Faulkner	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/24/22
Taiyolor Kriss	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/24/22
Madi Smedley	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/24/22
Janice Shaff	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/24/22
Winnie Chen	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/24/22

Ricardo Sandoval	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/25/22
Sean Considine	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/25/22
Holly Fieni	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/25/22
Jessica Fletcher	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/26/22
Kathleen Mecenas	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/26/22
Sarah Harrison	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/26/22
Susan Whalen	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/26/22
Sharon Hane	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/26/22
Bob Patelunas	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/26/22
Mary Phillips	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/26/22
Joe Burkell	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/27/22
Karen Peacock	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/28/22
Bentz Stacey	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/28/22
Sharizma Hill	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/28/22
Hettie Ballweber	Frederick	MD	21701	US	8/30/22
Rebecca Powell	Frederick	MD	21701	US	9/1/22
Zoë Büki	Frederick	MD	21701	US	9/2/22
Tracy Racheff	Frederick	MD	21701	US	9/3/22
Sidney Brinkman	Frederick	MD	21701	US	9/10/22
Sabrina Peck	Frederick	MD	21701	US	9/10/22
Cortez Fletcher	London	NY	21701	US	9/13/22
Beth Reed	Frederick	MD	21701	US	9/14/22
Andrew McCollum	Frederick	MD	21701	US	9/14/22
Roberta McNamara	Frederick	MD	21701	US	9/14/22
Terry-Ann Clahar					
Anti	Frederick	MD	21701	US	9/15/22
Andrea McCluskey	Frederick	MD	21701	US	9/17/22
Brian Blank	Frederick	MD	21701	US	9/17/22
Janice Wilhoit	New Market	MD	21701	US	9/19/22
Andrew Gribben	Frederick	MD	21701	US	9/19/22
Mary Cutshall	Frederick	MD	21701	US	9/20/22
Bruce Bland	Frederick	MD	21701	US	9/21/22
Mike Dolan	Adamstown	MD	21701	US	9/21/22
Todd Whitman	Frederick	MD	21701	US	9/23/22
Patricia Boylan	Frederick	MD	21701	US	9/23/22
Noah Hawk	Frederick	MD	21701	US	9/24/22
John Schermerhorn	Frederick	MD	21701	US	9/25/22
Angela Burke	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/2/22
Alonna Elliott Elliott	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/2/22
Paul Wallick	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/3/22

Katherine Jones	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/3/22
Mackenzie Houston	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/3/22
Anne Garrett	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/3/22
Smantha Mentzer	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/3/22
Darlene Leboeuf	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/4/22
Maida Wright	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/4/22
Suzanne Feldman	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/4/22
Ashley Morse	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/5/22
Evelyn King	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/6/22
Kirsten Agrella	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/6/22
Diane Bill	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/7/22
Joanne Horn	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/7/22
edwin grayzeck	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/8/22
Seann Pelkey	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/9/22
Katherine White	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/11/22
Connor Port	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/12/22
Barry Cook	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/13/22
Kimberley Geys	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/15/22
Dori Ellison	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/15/22
Kathleen Blessing	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/16/22
Virginia Shoemaker	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/24/22
Sarina Huang	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/24/22
Robert Fouché	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/26/22
Lauren March	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/26/22
Sarah Stocks	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/26/22
Michael Dunn	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/26/22
Rebecca McGuffin	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/26/22
Edith Hemingway	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/28/22
Leslie Zimmer	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/28/22
Bethany Adams	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/29/22
Karen Welch Jensen	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/30/22
Debra Turnell	Frederick	MD	21702	US	8/30/22
LeeAnn Ginsburg	Frederick	MD	21702	US	9/1/22
Nancy Pace	Frederick	MD	21702	US	9/4/22
Gary Thuro	Frederick	MD	21702	US	9/7/22
Peytyn Resseger	Frederick	MD	21702	US	9/13/22
Stephanie Landry	Frederick	MD	21702	US	9/13/22
Patricia Johnson	Frederick	MD	21702	US	9/14/22
Tina Cardosi	Frederick	MD	21702	US	9/14/22
Caitlin Kelly	Frederick	MD	21702	US	9/16/22

Lindsay Weigle	Frederick	MD	21702	US	9/17/22
Lisa Rivas	Frederick	MD	21702	US	9/19/22
Norma Kawecki	Frederick	MD	21702	US	9/19/22
Linda Custer	Frederick	MD	21702	US	9/19/22
Annette Varndell	Frederick	MD	21702	US	9/19/22
Dwayne Neal	Frederick	MD	21702	US	9/23/22
William Harback	Frederick	MD	21702	US	9/23/22
Elizabeth Law	Frederick	MD	21703	US	8/2/22
Patrice Gallagher	Frederick	MD	21703	US	8/2/22
Craig Harrison	Frederick	MD	21703	US	8/4/22
Erin Pierorazio	Frederick	MD	21703	US	8/4/22
Tammy Shankle	Frederick	MD	21703	US	8/5/22
Stephanie Latkovski	Frederick	MD	21703	US	8/6/22
Vanessa Gress	Frederick	MD	21703	US	8/9/22
Tina Kirschenman	Frederick	MD	21703	US	8/14/22
Claudia Olson	Frederick	MD	21703	US	8/15/22
Ned Wolff	Frederick	MD	21703	US	8/15/22
Stephanie Simon	Frederick	MD	21703	US	8/15/22
Sharon Poole	Frederick	MD	21703	US	8/22/22
Vikki Gigante	Frederick	MD	21703	US	8/24/22
Lily Kremonas	Frederick	MD	21703	US	8/24/22
Amy Jenkins	Frederick	MD	21703	US	8/26/22
Ashley Evans	Frederick	MD	21703	US	9/1/22
Sarah Dimiceli	Frederick	MD	21703	US	9/1/22
Lauren Thuro	Frederick	MD	21703	US	9/7/22
Debbie Greene	Frederick	MD	21703	US	9/8/22
Robert Hanson	Frederick	MD	21703	US	9/8/22
Carlos Velásquez	Frederick	MD	21703	US	9/11/22
Teresa Carr	Frederick	MD	21703	US	9/13/22
Kristina Burke	Frederick	MD	21703	US	9/13/22
Sivasankari					
Murugan	Frederick	MD	21703	US	9/14/22
Francesca Foret	Frederick	MD	21703	US	9/16/22
Maddie Travis	Frederick	MD	21703	US	9/16/22
Thea Rudland	Frederick	MD	21703	US	9/17/22
Sharen Neale	Frederick	MD	21703	US	9/17/22
Deana Greenberg	Frederick	MD	21703	US	9/17/22
Karen Russell	Frederick	MD	21703	US	9/17/22
Lisa Pitrone	Frederick	MD	21703	US	9/18/22
JANICE WASHKO	Frederick	MD	21703	US	9/18/22

Laura Franklin	Frederick	MD	21703	US	9/19/22
Cindy Cisneros	Frederick	MD	21703	US	9/19/22
Stephanie Felton	Frederick	MD	21703	US	9/19/22
Emily Barney	Frederick	MD	21703	US	9/20/22
Ann Cooper	Frederick	MD	21703	US	9/20/22
gail jones	Frederick	MD	21703	US	9/20/22
Courtney Johnston	Frederick	MD	21703	US	9/21/22
Claudine Kinosz	Frederick	MD	21703	US	9/21/22
Evan Sims	Frederick	MD	21703	US	9/24/22
Dottie Drake	Frederick	MD	21703	US	9/26/22
Sue Trainor	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/1/22
Ingrid Rosencrantz	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
Larry Fortin	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
Gary J Thuro	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
Wiliam Aschenbach	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
Maureen Heavner	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
Terry Oland	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
John Lyons	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
Gretchen					
Rosencrantz	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
Yeung Lee	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
Susan Lyons	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
Scot Madill	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
Bill Chester	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
Taylor Slaght	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
Leslie Novotny	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
Andrew Mackintosh	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
Kevin Firmin	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
Alexandra Carrera	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
lily buffington	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
Audrey Houghton	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
Heidi Rosencrantz	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/2/22
Neesha Patel	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22
Diana Krop	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22
Moe Rosencrantz	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22
Pamela Ward	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22
Isabella Costanzo	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22
John Carrera	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22
Susan Trainor	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22
ann reeves	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22

Ember Carrera	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22
Jesse Martin	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22
Sharon Oland	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22
Sophia Plaschke	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22
Kal Godfroy	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22
Nicholas Carrera	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22
Lucas Stafford	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22
Lauren Tulis	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/3/22
Elizabeth Franklin	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/4/22
Dallas Cardinale	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/4/22
Sue Fortin	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/4/22
Marling Romero	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/4/22
Melissa Francis	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/4/22
Caitlin Umberger	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/4/22
Abigail Brown	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/4/22
Liz LaGarde	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/4/22
Jennifer Biryukov	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/4/22
David Spaans	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/5/22
Suzy Bailey	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/5/22
Linda Plaisted	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/7/22
Hiram Flook	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/8/22
Gemma Radko	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/9/22
Carey Murphy	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/9/22
Clifford Barr	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/9/22
Christine Mosher	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/9/22
Jennifer King	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/9/22
Shilpa Kurian	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/9/22
Tania Wagner	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/10/22
Kyla Moore	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/11/22
Javier Saavedra	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/11/22
Gracie Lee	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/11/22
Grace Pariso	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/11/22
Peter Blood	Urbana	MD	21704	US	8/11/22
Allen Poole	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/11/22
Chuck Peake	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/11/22
Milena Bartosiewicz	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/11/22
Colleen Smyth	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/11/22
John Darr Jr	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/11/22
PAUL BANAS	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/11/22
Lynn Rosenberg	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/11/22

Gay Anderson	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/11/22
Jungim Yun	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/11/22
Paul Rosencrantz	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/12/22
Nancy Izant	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/12/22
Victoria Upchurch	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/12/22
Andrew Herman	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/14/22
Cindy Roberts	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/15/22
Justine Niamke	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/15/22
Julie King	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/15/22
Glenn ORear	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/15/22
William Moore	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/15/22
Patricia Cleveland	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/15/22
Nagesh Vadarevu	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/16/22
Angela Hudson	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/18/22
David Reeves	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/18/22
Claire Dietrich	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/20/22
Leslie Novotny	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/21/22
Michael Higham	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/22/22
Karthik Thiagarajan	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/22/22
Heather Smith	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/22/22
Deven Patel	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/23/22
Sara Patamawenu	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/23/22
Gabriella Mbaoua	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/23/22
David Barreno	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/23/22
Orion Carrera	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/23/22
Joshua Zhu	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/23/22
Isabel Chen	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/24/22
jocelyn haenftling	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/24/22
Madison Mustafa	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/24/22
Carmen Vega	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/24/22
Jennifer D	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/24/22
Anton Murray	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/24/22
brianna					
shuttlewood	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/25/22
Grace Babbitt	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/25/22
Grace Lohr	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/25/22
Stacy Stacy	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/26/22
Kathleen Mooney	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/26/22
Lori Hasenbuhler	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/26/22
Mary Ann Ely	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/26/22

José Moreno	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/26/22
Sheryl Massaro	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/27/22
Catelynn Irish	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/27/22
Tariq T	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/29/22
April Clemons	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/29/22
Scott Scates	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/30/22
Jeffrey George	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/30/22
Jill Reeves	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/30/22
Sam Downs	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/31/22
Kylie Houston	Frederick	MD	21704	US	8/31/22
donald day	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/4/22
Kez Lewis	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/6/22
Stacy Taladay	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/6/22
Amy Pickett	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/6/22
Sanjana Ranasinghe	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/11/22
Mark Springston	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/13/22
Ericca Leonti	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/14/22
catherine buttrey	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/14/22
Amy Sullivan	Fredrick	MD	21704	US	9/14/22
Devon Sullivan	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/14/22
Cody Watkins	FREDERICK	MD	21704	US	9/14/22
Christopher Tenace	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/14/22
Mara Grout	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/14/22
Anne Zukowski	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/15/22
Steve West	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/16/22
Nancy Garnitz	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/16/22
Kyle Myers	Ellicott City	MD	21704	US	9/16/22
Sandy LeMessurier	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/16/22
Teresa Wood	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/17/22
Tenyang Namgyel	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/19/22
Chris Oh	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/19/22
john lewis	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/19/22
Pauline Kearse	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/19/22
Amy Wood	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/19/22
Mike McGough	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/20/22
Clare Riley	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/21/22
Marjorie Amren	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/21/22
Craig Shaffer	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/21/22
Laura Dress	Urbana	MD	21704	US	9/21/22
Jonathan Riley	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/21/22

Janice George	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/22/22
Susan Raap	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/22/22
Bradley Heavner	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/23/22
Pamela Ciliberti	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/23/22
Michelle Jeram	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/23/22
Grant Croman	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/23/22
Joanne Garrison	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/24/22
Bernadette Rogers	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/24/22
Karlene Rice	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/24/22
Brenda Crist	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/25/22
Charles Giglio	Urbana	MD	21704	US	9/26/22
Karen Giglio	Urbana	MD	21704	US	9/26/22
Julia Giglio	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/26/22
Kate Seiser	Urbana	MD	21704	US	9/26/22
Meghan OBrien	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/26/22
Nancy Sell	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/26/22
Scott Aderhold	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/26/22
Alicia Umbel	Urbana	MD	21704	US	9/27/22
Jeff Umbel	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/27/22
Alan Ramnath	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/27/22
Klara Ramnath	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/27/22
Kevin Davey	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/27/22
Anna Lipowitz	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/27/22
Eric Laug	Frederick	MD	21704	US	9/27/22
Kristen Morrison	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	8/2/22
Steve Black	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	8/2/22
Maddie Black	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	8/2/22
Lindy Black	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	8/2/22
Anne Black	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	8/2/22
John Neuenschwander	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	8/3/22
Gwyn Moran	Frederick	MD	21710	US	8/3/22
Hope Hamilton	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	8/4/22
Amber W	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	8/4/22
Cate Black	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	8/5/22
Kristin Ricketts	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	8/8/22
Jean Rosolino	Frederick	MD	21710	US	8/11/22
Rick Jordan	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	8/11/22
David Humerick	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	8/11/22
Thomas O'Hare	Frederick	MD	21710	US	8/15/22

Becky Wilt	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	8/15/22
Johanna Long	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	8/15/22
Ilene Freedman	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	8/22/22
Elizabeth Burkell	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	8/22/22
Kathryn Rattigan	Frederick	MD	21710	US	8/25/22
Jen Rinehart	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	8/25/22
Lara Lattman	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	8/25/22
Lori Stoneking	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	8/26/22
Megan Brumbaugh	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	9/1/22
Abigail Brumbaugh	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	9/1/22
Maria Ford	Frederick	MD	21710	US	9/13/22
Celeste Salazar	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	9/14/22
Julie Costello	London	MD	21710	US	9/14/22
Hannah Cardosi	Frederick	MD	21710	US	9/14/22
Gwendolyn Konrad	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	9/16/22
Hugo Salazar	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	9/16/22
Catherine Stracener	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	9/16/22
Patrice Carroll	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	9/17/22
Ian Heaton	Frederick	MD	21710	US	9/25/22
Giuseppe Savona	Frederick	MD	21710	US	9/25/22
Nancy Macgregor	Adamstown	MD	21710	US	9/26/22
	Braddock				
Tim Furst	Heights	MD	21714	US	9/17/22
Tim Fortin	Brownsville	MD	21715	US	8/12/22
Sharon Wallick	Brunswick	MD	21716	US	8/2/22
Victoria Andrews	Brunswick	MD	21716	US	8/26/22
Robert Schwartz	Brunswick	MD	21716	US	8/29/22
Katherine Collins	Brunswick	MD	21716	US	8/31/22
Neil Gormley	Brunswick	MD	21716	US	8/31/22
Diana Kassman	Brunswick	MD	21716	US	9/20/22
Tyrone Vias	Brunswick	MD	21716	US	9/26/22
Debbie Vias	Brunswick	MD	21716	US	9/26/22
Mary L Lemmons	Buckeystown	MD	21717	US	8/6/22
Elizabeth Orr	Burkittsville	MD	21718	US	8/11/22
Hannah Vo-Dinh	Jefferson	MD	21718	US	9/20/22
Ralph Irelan	Emmiysburg	MD	21727	US	8/6/22
Robert Ladner	Ijamsville	MD	21754	US	8/2/22
Sadie Saba	Ijamsville	MD	21754	US	8/4/22
Alexandra Kaloss	Ijamsville	MD	21754	US	8/5/22
Michele Kaloss	Ijamsville	MD	21754	US	8/10/22

Sunny H	Monrovia	MD	21754	US	8/24/22
Joanne Fraser	Ijamsville	MD	21754	US	8/27/22
Forrest Miller	Ijamsville	MD	21754	US	9/1/22
William Magers	Ijamsville	MD	21754	US	9/1/22
Phil Lynch	Ijamsville	MD	21754	US	9/13/22
Chris wheeler	Ijamsville	MD	21754	US	9/16/22
Alycia Fitzgerald	Ijamsville	MD	21754	US	9/23/22
William Steigelmann	Jefferson	MD	21755	US	8/2/22
Becki Smith	Jefferson	MD	21755	US	8/4/22
Lynn Klouda	Jefferson	MD	21755	US	8/6/22
Kaitlyn Noffsinger	Jefferson	MD	21755	US	8/28/22
eileen waldron	Frederick	MD	21755	US	9/11/22
Lynn Walker	Jefferson	MD	21755	US	9/16/22
Rich Lefebure	Jefferson	MD	21755	US	9/17/22
Elizabeth Philleo	Knoxville	MD	21758	US	8/4/22
Megan Goerner	Knoxville	MD	21758	US	8/26/22
Mary Mann	Knoxville	MD	21758	US	9/1/22
Elizabeth Bauer	Middletown	MD	21769	US	8/3/22
Sky Cappucci	Middletown	MD	21769	US	8/3/22
Dave Hansroth	Middletown	MD	21769	US	8/3/22
Stephen Cook	Middletown	MD	21769	US	8/4/22
Aurora Munyan	Middletown	MD	21769	US	8/5/22
Donna Maranto	Middletown	MD	21769	US	8/6/22
MiaMia Parsons	Middletown	MD	21769	US	8/6/22
R Paul Walker	Middletown	MD	21769	US	8/11/22
Stacia Underberg	Middletown	MD	21769	US	8/14/22
Claudia Terrill	Middletown	MD	21769	US	8/14/22
Lana Lloyd	Middletown	MD	21769	US	8/15/22
Elizabeth Bauer	Middletown	MD	21769	US	8/22/22
Claude Bauer	Middletown	MD	21769	US	8/24/22
Lisa Bromfield	Middletown	MD	21769	US	9/2/22
Kaitlyn Shinault	Middletown	MD	21769	US	9/13/22
David Hall	Middletown	MD	21769	US	9/14/22
Melissa Carpenter	Middletown	MD	21769	US	9/21/22
Jamie Anderson	Middletown	MD	21769	US	9/23/22
Sherman Johnson	Middletown	MD	21769	US	9/26/22
Mason Hill	Monrovia	MD	21770	US	8/3/22
Stan Mordensky	Monrovia	MD	21770	US	8/4/22
Kathryn Wilson	Monrovia	MD	21770	US	8/11/22
Elizabeth K. Breuker	Monrovia	MD	21770	US	8/18/22

Eric Breuker	Monrovia	MD	21770	US	8/18/22
Xavier Rosales	Monrovia	MD	21770	US	8/24/22
Alexander Phang	Monrovia	MD	21770	US	8/24/22
Andrew Liu	Monrovia	MD	21770	US	8/24/22
Icie Favata	Frederick	MD	21770	US	8/25/22
Amy Peters	Monrovia	MD	21770	US	9/23/22
Lisa Shereika	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/2/22
Ivy Rosencrantz	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/2/22
Ashley Pharaoh	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/3/22
Charles Mansfield	Mt Airy	MD	21771	US	8/3/22
Christine Carstens	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/4/22
Heather Wallace	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/6/22
Carolee Polley	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/6/22
amy witter	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/6/22
David Young	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/6/22
Liz R	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/6/22
Genevieve					
Mcdonald	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/6/22
Nicole Moon	Mt. Airy	MD	21771	US	8/6/22
Michael Wallace	Mt. Airy	MD	21771	US	8/6/22
Kimberly Mullen	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/6/22
Jonathan Campbell	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/7/22
Laura MacIvor	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/7/22
H. Voss	Mt.Airy	MD	21771	US	8/10/22
Isabella Baker	Mt. Airy	MD	21771	US	8/23/22
Debra Manetz	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/23/22
Nick Gerace	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/23/22
Marlin Suthard	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/24/22
Kaz Quick	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/24/22
katie riley	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/24/22
Shannon Young	Mount airy	MD	21771	US	8/24/22
Kari Perez	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/26/22
Norman Layton	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	8/31/22
Caroline Antosz	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	9/9/22
Cynthia Kehr	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	9/10/22
Lauren Foley	Monrovia	MD	21771	US	9/20/22
Susan Lundberg	Mount Airy	MD	21771	US	9/26/22
Jane Dennison	Middletown	MD	21773	US	8/2/22
Mary Posey	Myersville	MD	21773	US	8/5/22
Janet Ady	Myersville	MD	21773	US	8/7/22

izzie dominesey	myersville	MD	21773	US	8/23/22
Sharon Dooley	New Market	MD	21774	US	8/3/22
Jeffrey Wilson	New Market	MD	21774	US	8/4/22
Marybeth Cyr	New Market	MD	21774	US	8/5/22
Wendy Hickman	New Market	MD	21774	US	8/5/22
Mary Smith	New market	MD	21774	US	8/5/22
Amy Burkall	New Market	MD	21774	US	8/8/22
Marie Wheeler	New Market	MD	21774	US	8/10/22
Bavan Nadarajah	New Market	MD	21774	US	8/14/22
Megan Mulligan	New Market	MD	21774	US	8/24/22
Kyle Martin	New Market	MD	21774	US	8/25/22
Wendy Kerr	New Market	MD	21774	US	8/26/22
A L	New Market	MD	21774	US	8/26/22
Caitlyn Rodriguez	new market	MD	21774	US	8/29/22
Andrew Bernstein	New Market	MD	21774	US	8/31/22
Michael Barton	New Market	MD	21774	US	9/14/22
Ana Thompson	Frederick	MD	21774	US	9/19/22
Kay Tilden	New Market	MD	21774	US	9/19/22
Eileen Smith	New Market	MD	21774	US	9/20/22
ROYA DAPKUS	New Market	MD	21774	US	9/22/22
Thays Coelho	New Market	MD	21774	US	9/22/22
Mike Wizbicki	New market	MD	21774	US	9/23/22
Tyler Fitzgerald	New Market	MD	21774	US	9/23/22
Travis Hutchinson	New Market	MD	21774	US	9/23/22
Stacey Thomas	New Market	MD	21774	US	9/24/22
Lealon Thompson	New Market	MD	21774	US	9/24/22
Dave Honchalk	New Market	MD	21774	US	9/26/22
Teresa Seeman	New Market	MD	21774	US	9/27/22
Leslie Deering	New Windsor	MD	21776	US	8/5/22
Ann Marie					
Reinhardt	New Windsor	MD	21776	US	9/26/22
Dolores Rosenshein	Smithsburg	MD	21783	US	8/4/22
Nicole O'Malley	Sykesville	MD	21784	US	8/6/22
STEVEN LUKE	THURMONT	MD	21788	US	8/8/22
Patti Fredericks	Thurmont	MD	21788	US	8/22/22
Lydia Spalding	Thurmont	MD	21788	US	8/26/22
Samantha Myers	Thurmont	MD	21788	US	8/26/22
Hanna Benedict	Thurmont	MD	21788	US	8/26/22
Jane Sachs	Thurmont	MD	21788	US	8/29/22
Richard Jefferies	Thurmont	MD	21788	US	8/30/22

Kristin Deck	Thurmont	MD	21788	US	9/13/22
Chloe Tonon	Thurmont	MD	21788	US	9/17/22
Joyce Myers	Thurmont	MD	21788	US	9/23/22
Lori Sewell	Tuscarora	MD	21790	US	8/8/22
Lisa Myers	Tuscarora	MD	21790	US	9/16/22
Keenan Myers	Tuscarora	MD	21790	US	9/16/22
Curt Myers	Tuscarora	MD	21790	US	9/16/22
Mary Jane Foster	Frederick	MD	21791	US	8/2/22
Ann Andrex	Union Bridge	MD	21791	US	8/4/22
Pamela Burke	Walkersville	MD	21793	US	8/2/22
Jane Susi	Walkersville	MD	21793	US	8/5/22
Svetlana Borisova	Walkersville	MD	21793	US	8/5/22
Colin Fischer	Walkersville	MD	21793	US	9/16/22
Tom Horne	Walkersville	MD	21793	US	9/19/22
Jo Harte	Walkersville	MD	21793	US	9/26/22
Katharine Byron	Williamsport	MD	21795	US	8/4/22
Michael Szczepanski	Woodbine	MD	21797	US	9/24/22
Theresa Hofmeister	Woodsboro	MD	21798	US	8/26/22
Mary Holmes Dague	Jefferson	MD		US	8/22/22
J. Fraunhoffer	Frederick	MD		US	8/13/22

Carly Wedding	Bryantown	MD	20617	US	8/6/22
Susan Apple	Huntingtown	MD	20639	US	8/10/22
grace landgraf	La Plata	MD	20646	US	8/27/22
Eli Byrne	Lexington Park	MD	20653	US	9/2/22
Sandra Kovach	Beltsville	MD	20705	US	8/8/22
Kusuma Prabhakara	Laurel	MD	20707	US	8/5/22
Keri Bean	Laurel	MD	20707	US	8/7/22
Mark Foster	Laurel	MD	20707	US	8/9/22
Dan Wilson	Laurel	MD	20709	US	8/9/22
Geneya Milana	Laurel	MD	20723	US	8/31/22
Kyle Rosencrantz	Riverdale Park	MD	20737	US	8/2/22
CCT Balal	District Heights	MD	20747	US	9/8/22
Octavius Mills	Temple Hills	MD	20747	US	9/12/22
Derek Robinson-Jordan	Upper Marlboro	MD	20772	US	8/16/22
Rhiannon Huscha	Hyattsville	MD	20782	US	8/22/22
Mark Pontius	Hyattsville	MD	20782	US	9/27/22
Gail Serls	Hyattsville	MD	20783	US	9/1/22
Lee McNair	Bethesda	MD	20814	US	8/8/22
Diane Sawanobori	Bethesda	MD	20814	US	9/1/22
Carol Linden	Bethesda	MD	20814	US	9/23/22
Ann Green	Bethesda	MD	20814	US	9/23/22
Daniel hall	Bethesda	MD	20814	US	9/23/22
Chris Mrozowski	Bethesda	MD	20814	US	9/23/22
Melanie Maholick	Bethesda	MD	20814	US	9/23/22
Josie Brenner	Bethesda	MD	20814	US	9/23/22
Yie-Chia Lee	Bethesda	MD	20814	US	9/23/22
Simin Jamshidi	Bethesda	MD	20814	US	9/24/22
Cynthia Simon	Bethesda	MD	20814	US	9/26/22
Arthur Spitzer	Chevy Chase	MD	20815	US	8/2/22
Marney Bruce	Chevy Chase	MD	20815	US	8/4/22
Nancy Nantais	Chevy Chase	MD	20815	US	8/5/22
Karen Metchis	Chevy Chase	MD	20815	US	8/10/22
Mildred Callear	Chevy Chase	MD	20815	US	8/11/22
Julia Glazer	Chevy Chase	MD	20815	US	8/15/22
Arlene Bruhn	Chevy Chase	MD	20815	US	9/1/22
Patience Messore	Chevy Chase	MD	20815	US	9/6/22
Andrea Pollan	Bethesda	MD	20816	US	8/8/22
Martha Shannon	Bethesda	MD	20816	US	8/21/22
Martha Martha	Bethesda	MD	20816	US	8/31/22

rhoda Baer	Bethesda	MD	20816	US	9/2/22
David Hearn	Bethesda	MD	20816	US	9/23/22
Yasmine Helbling	Bethesda	MD	20816	US	9/23/22
Nanci Wilkinson	Chevy Chase	MD	20817	US	8/8/22
Tom Wilson	Bethesda	MD	20817	US	9/1/22
Brenda holt	Bethesda	MD	20817	US	9/23/22
Stephen Turow	Bethesda	MD	20817	US	9/23/22
Brigitte Hradsky	Bethesda	MD	20817	US	9/23/22
lisa kelley-connor	bethesda	MD	20817	US	9/23/22
Daniel Ruiz	Bethesda	MD	20817	US	9/24/22
Richard Vogel	Bethesda	MD	20817	US	9/24/22
Harman Redman	Bethesda	MD	20817	US	9/24/22
Evan Taff	Olney	MD	20832	US	8/8/22
Janelle MacLean	Olney	MD	20832	US	9/23/22
Ed Kirkpatrick	Brookeville	MD	20833	US	8/5/22
Bev Thoms	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/2/22
Gil Rocha	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/2/22
Julie Halstead	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/2/22
Ellen Gordon	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/2/22
Jennifer Freeman	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/3/22
Benjamin Brenholtz	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/3/22
Allie Taylor	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/4/22
Ann Connor	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/4/22
Tina Brown	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/4/22
Beth Daly	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/8/22
Kincade Dunn	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/8/22
Theodore Kingsley	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/8/22
Julee Evans	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/8/22
Sarah Suszczyk	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/9/22
Lauren Greenberger	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/9/22
Jennifer Bowen	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/10/22
Judith Stone	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/18/22
Adam Auel	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/19/22
Dick Franklin	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/20/22
Paul Shibelski	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/24/22
Catherine Ouellette	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/31/22
caroline taylor	poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/31/22
Nancy Dowdy	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/31/22
Kathy Anderson	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	8/31/22
Dorothy Herman	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/1/22

Cecilia Fayard	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/1/22
Sarah Defnet	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/1/22
Susan Corfman	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/1/22
Christine Rai	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/1/22
Donna Mitchell	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/1/22
Pat Hermans	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/1/22
Allie Taylor	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/1/22
Lexie Huber	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/1/22
Charlotte Henderson	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/1/22
Kim WEIGNER	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/1/22
Marjorie Ernst	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/1/22
Lee Langstaff	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/1/22
Susan Petro	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/1/22
Maureen Gilli	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/1/22
Dana Vliet	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/1/22
Victoria Capone	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/2/22
Valaree Dickerson	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/2/22
Dawn Bonnefond	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/2/22
Jessica Gomez	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/2/22
Erin S	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/2/22
Denise Jacklin	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/2/22
Cathy Kenly	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/2/22
Kathy Jankowski	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/2/22
Julie Sanchez	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/2/22
Mike Hall	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/2/22
Phyllis Pentecost	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/4/22
Stephanie Egly	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/4/22
Alyse Lo Bianco	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/4/22
MaryBeth					
Lewandowski	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/4/22
Tim Wade	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/4/22
Claire Gunster-Kirby	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/4/22
Lisa McCoy	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/4/22
Shaina E	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/5/22
Kaitlyn Schramm	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/7/22
Cathy Miller	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/13/22
Katherine Longbrake	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/13/22
Chris Rickert	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/13/22
Molly McLendon	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/13/22
Christina Carr	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/13/22

Marie Sheppard	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/14/22
Courtney Ives	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/17/22
Barbara Michaels	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/18/22
Geraline Carroll	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/19/22
Cathy Wyne	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/19/22
Juanita Lepine	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/23/22
John Snitzer	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/23/22
Heidi Rosvold	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/26/22
Melissa Cornell	Poolesville	MD	20837	US	9/26/22
james brown	Barnesville	MD	20838	US	8/2/22
Margaret Kelley	Barnesville	MD	20838	US	8/2/22
Kenneth Kelley	Barnesville	MD	20838	US	8/5/22
Kimberly Kempa	Barnesville	MD	20838	US	8/6/22
Stephen Vogel	Barnesville	MD	20838	US	8/7/22
Antonia Wagner	BARNESVILLE	MD	20838	US	8/8/22
David Evans	Barnesville	MD	20838	US	8/8/22
Joyce Bailey	Barnesville	MD	20838	US	8/9/22
William Hilton	Barnesville	MD	20838	US	8/10/22
Susan Pearcy	Barnesville	MD	20838	US	8/18/22
Anna Kelly	Barnesville	MD	20838	US	8/24/22
Holly Larisch	Barnesville	MD	20838	US	8/24/22
M E Menke	Barnesville	MD	20838	US	8/25/22
james field	Barnesville	MD	20838	US	9/1/22
Eric Cronquist	Beallsville	MD	20839	US	8/2/22
Mary Pat Wilson	Beallsville	MD	20839	US	8/4/22
Brita Cronquist	Beallsville	MD	20839	US	8/9/22
Thomas Rojas	Poolesville	MD	20839	US	8/15/22
Tim Nanof	Beallsville	MD	20839	US	9/8/22
Robert Wilbur	Boyds	MD	20841	US	8/8/22
Marsha					
Vondurckheim	Boyds	MD	20841	US	8/9/22
Peter Eeg	Boyds	MD	20841	US	8/9/22
Anne Davies	Boyds	MD	20841	US	8/11/22
Olivia Schmidt	Boyds	MD	20841	US	8/26/22
Kathie Hulley	Boyds	MD	20841	US	9/1/22
Margot de Messières	Boyds	MD	20841	US	9/1/22
Steve Nothwehr	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/2/22
Robert Huntington	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/3/22
Grace Whitman	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/3/22
Sarah O'Halloran	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/4/22

Laura Van Etten	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/4/22
John Rockafellow	Duckerson	MD	20842	US	8/5/22
Liz Zander	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/6/22
Uli Rodgers	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/6/22
Enrique Zaldivar	Comus	MD	20842	US	8/6/22
Steven Findlay	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/7/22
George Penn	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/8/22
Margaret Camp	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/8/22
Jean Findlay	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/8/22
Sherry Stephenson	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/9/22
David Bowen	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/9/22
Blanca Poteat	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/11/22
Steve Poteat	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/11/22
Jane Thompson	dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/18/22
Lynn Sheehan	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/18/22
abby adelberg	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/18/22
Nelson Tyler Sr	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/19/22
Penny Rhoderick-Smith	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/21/22
Michael Dennis	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/23/22
Isabelle Boulet	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/23/22
Anne Sturm	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/24/22
Theresa Haas	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/30/22
Lesly Smallwood	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	8/31/22
Anne Marie Hickey	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	9/1/22
Michael Protas	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	9/1/22
Amy Seely	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	9/4/22
Michael Yarrington	Comus (Sugarloaf)	MD	20842	US	9/8/22
Janah Maresca	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	9/8/22
Christian Ottesen	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	9/26/22
carolyn laurencot	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	9/26/22
Geraldine Carty	Dickerson	MD	20842	US	9/26/22
Erick Hernandez	Rockville	MD	20850	US	8/4/22
Jeanine Gould-Kostka	Rockville	MD	20850	US	8/23/22
Amber Cohen	Rockville	MD	20850	US	8/24/22
Daniella Jaray	Rockville	MD	20850	US	8/25/22
Barrt Eisenberg	Rockville	MD	20850	US	8/31/22
Pam Foley	Rockville	MD	20850	US	9/1/22
Nicholas Lupin	Rockville	MD	20850	US	9/6/22
Ruth Barron	Rockville	MD	20852	US	8/18/22

Debra Eggleston	Rockville	MD	20852	US	8/30/22
Christina Micek	Rockville	MD	20852	US	9/2/22
Rosemary Mitchell	Rockville	MD	20852	US	9/24/22
Susan Eisendrath	Rockville	MD	20853	US	8/3/22
Western MoCo Resident	Rockville	MD	20853	US	9/1/22
Robert Dean	Potomac	MD	20854	US	8/4/22
Geri Shapiro	Potomac	MD	20854	US	8/22/22
Julia Dorfman	Potomac	MD	20854	US	8/26/22
Audrey Morris	potomac	MD	20854	US	8/30/22
Brendan Lynch	Potomac	MD	20854	US	9/1/22
Barbara Hoover	Potomac	MD	20854	US	9/1/22
Barbara Brown	Potomac	MD	20854	US	9/1/22
William Zanoff	Potomac	MD	20854	US	9/4/22
Frank Loss	POTOMAC	MD	20854	US	9/18/22
LEE TALISMAN	Potomac	MD	20854	US	9/23/22
Christopher Apgar	Germantown	MD	20871	US	8/8/22
Krista Abbaticchio	Clarksburg	MD	20871	US	8/9/22
Victoria Platz	Clarksburg	MD	20871	US	8/9/22
Carol Thomas	Clarksburg	MD	20871	US	8/9/22
Russell Carter	Clarksburg	MD	20871	US	8/15/22
Noreen Rehman-Brown	Clarksburg	MD	20871	US	9/1/22
Priscilla Borchardt	Clarksburg	MD	20871	US	9/1/22
Evelyn Gallagher	Germantown	MD	20871	US	9/1/22
Joy Grubb	Clarksburg	MD	20871	US	9/1/22
AMANDA CIRALDO	Clarksburg	MD	20871	US	9/4/22
Rita Anselmo	Clarksburg	MD	20871	US	9/4/22
Amy Pegram	Clarksburg	MD	20871	US	9/19/22
Robin Swope	Damascus	MD	20872	US	8/11/22
A Podonsky	Damascus	MD	20872	US	8/30/22
Heather Morris	Damascus	MD	20872	US	8/31/22
David Salkeld jr	Damascus	MD	20872	US	9/1/22
Caitlin Stephens	Damascus	MD	20872	US	9/1/22
Diane Loomis	Damascus	MD	20872	US	9/1/22
Peggy Owens	Damascus	MD	20872	US	9/5/22
Anita Trotter	Damascus	MD	20872	US	9/5/22
Deborah Scott	Damascus	MD	20872	US	9/20/22
Holly Fiery	Damascus	MD	20872	US	9/26/22
Robert Goldberg	Germantown	MD	20874	US	8/3/22

Margery Edmundson	Darnestown	MD	20874	US	8/4/22
Jane Smith	Germantown	MD	20874	US	8/8/22
Evelyn Pyrdol	Germantown	MD	20874	US	8/8/22
Stan Fisher	Germantown	MD	20874	US	8/10/22
Maggie Newcomer	Germantown	MD	20874	US	8/10/22
Robert Gramzinski	Germantown	MD	20874	US	8/11/22
Anna Chentsova	Germantown	MD	20874	US	8/15/22
Victoria Coleman	Germantown	MD	20874	US	8/17/22
Vee Gnahore	Germantown	MD	20874	US	8/23/22
Dawn Gardner	Darnestown	MD	20874	US	8/26/22
Caitlyn Pawelek	Germantown	MD	20874	US	8/27/22
Patricia Cooper	Poolesville	MD	20874	US	9/1/22
Melinda Hahn	Germantown	MD	20874	US	9/1/22
Stephanie Kreider	Germantown	MD	20874	US	9/1/22
Gina Riedmuller	Germantown	MD	20874	US	9/1/22
Naomi Engle	Germantown	MD	20874	US	9/2/22
Elissa Bettencourt	Germantown	MD	20874	US	9/4/22
Jung Lee	Germantown	MD	20874	US	9/4/22
Gail Oconnor	Boyds	MD	20874	US	9/4/22
Joanne Vanasse	Germantown	MD	20874	US	9/6/22
Denise Tomlin	Germantown	MD	20874	US	9/10/22
Martha Shields	Germantown	MD	20874	US	9/12/22
Jacqueline Elpers	Germantown	MD	20874	US	9/17/22
Evan Haning	Germantown	MD	20874	US	9/18/22
Rosalyn Brown	Germantown	MD	20874	US	9/18/22
matteo cano	Germantown	MD	20874	US	9/21/22
Haley Umbel	Germantown	MD	20874	US	9/27/22
Christy Bumanis	Germantown	MD	20876	US	8/4/22
Gretchen D Collins	Gaithersburg	MD	20877	US	8/3/22
MaryAnne Moses	Gaithersburg	MD	20877	US	8/6/22
Kierra SimpkinS	Gaithersburg	MD	20877	US	8/26/22
Catherine Moses	Gaithersburg	MD	20877	US	8/26/22
Lyndsey Snyder	Gaithersburg	MD	20877	US	8/30/22
Sean DeFrehn	Gaithersburg	MD	20877	US	9/19/22
Richard Pelzman	Gaithersburg	MD	20878	US	8/3/22
Michele Shipp	Gaithersburg	MD	20878	US	8/3/22
Rebecca Drengwitz	Gaithersburg	MD	20878	US	8/4/22
Jeff Thomas	Gaithersburg	MD	20878	US	8/6/22
Jackie Freye	Gaithersburg	MD	20878	US	8/6/22
Ellen Gordon	Gaithersburg	MD	20878	US	8/8/22

Sunny Batz	Gaithersburg	MD	20878	US	8/22/22
Robert Boswell	Gaithersburg	MD	20878	US	8/30/22
John Hickman	Gaithersburg	MD	20878	US	9/1/22
Amanda Chavez-					
Garcia	Gaithersburg	MD	20878	US	9/4/22
Rav Singh	Gaithersburg	MD	20878	US	9/17/22
Jeremy Stanton	Gaithersburg	MD	20878	US	9/17/22
Hilary Bediako	Gaithersburg	MD	20878	US	9/19/22
Alexandra Goffin	Gaithersburg	MD	20878	US	9/25/22
Susanna Coto	Gaithersburg	MD	20878	US	9/26/22
Victoria Braswell	Gaithersburg	MD	20879	US	8/31/22
Jennifer Hannan	Gaithersburg	MD	20879	US	9/13/22
Cynthia Gleason	Gaithersburg	MD	20882	US	8/3/22
BRIAN SWARTZ	Gaithersburg	MD	20882	US	8/4/22
Thomas Zellers	Laytonsville	MD	20882	US	8/8/22
Julie Grimley	Gaithersburg	MD	20882	US	8/31/22
Donna McDowell	Gaithersburg	MD	20882	US	9/1/22
Oleg Fedoroff	Laytonsville	MD	20882	US	9/12/22
	Montgomery				
Kim Engelke	Village	MD	20886	US	8/22/22
	Montgomery				
Randy Shaffer	Village	MD	20886	US	9/1/22
Elizabeth Canter	Gaithersburg	MD	20886	US	9/15/22
	Montgomery				
Viji Selva	Village	MD	20886	US	9/26/22
Joyce Winston	Kensington	NC	20895	US	8/4/22
Caroline Kennedy	Kensington	MD	20895	US	9/3/22
Mary Donovan	Kensington	MD	20895	US	9/26/22
Aaron Baird	Silver Spring	MD	20901	US	9/16/22
Jayme Levy Duva	Silver Spring	MD	20902	US	8/2/22
Maggie Hill	Silver Spring	MD	20902	US	8/3/22
John Fay	Silver Spring	MD	20902	US	8/9/22
Richard Poloway	Silver Spring	MD	20902	US	8/24/22
Leah Royce	Silver Spring	MD	20902	US	9/1/22
Elizabeth Ginexi	Silver Spring	MD	20902	US	9/23/22
James Knott sr	Silver Spring	MD	20903	US	8/3/22
Selma Sweetbaum	Silver Spring	MD	20904	US	8/8/22
Jessica Spielman	Silver Spring	MD	20904	US	8/12/22
Lois Geet	Silver Spring	MD	20905	US	9/2/22
Bruce Cornwell	Silver Spring	MD	20906	US	8/3/22
Maggie Lora	Silver Spring	MD	20906	US	8/4/22

Mary Grace Sloan	Silver Spring	MD	20906	US	8/8/22
Anne Ambler	Silver Spring	MD	20906	US	8/9/22
Cathy Pierce	Silver Spring	MD	20906	US	8/30/22
David Salgado	Silver Spring	MD	20906	US	9/13/22
Marianne6 McNeil	Silver Spring	MD	20910	US	8/4/22
Clifford Ireland	Silver Spring	MD	20910	US	8/4/22
Margaret Tutwiler	Silver Spring	MD	20910	US	8/31/22
Sophie Cameron	Silver Spring	MD	20910	US	9/1/22
Karen Kraly	Silver Spring	MD	20910	US	9/1/22
Steve Warner	Silver Spring	MD	20910	US	9/1/22
Wendy Jackson	Silver Spring	MD	20910	US	9/7/22
Madeleine Fletcher	Silver Spring	MD	20910	US	9/17/22
Kathryn Partan	Takoma Park	MD	20912	US	8/3/22
Cheryl Morden	Takoma Park	MD	20912	US	8/31/22
Reuben Snipper	Silver Spring	MD	20912	US	9/4/22
Courtney Burtraw	Takoma Park	MD	20912	US	9/16/22
Betsy Brino	Arnold	MD	21012	US	9/26/22
Gracyn Van Bemmel	Bel Air	MD	21014	US	9/10/22
Annabelle Mallios	Ellicott City	MD	21042	US	9/1/22
Zachary Regan	Ellicott City	MD	21043	US	9/23/22
Andrew Eberhardt	Columbia	MD	21044	US	8/5/22
Chris Parker	Columbia	MD	21044	US	8/11/22
Laura Mawhood	Columbia	MD	21045	US	9/18/22
Greta Snyder	Frederick	MD	21047	US	8/25/22
Richard Klein	Freeland	MD	21053	US	9/13/22
Cheyanne Williams	Glen Burnie	MD	21061	US	8/30/22
Diana Semelsberger	Hampstead	MD	21074	US	8/6/22
	Lutherville-				
Tara Meekins	Timonium	MD	21093	US	9/26/22
M Anonymous	Carroll county	MD	21104	US	8/3/22
Eric Amoros	Odenton	MD	21113	US	8/5/22
Gibbly Moon	Owings Mills	MD	21117	US	8/31/22
Logan Wood	Parkton	MD	21120	US	8/27/22
Megan Rigby	Severn	MD	21144	US	8/7/22
Alexander Wu	Severna Park	MD	21146	US	9/1/22
Carol Capwell	Upperco	MD	21155	US	8/21/22
Jason Evans	Westminster	MD	21157	US	8/6/22
Rebecca Boie	Westminster	MD	21157	US	8/6/22
Wilberto Cortes	Westminster	MD	21158	US	8/4/22
barbara luchsinger	Baltimore	MD	21211	US	8/2/22

Jordyn Dent	Baltimore	MD	21212	US	9/18/22
Susan Johnston	Baltimore	MD	21214	US	8/2/22
Rebecca Richards	Baltimore	MD	21214	US	8/3/22
Kathryn Schaafsma	Baltimore	MD	21214	US	8/3/22
Julia Dumps	Baltimore	MD	21214	US	8/24/22
D'Ann WILLIAMS	Baltimore	MD	21218	US	8/2/22
Baby Gravy	Essex	MD	21221	US	9/1/22
Vicky Poole	Baltimore	MD	21224	US	8/22/22
corey thuro	Baltimore	MD	21224	US	9/7/22
Nancy Fitch	Halethorpe	MD	21227	US	8/26/22
Ecatarina Grant	Baltimore	MD	21230	US	8/15/22
Chaz Branch	Baltimore	MD	21231	US	8/10/22
hannah kline	Baltimore	MD	21231	US	9/24/22
Jeremy Wallick	Parkville	MD	21234	US	8/2/22
Zachary Keplinger	Parkville	MD	21234	US	9/5/22
Sherri Bokor	Baltimore	MD	21275	US	9/16/22
mona k	Towson	MD	21286	US	8/23/22
Keshav Lincoln	Annapolis	MD	21401	US	8/11/22
Paul Flack	Annapolis	MD	21401	US	9/24/22
Pat Furgurson	Annapolis	MD	21409	US	8/4/22
Avery Nies	Cumberland	MD	21502	US	9/14/22
Brianna Westfall	Cumberland	MD	21502	US	9/14/22
nevaeh carter	Cambridge	MD	21613	US	8/11/22
Penelope A Mccrea	Chestertown	MD	21620	US	8/9/22
Tyson Johnson	Chestertown	MD	21620	US	8/26/22
Sharon Shefter	Dickerson	MD		US	9/18/22
Carly Wedding	Bryantown	MD	20617	US	8/6/22
Susan Apple	Huntingtown	MD	20639	US	8/10/22
Rebecca Campbell	Hancock	MD	25411	US	8/26/22
Lauren Penn	Dickerson	MD	2084	US	8/8/22

Radford Kevin	Brackenridge	PA	15014	US	8/15/22
Landyn Romano	Burgettstown	PA	15021	US	9/20/22
Jeffrey Dean	Monongahela	PA	15063	US	8/16/22
Taylor Edgar	Allison Park	PA	15101	US	8/12/22
tamya biroe	Pittsburgh	PA	15137	US	8/15/22
Regina Brooks	Pittsburgh	PA	15209	US	9/5/22
cathy rupp	Pittsburgh	PA	15213	US	8/22/22
Anna Speciale	Pittsburgh	PA	15213	US	9/12/22
Travis Gladish	Pittsburgh	PA	15215	US	9/23/22
Kevin Blankenship	Pittsburgh	PA	15220	US	9/4/22
Kayla Kotsagrelos	Pittsburgh	PA	15227	US	8/8/22
James Gunsallus	Canonsburg	PA	15317	US	8/16/22
John Ward	Ohiopyle	PA	15470	US	8/11/22
Andy Benson	Bedford	PA	15522	US	9/1/22
Matthew Spencer	Greensburg	PA	15601	US	8/31/22
mikayla coulter	Vandergrift	PA	15690	US	8/12/22
Nicole Cook	Vandergrift	PA	15690	US	8/16/22
Juilana Dillinger	Mercer	PA	16137	US	8/13/22
Jadyn Truax	Kittanning	PA	16201	US	8/29/22
Alicia Walters	Erie	PA	16507	US	9/2/22
Jay Ceretti	Huntingdon	PA	16652	US	9/15/22
Dorothy Laincz	Carlisle	PA	17013	US	9/26/22
Audrey Mather	Carlisle	PA	17015	US	8/29/22
Emory Barton	Mechanicsburg	PA	17050	US	8/30/22
John Fisher	New Bloomfield	PA	17068	US	9/24/22
Patti Pangle	Greencastle	PA	17225	US	8/3/22
Amy Dro	Warfordsburg	PA	17267	US	8/24/22
Lee Fitzpatrick	Waynesboro	PA	17268	US	8/4/22
Caroline Rasher	Gettysburg	PA	17325	US	8/6/22
Elizabeth Davis	Spring Grove	PA	17362	US	8/26/22
Amaya Serrano					
riolobos	Figuieres	PA	17600	Spain	8/4/22
amanda dait	Bethlehem	PA	18017	US	9/12/22
Heather Snyder	Catasauqua	PA	18032	US	8/22/22
Robert Yaros	Whitehall	PA	18052	US	9/2/22
Alina Fernandez	Allentown	PA	18101	US	8/31/22
Bryan Cartagena	Allentown	PA	18102	US	8/31/22
Bach Lao	Allentown	PA	18102	US	8/31/22
Ashley Velez	Allentown	PA	18102	US	9/21/22
Maria Lopez	Allentown	PA	18103	US	8/31/22

Jessica Camacho	allentown	PA	18104	US	8/31/22
Christian Gonzalez	Allentown	PA	18104	US	8/31/22
Avianni Knight	Allentown	PA	18104	US	8/31/22
eiddan jerez	Hazleton	PA	18201	US	8/12/22
	Township of				
Huddy B	Sugarloaf	PA	18249	US	8/15/22
Anna Laidler	East Stroudsburg	PA	18301	US	8/3/22
Sage Jeffries	Plymouth	PA	18651	US	9/24/22
Kathy Jones	Wilkes-Barre	PA	18706	US	8/31/22
Max David	Mountain Top	PA	18707	US	9/27/22
Austin Barone	Warminster	PA	18974	US	8/31/22
annalisa berry	Broomall	PA	19008	US	9/4/22
Linda Bescrypt	Langhorne	PA	19047	US	8/30/22
Dingus Ebob	Levittown	PA	19056	US	9/4/22
Johanna Cortez	Media	PA	19063	US	9/23/22
Lydia Shreck	Springfield	PA	19064	US	8/23/22
Tommy Carey	Wallingford	PA	19086	US	8/22/22
Conner Smith	Philadelphia	PA	19104	US	8/20/22
Eliana Dunphy	Philadelphia	PA	19107	US	8/31/22
Elizabeth VanMeter	Philadelphia	PA	19107	US	9/5/22
Estelle Anderson	Philadelphia	PA	19108	US	8/28/22
Keisha Hicks	Philadelphia	PA	19120	US	8/21/22
Joseph Veltri	Philadelphia	PA	19121	US	8/21/22
Nathan Santos	Philadelphia	PA	19121	US	9/13/22
Vanessa Lamendola	Philadelphia	PA	19131	US	8/8/22
Coleman wivell	Philadelphia	PA	19134	US	8/26/22
Johnathan Peraza	Philadelphia	PA	19136	US	8/31/22
sadi bilal	Philadelphia	PA	19140	US	9/20/22
Eddie Kelly	Philadelphia	PA	19147	US	9/24/22
Michelle carter	Philadelphia	PA	19149	US	8/21/22
Isaiah McRoberts	Downington	PA	19335	US	9/2/22
Ryley Ryans	Exton	PA	19341	US	8/31/22
Finley Conroy	Collegeville	PA	19426	US	9/1/22
Joanne Dinsmore	Pottstown	PA	19464	US	8/2/22
Kaitlyn Paul	Pottstown	PA	19465	US	9/12/22
Jeff Charlebois	Blandon	PA	19510	US	8/22/22
Christina Homan	Reading	PA	19607	US	8/28/22
Courtney E	Wilmington	DE	19808	US	8/30/22
Arabella K	Camden	DE	19934	US	9/6/22
Joseph Harris	Dagsboro	DE	19939	US	9/11/22

Debbie E	Felton	DE	19943	US	8/31/22
Travis Sadler	Milford	DE	19963	US	9/8/22
Cole Campbell	Ocean View	DE	19970	US	9/27/22
Irwin Kalson	Selbyville	DE	19975	US	8/3/22
Theresa Badum	Washington	DC	20001	US	8/3/22
Jennifer Nagel	Washington	DC	20001	US	9/13/22
Johanna Springston	Washington	DC	20002	US	8/2/22
Toni Koerber	Washington	DC	20002	US	8/4/22
Darlene Umberger	Washington	DC	20002	US	8/4/22
Kevin Gowen	Washington	DC	20002	US	8/5/22
Jeff Canter	Washington	DC	20002	US	8/16/22
Richard Hill	Washington	DC	20002	US	8/18/22
Sophia Brown	Washington	DC	20002	US	9/1/22
Sherron Beach	Washington	DC	20002	US	9/7/22
kathryn pastelak	Washington	DC	20002	US	9/10/22
Stephen Szibler	Washington	DC	20002	US	9/26/22
Joe Bonnefond	Washington	DC	20002	US	9/27/22
Sarah Pearce	Washington	DC	20003	US	8/3/22
Alla Rogers	Washington	DC	20008	US	8/8/22
Lee Ayres	Washington	DC	20008	US	8/23/22
Lauren Broder	Washington	DC	20008	US	8/24/22
Amruta Epari	Washington	DC	20009	US	8/23/22
Jonas Kaplin	Washington	DC	20009	US	8/23/22
Kevin Brumbaugh	Washington	DC	20009	US	9/1/22
Sara Long	Washington	DC	20009	US	9/1/22
Monica Fortman	Washington	MD	20009	US	9/16/22
Raji TRIPATHI	Washington	DC	20009	US	9/23/22
Rudi Riet	Washington	DC	20009	US	9/24/22
Nivedita Chauhan	Washington	DC	20010	US	9/14/22
Andrew Donaldson	Washington	DC	20011	US	8/2/22
Larry Martin	Washington	DC	20011	US	8/3/22
Fredricks Deborah	Washington	DC	20011	US	8/3/22
Katherine Weld	Washington	DC	20011	US	8/4/22
Debby Lynn	Washington	DC	20011	US	8/4/22
Tom Baugher	Washington	DC	20011	US	8/4/22
Jeff Caulfield	Washington	DC	20011	US	8/5/22
Debra Athey	Washington	DC	20011	US	8/15/22
Bev Thoms	Washington	DC	20011	US	8/18/22
uno carlsson	Washington	DC	20011	US	8/19/22
Nadia Faruqui	Washington	DC	20011	US	8/23/22

Haiden Naill	Washington	DC	20011	US	8/24/22
Lilly Broder	Washington	DC	20011	US	8/24/22
Diva Parekh	Washington	DC	20011	US	8/24/22
Carleigh Mankowich	Washington	DC	20011	US	8/25/22
Cameryn Burley	Washington	DC	20011	US	8/25/22
Tatiana Grant	Washington	DC	20011	US	8/25/22
Heather Goddard	Washington	DC	20011	US	8/28/22
Deborah Harrell	Washington	DC	20011	US	9/14/22
Eloisa Jones	Washington	DC	20011	US	9/19/22
Michael Bender	Washington	DC	20011	US	9/19/22
Anna Arrington	Washington	DC	20011	US	9/23/22
Amanda Lombardo	Washington	DC	20015	US	9/4/22
Marc Grossman	Washington	DC	20016	US	8/31/22
Kim Penn	London	DE	20016	US	9/10/22
Tudor Ivan	Washington	DC	20016	US	9/15/22
Barb Vogel	Washington	DC	20017	US	8/6/22
Joyce Bovello	Washington	DC	20017	US	8/11/22
Emmy Rose	Washington	DC	20017	US	8/25/22
Elaina Garcia	Washington	DC	20018	US	8/3/22
Gabriela Pabon	Washington	DC	20019	US	8/3/22
Henry McCoy	Washington	DC	20019	US	8/7/22
Ellen Kreis	Washington	DC	20019	US	8/8/22
Dolores Milmoe	Washington	DC	20019	US	8/8/22
Gabriela Christian	Washington	DC	20019	US	9/12/22
Judy Voorhees	Washington	DC	20020	US	8/30/22
Mary Obrien	Washington	DC	20020	US	9/26/22
Shannon McDougall	Washington	DC	20024	US	9/26/22
Ashley G	Washington	DC	20036	US	9/24/22
Lisa Kingsley	Washington	DC	20068	US	8/3/22
Joe Berns	Washington	DC	20068	US	9/20/22
Noor Saini	Aldie	VA	20105	US	9/4/22
Edwin Escobar	Manassas	VA	20109	US	9/24/22
Zonia Alvarez	Manassas	VA	20110	US	9/18/22
Ben B	CENTREVILLE	VA	20120	US	9/17/22
Amanda Schatzman	Ashburn	VA	20147	US	8/16/22
Shafiq Qaderi	Ashburn	VA	20147	US	8/20/22
Josh Mejia	Ashburn	VA	20147	US	8/26/22
Mary Juarez	Sterling	VA	20164	US	8/29/22
Kathleen Walker	Leesburg	VA	20175	US	8/9/22
Narinder Grewal	Leesburg	VA	20175	US	8/29/22

Elena sczerzenie	Leesburg	VA	20176	US	8/17/22
Samaresh Panda	Leesburg	VA	20176	US	8/21/22
Kimberly McAbee	Leesburg	VA	20176	US	8/28/22
Laurien Dowdy	Leesburg	VA	20176	US	9/20/22
Shanna McVey	Leesburg	VA	20176	US	9/23/22
Orlando Morales	Lovettsville	VA	20180	US	9/12/22
Callie Fulmer	Lovettsville	VA	20180	US	9/26/22
Elena Clark	Warrenton	VA	20187	US	8/27/22
Terri Gagne	Warrenton	VA	20187	US	9/24/22
Patricia Imhof	Reston	VA	20190	US	8/3/22
Dorothy Gallagher	Reston	VA	20190	US	8/16/22
Lou Heare	Reston	VA	20191	US	8/4/22
Sara Greer	Waterford	VA	20197	US	9/21/22
Sam Capadona	Elkton	VA	21921	US	9/4/22
Troy Thrift	Annandale	VA	22003	US	9/23/22
Fiona Agyekum	Fairfax	VA	22030	US	8/24/22
Stephanie Corbitt	Fairfax	VA	22030	US	8/31/22
John Summerville	Fairfax	VA	22031	US	8/5/22
issac shaw	Fairfax	VA	22032	US	8/26/22
Samuel Larios	Fairfax	VA	22038	US	9/23/22
Gabby Palma	Falls Church	VA	22041	US	9/21/22
Carolyn Jacobson	Falls Church	VA	22042	US	8/25/22
Andy Reiman	McLean	VA	22101	US	9/26/22
tara wheeler	Oakton	VA	22124	US	9/15/22
Leanna Woodhouse	Marlinton	VA	22181	US	8/12/22
Pamela Berliner	Woodbridge	VA	22191	US	9/5/22
david taggart	Woodbridge	VA	22193	US	8/3/22
Sandrina Phipps	Arlington	VA	22201	US	9/24/22
Catherine Marcoux	Arlington	VA	22201	US	9/26/22
Libby Taylor	Arlington	VA	22203	US	8/6/22
Britney Ayala	Arlington	VA	22203	US	8/26/22
Saralee Boteler	Alexandria	VA	22304	US	8/23/22
Terry Ferril	Alexandria	VA	22304	US	9/18/22
Pamela Day	Alexandria	VA	22307	US	8/3/22
Jay Ram	Alexandria	VA	22309	US	8/23/22
Jackie Santullo	Alexandria	VA	22309	US	8/28/22
Avery Beers	Alexandria	VA	22312	US	9/1/22
Danielle Rogers	Alexandria	VA	22315	US	8/5/22
Betty Adams	Hume	VA	22639	US	8/21/22
Cathy Ford	Middletown	VA	22645	US	8/3/22

Cynthia Fain	Boston	VA	22713	US	9/1/22
Michele Mullins	Reva	VA	22735	US	8/31/22
Chris Demas	Stanardsville	VA	22973	US	8/23/22
Lydia Mallory	Goochland	VA	23063	US	9/17/22
Alisa Lunsford	Williamsburg	VA	23188	US	9/16/22
Allen T	Montpelier	VA	23192	US	8/27/22
Isabella Montane	Richmond	VA	23221	US	9/4/22
Kiatre Kately	Richmond	VA	23224	US	9/3/22
Heather Lessard	Richmond	VA	23226	US	8/13/22
Steven Summerville	Richmond	VA	23234	US	8/4/22
Shelby McCaleb	Richmond	VA	23236	US	8/13/22
Jaime Turgeon	Richmond	VA	23237	US	8/4/22
Lucid Kays	Virginia Beach	VA	23452	US	8/15/22
lemur james	Virginia Beach	VA	23452	US	9/18/22
Chris Cating	Virginia Beach	VA	23454	US	9/4/22
Karmen Holloman	Virginia Beach	VA	23464	US	9/12/22
freddie tavakoli	Norfolk	VA	23509	US	8/23/22
Dianne Hill	Newport News	VA	23601	US	9/13/22
Rhylee Wilkins	Hampton	VA	23666	US	9/4/22
David Main	Roanoke	VA	24019	US	9/26/22
Selena Bledsoe	Pennington Gap	VA	24277	US	9/18/22
Vanessa Kaminski	Lynchburg	VA	24502	US	8/22/22
Thomas Stanley	Bedford	VA	24523	US	9/18/22
p k	Bluefield	VA	24605	US	9/7/22
Chloe Henderson	Princeton	VA	24740	US	9/23/22
Jennifer Barton	Martinsburg	WV	25403	US	9/14/22
Larry Willard	Martinsburg	WV	25404	US	8/9/22
Justin Fraumeni	Harpers Ferry	WV	25425	US	9/26/22
Wynne Campbell-Heims	Hedgesville	WV	25427	US	8/4/22
Rick Mitchell	Huntington	WV	25705	US	8/4/22
madison adkins	Scarbro	WV	25917	US	9/23/22
Ann Knott	Hinton	WV	25951	US	8/3/22
Linda Knott	Hinton	WV	25951	US	8/3/22
Robert Dawson	Morgantown	WV	26501	US	8/3/22
Lorie Knott-Bacorn	Burlington	WV	26710	US	8/2/22
Fallon Butler	Burlington	WV	26710	US	8/3/22
Lauren Paugh	Burlington	WV	26710	US	8/3/22
April Spotts	Keyser	WV	26726	US	8/3/22
L Jones	Keyser	WV	26726	US	8/3/22

Lincoln Gee

Harpers Ferry

WV

21117 US

9/20/22

John Hutton	Winston-salem	27104	US	9/5/22
Gretchen V. Caines	Winston-Salem	27111	US	8/4/22
wanda flanagan	mebane	27302	US	8/30/22
Camden Adcock	Sanford	27330	US	9/12/22
Ben P. Daughtry	Semora	27343	US	8/9/22
Allison Curty	Trinity	27370	US	8/12/22
Tonya Tolley	Yanceyville	27379	US	9/7/22
Justin Wrobeh	Greensboro	27406	US	9/27/22
Ramone Wembley	Greensboro	27407	US	9/18/22
Endiah Turner	Greensboro	27407	US	9/21/22
Roman Nelson	Cary	27519	US	8/15/22
Sarah Wahler	Clayton	27527	US	9/20/22
James Gardner	Holly Springs	27540	US	9/5/22
J T	Wake Forest	27587	US	9/10/22
CaSandra Marek	Zebulon	27597	US	8/31/22
Kay powers	Raleigh	27603	US	8/6/22
Chloe Monroe	Raleigh	27604	US	8/30/22
Mikayla Watts	Raleigh	27607	US	9/27/22
Jacqueline Starrella Animal Activist Parrish	Cherokee	27611	US	8/21/22
Noel notWitchsHeart	Raleigh	27612	US	9/15/22
Christopher Powell	Raleigh	27616	US	9/15/22
Kathryn Whitcomb	Raleigh	27697	US	8/10/22
Nadia Flores Chavez	Durham	27701	US	9/23/22
Abby Cho	Durham	27705	US	9/23/22
Clara Troyer	Durham	27705	US	9/23/22
sophia bae	Durham	27708	US	9/20/22
Sebastian Asuncion	Durham	27712	US	9/23/22
Keith Lyon	Washington	27889	US	8/28/22
Emariya Keyes	Wilson	27893	US	9/16/22
Christopher Kolby	gates	27937	US	9/3/22
Anchal Saraf	Concord	28027	US	8/30/22
Juan Carlos Malaver	Huntersville	28078	US	9/1/22
Terena Knotts	Kannapolis	28083	US	8/4/22
Caroline Flynn	Icard	28092	US	8/31/22
Kaeibrielle Hammond	Charlotte	28202	US	9/12/22
Nadia Crisp	Charlotte	28207	US	8/23/22
Amber Herscher	Charlotte	28207	US	9/3/22
meghan stines	Charlotte	28209	US	8/23/22

Mark Hemenway	Charlotte	NC	28210	US	8/5/22
Niquel Barnhill	Charlotte		28214	US	9/27/22
Kathy Matthews	Charlotte	NC	28226	US	8/6/22
Ralica Alexandrova	Charlotte		28269	US	9/23/22
Samer Bahadur Yadav	Charlotte		28277	US	8/15/22
Matthew Walker	Fayetteville		28311	US	9/20/22
Ani Munoz	Raeford		28376	US	9/21/22
amor Foy	Wilmington		28403	US	8/15/22
Lakeem Ellis	Wilmington		28403	US	9/26/22
Elisa Tredway	Southport	NC	28461	US	8/6/22
John Davis	Southport		28461	US	8/25/22
Noah Faulders	Supply		28462	US	9/12/22
nathan hobgood	ocean isle beach		28469	US	9/12/22
Lexus Nichols	Statesville		28677	US	9/2/22
Margaret Toms	Bryson City	NC	28713	US	9/24/22
karen earthstar vizzina	Franklin	NC	28734	US	9/24/22
Sara Arbogast	Washington		29011	US	9/16/22
Lindsie Hatfield	Lugoff		29078	US	8/30/22
Janet Garcia	Sumter		29150	US	9/21/22
Jennifer Panther	Inman		29349	US	8/31/22
Jordan Davis	Inman		29349	US	9/6/22
Rebekah Smith	laurens		29360	US	9/5/22
Judy Rogers	Laurens		29360	US	9/24/22
Christine Anderson	Charleston		29414	US	8/16/22
Naomi Turner	Charleston		29414	US	9/24/22
Von Von	Goose Creek		29445	US	9/13/22
Alicia Judkins	Ladson		29456	US	9/21/22
Syril Kline	Summerville	SC	29483	US	8/15/22
Joseph Coursey	Greenwood		29543	US	8/15/22
Gordon Poston	Kingstree		29556	US	9/18/22
Tevis Gibson	Longs		29568	US	8/28/22
Jody Bishop	Myrtle Beach	SC	29577	US	8/4/22
Betsy Schrauth	Myrtle Beach	SC	29579	US	8/4/22
Kippy York	Myrtle Beach	SC	29588	US	9/24/22
Savannah Tuma	Greenville		29607	US	9/27/22
Miranda Rawson	Clemson		29631	US	9/18/22
Jeri Williams	Easley	SC	29640	US	8/2/22
kellie nelson	Indian Land		29707	US	9/2/22
Natalie Dunlap			29710	US	9/2/22
Sheila Keenan	Aiken	SC	29801	US	9/1/22

Anthony Taylor	Beaufort		29906	US	8/28/22
Alexaundria Thomas	Alpharetta		30004	US	9/24/22
Marvin Elder	Conyers		30013	US	9/16/22
Wanda Summers	Covington		30016	US	8/29/22
Anna Gorsche	Decatur	GA	30030	US	8/3/22
Jackie Hubbard	Decatur	GA	30033	US	8/3/22
Breneshia Davis	Lithonia		30038	US	8/30/22
Ava Williams	Lithonia		30038	US	9/18/22
Riyao Wang	Lawrenceville		30044	US	9/24/22
Hannah McDowell	Lilburn		30047	US	9/6/22
Danny Phantom	Marietta		30062	US	9/12/22
James Rice	Acworth	GA	30102	US	8/11/22
Nestor Martinez	Mableton		30126	US	9/21/22
Maddie Graham	Powder Springs		30127	US	9/12/22
Sofie Behr	Kennesaw		30144	US	8/25/22
Connie Hood	Barnesville		30204	US	8/30/22
Susan Coleman	Fayetteville		30214	US	9/24/22
Nyx Fortner	Griffin		30223	US	8/22/22
Pedro Santos	Morrow		30260	US	8/20/22
Verna dixon	Morrow		30260	US	9/18/22
Shyann Wine	Riverdale		30274	US	8/17/22
Sebastian Butcher	Atlanta		30303	US	9/2/22
Saharnaz Hesami	Atlanta		30303	US	9/24/22
Julianne Kubes	Atlanta		30306	US	9/12/22
Amy Compean	Atlanta		30307	US	9/5/22
May Oebfjsks	Atlanta		30308	US	9/21/22
Dee Carter	Atlanta		30310	US	8/22/22
vale naj	Atlanta		30318	US	9/21/22
Kedyn Smith	Atlanta		30331	US	9/5/22
Janiyah Hill	Atlanta		30344	US	9/23/22
Bryon Gerira	atlanta		30345	US	8/31/22
gwinnett county	Buford		30518	US	9/26/22
AJ Baskin	Buford		30519	US	9/4/22
Amanda Welch	Calhoun		30701	US	8/27/22
Jennifer Mckane	Dublin		31021	US	8/17/22
				Saudi	
Robert Lebling	Dhahran		31311	Arabia	8/5/22
Alexander Osborn	Hinesville		31313	US	8/29/22
Chad Connor	Waycross		31503	US	9/12/22

Mason Erwin	Fitzgerald	31750	US	9/16/22
Hailey Vanblarcom	Fleming Island	32003	US	9/20/22
Katelyn Rhodes-Carty	Orange Park	32065	US	8/31/22
Cory Gurman	Ponte Vedra Beach	32082	US	8/28/22
Liz Erpelding-Garratt	Saint Augustine FL	32086	US	8/4/22
ethan betts	Saint Augustine	32086	US	9/10/22
Paul Mouhalis	St Augustine	32095	US	8/17/22
ZACHARY HALL	Port Orange	32129	US	9/5/22
Marquetta Brown	Port Orange	32129	US	9/19/22
Barry Toth	Palm coast FL	32137	US	8/28/22
robert cobb	Ormond Beach	32174	US	8/14/22
whitney watters	Ormond Beach	32174	US	8/26/22
Samantha Turetsky	Ormond Beach FL	32176	US	8/7/22
Aniyah Rodrigues	Jacksonville	32220	US	8/31/22
Linda Lebling	Jacksonville FL	32255	US	8/5/22
Nathaniel Klein	Jacksonville	32256	US	9/5/22
Carolina Newcomb	Saint Johns FL	32259	US	9/4/22
Morgan Studt	Tallahassee	32309	US	9/18/22
kaylin foster	Tallahassee	32312	US	9/18/22
rachel aleman	Tallahassee	32312	US	9/18/22
breanna quillen	Panama City	32404	US	8/30/22
Abbie Craft	Panama City Beach	32407	US	9/16/22
Marilynn Toribio	Defuniak Springs	32433	US	9/17/22
Caitlyn Thomas	Marianna	32448	US	9/10/22
Ashly Krites	Pensacola	32506	US	9/18/22
Michelle Stromgren	Pensacola	32526	US	9/2/22
Amaria Ivey	Gainesville	32605	US	9/5/22
Deanglo Wills	Gainesville	32606	US	9/5/22
Daquan Littleton	Gainesville	32607	US	9/5/22
matthew jordan	Gainesville	32608	US	8/25/22
Zoe Brassfield	Alachua	32615	US	9/5/22
Carlianne Zenz	Apopka	32712	US	9/21/22
Dylan D	Altamonte Springs	32714	US	9/3/22
chelsie myers	DeLand	32724	US	9/8/22
Lauren Masters	Mount Dora	32757	US	8/25/22
Nicolas Nock	Longwood	32779	US	8/31/22
Tami Calloway	Titusville	32780	US	9/12/22
shandara Cherry	Orlando	32801	US	8/31/22
H S	Orlando	32811	US	8/26/22
Betty Deloney	Orlando	32817	US	8/31/22

Ricky Baugher	Orlando	FL	32822	US	8/26/22
Dylan De Jesus	Orlando		32828	US	9/13/22
Caitlin Bigelow	Melbourne		32904	US	8/10/22
ashley m	Palm Bay		32907	US	8/15/22
Sojourner Truth	Palm Bay	FL	32907	US	9/19/22
Carlos Garcia	Hialeah		33010	US	8/17/22
valerie gomez	Hialeah		33010	US	9/26/22
Britney Barbier	Hialeah		33010	US	9/26/22
Diana Gomez	Hialeah		33010	US	9/27/22
Liz R	Miami		33012	US	9/12/22
Albert Candelario	Hialeah		33012	US	9/26/22
Alejandro Romero	Hialeah		33012	US	9/26/22
anthonella fine ass	Hialeah		33012	US	9/26/22
Ashley Lopez	Hialeah		33012	US	9/26/22
Sarahi Perez	Hialeah		33012	US	9/26/22
Gabriela Trejo	Hialeah		33012	US	9/27/22
Maxine Vega	Hialeah		33014	US	9/26/22
Matthew Martinez	Hialeah		33015	US	9/26/22
Unknown Unknown	Hialeah		33015	US	9/26/22
Mia Boonprakong	Miami lakes		33015	US	9/26/22
Rachel R.	Hialeah		33016	US	9/26/22
cancel school	Hialeah		33016	US	9/26/22
Carlos Cabrera	Hialeah		33016	US	9/26/22
Emilyck Bolanos	Hialeah		33016	US	9/26/22
Daikel Grinan	Hialeah		33016	US	9/26/22
Santiago Jaramillo	Hialeah		33016	US	9/27/22
johnny sins	Hialeah		33018	US	9/26/22
UwU UwU	Hollywood		33021	US	9/26/22
King Vamp	Hollywood		33023	US	9/26/22
Alexandre Chalumeau	Miramar		33025	US	9/26/22
Valentina Viola	cooper city		33027	US	9/26/22
Ken Bass	Hollywood		33027	US	9/26/22
Michelle Villa	Homestead		33030	US	9/26/22
Sophia Martinez	Homestead		33033	US	9/26/22
Naylani Reyes	Homestead		33033	US	9/26/22
Maikol Betancourt	Homestead		33033	US	9/26/22
Scarlett Avila	Homestead		33033	US	9/26/22
Kevin Hernandez	Homestead		33033	US	9/27/22
Neal Brown	Islamorada	FL	33036	US	9/18/22
Isaiah Stevens	Key West		33040	US	9/3/22

Brenda Sanchez	Miami Gardens	33055	US	9/26/22
Nadege Etienne	Miami	33056	US	9/26/22
Jade Jeanty	Miami	33056	US	9/27/22
Ramon Maldonado	Margate	33063	US	8/28/22
Wendy Weldon	Coral Springs FL	33071	US	9/1/22
Blake Dellaира	Pompano Beach	33076	US	9/27/22
Albert Martin	Miami	33102	US	8/14/22
Miley Carcamo	Miami	33102	US	9/26/22
brianna correia	Miami	33102	US	9/26/22
Antonella Bravo	Miami	33102	US	9/27/22
Pearly Miranda	Homestead	33125	US	9/26/22
Hayla Ticker	Miami	33125	US	9/26/22
Chanelle Eusebio	Miami	33125	US	9/27/22
Farah Rodriguez	Miami	33126	US	8/26/22
Francimar Mendez	Miami	33126	US	9/26/22
Stephanie Marin Marin	Miami	33126	US	9/27/22
Luis Garcia	Miami	33131	US	9/26/22
Patrica Bolio	Miami	33131	US	9/27/22
brennan kling	Miami	33132	US	9/2/22
Katiuska Padilla	Miami	33133	US	9/26/22
jose puyana	Miami	33133	US	9/26/22
Sky Felfle	Miami	33133	US	9/27/22
Juan Sandoval	Miami	33135	US	9/27/22
Emely Bustillo	Miami	33136	US	9/27/22
Elijah Munga	Miami	33137	US	9/27/22
Brissa Arnillas	Miami Beach	33139	US	9/26/22
Isaac Acosta	Miami Beach	33139	US	9/27/22
Rauf Erkurt	Miami Beach	33140	US	9/26/22
Nicole castano	Miami Beach	33141	US	9/27/22
Nicolas Rodriguez	Miami Beach	33141	US	9/27/22
Pedro Sanchez	Miami	33146	US	9/26/22
Anthony Edmond	Miami	33147	US	9/26/22
Joshua Moncada	Miami	33147	US	9/27/22
Sebastian Curiel	Miami	33149	US	9/26/22
PABLO PELETEIRO	BAY HARBOR ISLANDS	33154	US	9/26/22
Francheska Infante	Miami Beach	33154	US	9/26/22
Milena Almeida	Miami	33155	US	9/26/22
Diego Ugarte	Miami	33155	US	9/26/22
Cindy Araya	Miami	33157	US	9/5/22
meow hello	Miami	33157	US	9/26/22

Manuel Bonilla	Cutler Bay	33157	US	9/27/22
Alexander Mairena	Miami	33161	US	9/26/22
zoe petunia	Miami	33161	US	9/27/22
Anna Cid	Miami	33161	US	9/27/22
Lucas Miller	Miami	33162	US	9/26/22
Camila Rueda	Miami	33162	US	9/27/22
Alejandro Bustamante	Miami	33162	US	9/27/22
Gabriel Bernales	Miami	33163	US	8/15/22
William Burgos	Miami	33165	US	9/26/22
N. M.	Miami	33165	US	9/27/22
Jakayla Whitehead	Miami	33166	US	8/8/22
1234 12345	Miami	33167	US	9/27/22
Alex Keindl	Miami	33167	US	9/27/22
heidy gomez	Miami	33168	US	9/26/22
Gianna 학圃함학핏	Miami	33168	US	9/26/22
juan otero	Miami	33169	US	9/26/22
Rita Sanchez	Miami	33169	US	9/26/22
John Mesa	Miami	33169	US	9/26/22
Jay Jerome	Miami	33169	US	9/26/22
nate cato	Miami	33169	US	9/26/22
Felix Rodriguez	Miami	33169	US	9/26/22
Ano Ano welsh	Miami	33169	US	9/26/22
Herdwin Paul	Miami	33169	US	9/26/22
Adrian Gonzales	Miami	33169	US	9/27/22
Marcos Salas	Miami	33169	US	9/27/22
Miguel Ontiveros	Miami	33169	US	9/27/22
Alejandro Losada	Miami	33172	US	9/26/22
Nicole Aloisio	Miami	33172	US	9/26/22
Fernando Castillo	Miami	33172	US	9/27/22
Camila Perez	Miami	33172	US	9/27/22
Elizabeth Estevez	Miami	33172	US	9/27/22
kyrstin mesa	Miami	33172	US	9/27/22
John Brown	Miami	33173	US	9/26/22
Nina Rodriguez	Miami	33173	US	9/26/22
Gusts Yanes	Miami	33173	US	9/27/22
Sadais Abreu	Miami	33173	US	9/27/22
Jonathan Llano	Miami	33175	US	9/27/22
John Cena	Miami	33176	US	9/27/22
Ralph Diaz	Miami	33176	US	9/27/22
ribit rivers	Miami	33177	US	9/26/22

Lazaro Lopez	Miami	33177	US	9/26/22
Michelle Rodriguez	Miami	33177	US	9/26/22
Jorge Batista	Miami	33177	US	9/27/22
Emma Rementeria	Miami	33177	US	9/27/22
Anthony Morello	Miami	33177	US	9/27/22
Alex Casana	Miami	33178	US	8/15/22
Alicia Rech	Miami	33178	US	9/26/22
Nathalia Rojas	Doral	33178	US	9/26/22
mimi Olave	Miami	33178	US	9/26/22
melanie cespedes	Miami	33178	US	9/26/22
Alejandro Badillo	Miami	33178	US	9/27/22
Ricardo Hurtado	Miami	33178	US	9/27/22
Franyeles Castro	Doral	33178	US	9/27/22
nick hammerman	Miami	33178	US	9/27/22
Jessica da silva	Miami	33178	US	9/27/22
Melissa McCallin	miami	33179	US	8/16/22
Adriana Gonzalez	Miami	33179	US	9/26/22
Kelsey Blanco	Miami	33179	US	9/26/22
Arianna Pattillo	Miami	33179	US	9/26/22
Isabela Estrada	Miami	33179	US	9/26/22
Felix Rodriguez	Miami	33179	US	9/26/22
Stephie Theoc	Miami	33179	US	9/26/22
Alicia Exume	Miami	33179	US	9/27/22
Quandale Dingle	Miami	33179	US	9/27/22
JOSHUA S	Miami	33179	US	9/27/22
martin gabela	Miami	33180	US	9/26/22
Jude Galindez	Miami	33183	US	9/26/22
Analia Diaz	Miami	33183	US	9/26/22
Julieta Bocangel	Miami	33184	US	9/27/22
Eric Hubler	Miami	33186	US	8/22/22
Natii Sanz	Miami	33186	US	9/26/22
Victoria Arbesu	Miami	33186	US	9/26/22
christian kin	Miami	33186	US	9/26/22
Massiel Diaz	Miami	33186	US	9/26/22
Renato Collazos	Miami	33186	US	9/26/22
Juan Trujillo	Miami	33186	US	9/26/22
Sofia Navarro	Miami	33186	US	9/26/22
Joseph urbina Urbina	Miami	33186	US	9/27/22
Alina Uceta	Miami	33189	US	9/26/22
Melany Castillo	Miami	33193	US	9/27/22

Antwon Jones	Miami	33193	US	9/27/22	
Gabriel Ibarra	Miami	33196	US	9/26/22	
Jay L	Miami	33197	US	9/26/22	
Alejandra Lyne	Miami	33197	US	9/26/22	
Scott Watson	Fort Lauderdale	33304	US	9/17/22	
Jaden Pollard	Fort Lauderdale	33319	US	9/26/22	
Victoria Trillo	Fort Lauderdale	33319	US	9/27/22	
Stella Merlin	Fort Lauderdale	33323	US	9/27/22	
Yelena Benkovskaya	Fort Lauderdale	33324	US	9/10/22	
Oleg Benkovskiy	Fort Lauderdale	33324	US	9/10/22	
shawn masur	davie	33328	US	8/8/22	
Alannys Santiago	Fort Lauderdale	33328	US	9/26/22	
Vanessa Saldarriaga	Fort Lauderdale	33330	US	9/26/22	
Tobis Hajtmacher	Fort Lauderdale	33330	US	9/26/22	
Ella Netivi	Fort Lauderdale	33330	US	9/27/22	
Jill Latham	sunrise	33351	US	8/23/22	
	North Palm				
Sandi Peebles	Beach	FL	33408	US	8/9/22
	West Palm				
David Petrou	Beach	FL	33411	US	8/3/22
Kaylee Frost	Wellington		33414	US	9/17/22
Isabella Vera	Boca Raton		33432	US	9/26/22
Scott Siegel	Boca Raton		33433	US	8/23/22
Glenn Nappi	Delray Beach	FL	33446	US	9/2/22
Tom gutierrez	Delray Beach	FL	33483	US	8/18/22
Lucas Smith	Brandon		33510	US	8/16/22
Jennifer Martinez	Lithia	FL	33547	US	8/3/22
Jordan Evans	Odessa		33556	US	9/1/22
Whitney Horst	Lutz	FL	33558	US	8/8/22
Talley Kulvinskas	Riverview		33569	US	8/15/22
Robert Harris	Tampa		33604	US	8/28/22
Oliver Novack	Miami		33605	US	9/26/22
leah e	Tampa		33610	US	8/29/22
Ryan farley	Tampa		33624	US	8/12/22
Lucas Franco	Tampa		33624	US	9/27/22
Steven Pugh	Saint Petersburg		33709	US	8/13/22
Mccutchan Tammy	Largo	FL	33770	US	8/5/22
Susan Thibeault	Belleair bluffs	FL	33770	US	8/6/22
Barb Morrison	Largo	FL	33774	US	8/11/22
	Indian Rocks				
Christine Turpin	Beach	FL	33785	US	8/4/22

Carl Footman Jr	Lakeland	33801	US	9/16/22	
Corey Meyers	Lakeland	33809	US	8/20/22	
Michael Berglund	Auburndale	33823	US	9/5/22	
Edmund Krawiec	Bartow	33830	US	8/26/22	
Jennifer Vazquez	Bartow	33830	US	9/23/22	
Douglas Henderson	Haines City	33844	US	8/14/22	
Nancy McMclaughlin	Naples	FL	34104	US	8/10/22
Emily Lastion	Naples		34105	US	8/31/22
Jennifer Day	Naples	FL	34112	US	8/4/22
Karen Carmichael	Bonita Springs		34135	US	8/8/22
Faith Diaz	Miami		34209	US	9/4/22
Sophia L	Sarasota		34240	US	9/20/22
Rosemarie Wenner	Venice		34293	US	9/19/22
Alisha Yurcak	Bellevue		34420	US	8/12/22
Teresa Chapin	Hernando	FL	34442	US	9/25/22
Tina Bonnefond	Beverly Hills	FL	34465	US	9/24/22
Amber Gordon	Spring Hill		34609	US	8/28/22
Simone Murray	Palm Harbor		34683	US	9/18/22
Britta Briggs	Palm Harbor		34685	US	8/15/22
Betsy palmer	Holiday		34691	US	8/31/22
Erika Rikhiram	Clermont		34711	US	8/28/22
Alexia Hoffman	Clermont		34711	US	9/23/22
Ashley Dalton	St cloud		34771	US	9/12/22
Zaynah Gupta	Winter Garden		34787	US	9/26/22
Machaela Hooks	Fort Pierce		34947	US	8/26/22
Lisa Hunter	Port Saint Lucie	FL	34952	US	9/23/22
Shae Mckay	Port Saint Lucie		34953	US	8/14/22
Henry Dallas	Alexander City	AL	35010	US	8/30/22
Yasmine Horton	Bessemer		35020	US	9/26/22
Etzar Cisneros	Birmingham	AL	35206	US	8/3/22
Mikayla Coppock	Birmingham		35243	US	9/3/22
Kara Davis	Tuscaloosa		35406	US	8/31/22
Melissa Holland	Athens		35613	US	8/29/22
Davion West	Normal		35762	US	9/21/22
Edward Markushewski	Huntsville	AL	35801	US	8/9/22
Patrick Hart	Huntsville		35824	US	8/22/22
David Venancio	Guntersville		35976	US	8/29/22
Me We	Jacksonville		36265	US	9/18/22
Luis Angel Mayorga	Cottonwood		36320	US	9/26/22
Mary Falkner	Semmes		36575	US	8/30/22

Jonpaul Nesheiwat	Brentwood	37027	US	8/22/22
jada hamilton	Clarksville	37042	US	9/12/22
Kathy Lauder	Hermitage	37076	US	8/15/22
Robin Skaggs	Hermitage	37076	US	8/30/22
Alexa Fernandez	Lebanon	37088	US	8/27/22
Betty Joslin	Murfreesboro	37128	US	8/31/22
Joanna Arteaga	Murfreesboro	37129	US	8/31/22
s l	Smyrna	37167	US	9/18/22
Kelsea Foster	Springfield	37172	US	9/27/22
Jessie Orcutt	Nashville	37203	US	9/24/22
Emily Dunham	Nashville	37211	US	8/31/22
Rajbir Singh	Nashville	37211	US	9/2/22
Simon Debas	Nashville	37214	US	9/5/22
Will Sutton	Chattanooga	37402	US	8/21/22
Ashley Stanley	Kingsport	37664	US	9/18/22
Dianne Shelton	Mountain City	37683	US	9/1/22
Kkk Nice boy	Greeneville	37743	US	9/18/22
Angela Willis	Greeneville	37743	US	9/18/22
Nash Maryann	Maryville	37803	US	9/2/22
Madison H	Knoxville	37832	US	8/31/22
Kara Kennedy	Sevierville	37862	US	9/8/22
Kaitlyn Fisher	Knoxville	37871	US	9/1/22
itzel Coxanteje	Knoxville	37914	US	9/1/22
Isaac Gardiner	Knoxville	37918	US	8/31/22
Scarlett Cooper	Knoxville	37918	US	8/31/22
Lilly Shipe	Knoxville	37919	US	8/30/22
Justin Rudd	Knoxville	37920	US	8/30/22
Tatum Main	Knoxville	37922	US	8/30/22
Frankie Jenkins	Knoxville	37922	US	9/1/22
Ashton Underwood	Knoxville	37934	US	8/16/22
Gabrielle Rembert	Memphis	38118	US	8/29/22
Mark Shamburg	Columbia	38401	US	9/1/22
Theresa Scales	Columbia	38401	US	9/23/22
lily McSwain	Taft	38488	US	8/19/22
Lora Pearson	Cookeville	38506	US	8/7/22
Heather Wilbanks	Booneville	38829	US	9/13/22
Hayden Tran ♀	Corinth	38834	US	9/26/22
Katina Blackston	Nettleton	38858	US	9/24/22
Morgan Simkins	Brandon	39042	US	9/17/22
Imani Finley	Crystal Springs	39059	US	8/31/22

David Beal	Waynesboro	39367	US	9/1/22
Ariana Brent	Hattiesburg	39401	US	9/21/22
Alice Markey	Hattiesburg	39402	US	8/13/22
Treyton Prince	Collins	39428	US	9/5/22
Heather Brewer	Gulfport	39503	US	9/20/22
Nia Cook	Pascagoula	39567	US	9/21/22
Wendy Chilel	Cairo	39828	US	9/21/22
colton quick	Brandenburg	40108	US	9/8/22
Denise Spear	Louisville KY	40213	US	9/1/22
Matthew Jurkiewicz	Louisville	40228	US	9/2/22
José Alvarado	Nebraska	40229	US	8/14/22
Leeza Subba	Louisville	40229	US	9/18/22
Samantha Ellis	Louisville	40291	US	9/8/22
Dayna Midolo	Estill	40336	US	9/23/22
Lily Gosser	Paris	40361	US	9/12/22
Elizabeth Turner	Lexington	40517	US	8/15/22
Daniel Bruno	Lexington	40517	US	9/19/22
Tyler Ly	Corbin	40701	US	9/23/22
Jeffery Star	Corbin	40701	US	9/23/22
Ksenia Miller	Fort Mitchell	41017	US	8/27/22
Jessica Branham	Ashland	41101	US	9/1/22
Lefti Ratliff	Pikeville	41501	US	9/13/22
Andrew Tapp	Henderson	42420	US	8/31/22
Michael Rutherford	Somerset	42501	US	8/23/22
Teresa Davis	Monticello	42633	US	9/18/22
Paul Blackburn	Elizabethhtown KY	42701	US	8/4/22
Rebecca Davison	Clarkson	42726	US	9/2/22
Tyler Allen	Pataskala	43062	US	8/30/22
Angie Patterson	columbus OH	43213	US	9/5/22
Ronni Frazier	Columbus OH	43224	US	8/4/22
Amber Taylor	Columbus	43235	US	9/7/22
Suzie Hearts	Bellefontaine	43311	US	9/19/22
Carter Pugh	Port Clinton	43452	US	9/21/22
Ciaran Finney	Delta	43515	US	9/18/22
Caden Wright	Zanesville OH	43701	US	9/12/22
Lauren Cochran	Chagrin Falls	44023	US	8/30/22
Robin Leary	North Ridgeville	44039	US	9/18/22
Maddie Fay	Avon	44110	US	8/30/22
Jason Cardillo	Cleveland	44110	US	9/5/22
Mytez Jackson	Cleveland	44113	US	9/27/22

Lucy Emily	Cleveland	44115	US	9/4/22
Jim Barnhart	Cleveland	44128	US	9/3/22
Alexandria Phillips	Cleveland	44144	US	9/5/22
Mellow dewees	Bedford	44146	US	9/27/22
Dylan Childers	Strongsville	44149	US	8/28/22
Devon Tomecko	Cleveland OH	44212	US	9/2/22
Dakota Demshar	Cuyahoga Falls	44221	US	8/31/22
Eryka Neer	Kent	44240	US	8/23/22
Maureen Jones	Akron	44305	US	8/31/22
Janine Bogden	Akron	44310	US	8/31/22
Bobby Wade	Akron	44325	US	9/4/22
Vala Houy	Hubbard	44425	US	8/27/22
Mikael Paulson	Canal Fulton	44614	US	8/30/22
Cynthia Miller	North Canton OH	44720	US	9/3/22
Jackson Neff	North Canton	44720	US	9/4/22
Alana Keller	North Canton	44720	US	9/19/22
reghan barker	Mansfield	44905	US	9/8/22
Margaret Black Black	Mansfield OH	44906	US	8/2/22
Sydney Bush	Hamilton	45011	US	8/31/22
Cory Gulley	Amelia	45102	US	9/18/22
Abbey Stout	Cincinnati	45207	US	8/31/22
Dieko Ayegbusi	Cincinnati	45208	US	9/2/22
Mehicic Mark	Cincinnati OH	45211	US	9/2/22
Courtnee Madaris	Cincinnati	45219	US	9/10/22
Tammie Williamson	Cincinnati	45229	US	9/3/22
Jerome Williams	Cincinnati	45237	US	8/13/22
asher lynn	Cincinnati	45239	US	9/13/22
Lucy Rankin	Cincinnati	45248	US	8/22/22
A Thompson	Cincinnati	45256	US	8/28/22
Brandon Johnston	Greenville	45331	US	9/18/22
Dennell Copeland	Sidney	45365	US	9/4/22
Danny Matthews	Wheelersburg	45694	US	9/5/22
Tommy Bruce	Nelsonville	45764	US	9/16/22
idk iddk	Lima	45801	US	9/5/22
William Hunt	Hancock County	45840	US	8/21/22
Aubrey Villard	Findlay	45840	US	8/25/22
Carrie Donovan	Gelsenkirchen	45891	Germany	8/5/22
Christian Donovan	Gelsenkirchen	45891	Germany	8/6/22
Jayleigh Taylor	Wapakoneta	45895	US	9/26/22
Ashok Patel	Carmel	46033	US	9/13/22

Noah Titzer	Noblesville	46060	US	9/8/22	
Anna Smith	Pendleton	46064	US	8/8/22	
Zach Kurz	Danville	46122	US	9/21/22	
Natasha Quinn	Avon	46123	US	8/31/22	
emma mcintosh	Edinburgh	46124	US	9/19/22	
Jeffery Jones	Greenfield	46140	US	8/30/22	
Daniel Sauers	Greenwood	46142	US	8/27/22	
muhammad allie	indianpolis	46143	US	8/30/22	
Dan Moore	Trafalgar	46181	US	9/4/22	
chloe Wicker	Indianapolis	46222	US	9/5/22	
Tammy Derbes	Indianapolis	46227	US	8/16/22	
Terry Hoskins	Indianapolis	46234	US	9/6/22	
Chris B	Indianapolis	46241	US	8/17/22	
Renee Jo	Indianapolis	46241	US	9/5/22	
Linda Anderson	Indianapolis	46260	US	9/5/22	
Emilio Abrajan	East Chicago	46312	US	9/21/22	
Liseth Mercado	Elkhart	46516	US	9/21/22	
Taylor Brinker	Mishawaka	46544	US	9/18/22	
nolen Robbins	North Liberty	46554	US	8/31/22	
Veronica Sawyer	South Bend	46616	US	8/12/22	
Timothy Bunge II	Mongo	46771	US	9/6/22	
Jessica Jennings	Fort Wayne	46845	US	8/28/22	
Devin Jester	Muncie	47302	US	9/2/22	
Patience Wilkins	Muncie	47304	US	8/25/22	
Titan McCaffrey	Middletown	47356	US	8/31/22	
Brynna Hall	New Castle	47362	US	9/15/22	
Victoria Miller	Bloomington	47401	US	8/26/22	
Trinity Martinez	Elberfeld	47613	US	9/21/22	
Donald wleklinski	Terre Haute	IN	47803	US	8/4/22
Lauretta Padgett	Sullivan	IN	47882	US	8/4/22
CONNIE STEUBER	Lafayette		47905	US	8/14/22
Nevaeh Rush	Lafayette		47905	US	9/23/22
Acab 1313	Clinton Township		48036	US	9/1/22
Karen Schneider	Pleasant Ridge	MI	48069	US	8/8/22
hailey danielle	Troy		48098	US	8/27/22
Jalen Williams-Duncan	Belleville		48111	US	8/30/22
Lisa Younce	Melvindale		48122	US	9/4/22
Lydia Ruppel	Livonia		48154	US	8/30/22
Heather Hamood	Whitmore Lake		48189	US	9/2/22
Lolo Marke	Wyandotte		48192	US	8/28/22

Stewart Lee	Detroit	48204	US	8/31/22
Maria LaCour	Detroit	48223	US	9/16/22
Kayo Kumasi	Detroit Mi	48230	US	9/4/22
Sofia lu	Detroit	48234	US	9/7/22
Kavan Welsh	Detroit	48235	US	9/1/22
Janice Pemberton	Grosse Pointe Shores	48236	US	8/23/22
Erica Cummings	Grosse Pointe	48236	US	8/28/22
Jim Head	Oak Park MI	48237	US	8/4/22
raquel hermez	Sterling Heights	48310	US	8/26/22
Kayla Alsaedi	Farmington	48335	US	9/24/22
janis ripple	Orion	48362	US	8/14/22
Paul Markillie	Grand Blanc Township	48439	US	8/29/22
amber greene	swartz creek	48473	US	8/8/22
Maxine Heath	Saginaw	48602	US	9/18/22
Donna Klingman	Bay city	48706	US	9/12/22
Daniel Rodriguez	Lansing	48912	US	9/13/22
Elijah Brasser	Lansing	48912	US	9/19/22
Jovanny Mosqueda	Kalamazoo	49009	US	9/21/22
Cynthia Elliott	Cassopolis	49031	US	8/28/22
Miya Vanhouten	Jackson	49203	US	9/4/22
James Bigelow	Ada	49301	US	9/8/22
Marco Nunez	Holland	49424	US	9/17/22
Darlenia Griffin	Grand Rapids	49508	US	8/30/22
Sam Foster	Ames	50010	US	8/31/22
Mason Mahlow	Ames	50010	US	9/2/22
Mary Walker	Stuart	50250	US	9/8/22
reese quisling	Kanawha	50447	US	8/12/22
Kelly Dieujuste	Dubuque	52003	US	8/30/22
Esmeralda Houssou	Cedar Rapids	52240	US	9/18/22
Chloe Oppelt	North Liberty	52317	US	9/2/22
Katelynn Selch	North Liberty IA	52317	US	9/8/22
Junior Tshiswaka	Tiffin	52340	US	9/19/22
Stacey Van buren	Cedar Rapids	52406	US	9/21/22
julie picray	west liberty	52776	US	9/17/22
Jesse Horn	Davenport	52802	US	8/23/22
josephine lai	Davenport	52807	US	9/3/22
Amanda Adams	Watertown	53094	US	9/4/22
Delany Jahnke	Elkhorn	53121	US	9/5/22
Tracy Hicks	Lake Geneva	53147	US	9/18/22
Molly Lutgen	Muskego WI	53150	US	8/27/22

Taryn Berrelez	Waukesha	53186	US	9/21/22	
William E Lussow	Waukesha	53189	US	9/15/22	
Marcia Kriegbaum	Milwaukee	53202	US	9/18/22	
Alexis Rojas	Milwaukee	53210	US	9/23/22	
Mike Goodman	Milwaukee	53222	US	9/23/22	
Isabella L	Racine	53403	US	8/30/22	
Jackson Vogelsang	McFarland	53558	US	9/15/22	
raeanne lang	cushing	54006	US	8/31/22	
David Peters	La Crosse	54601	US	9/15/22	
Tattianna Simmons	Eau Claire	54703	US	8/17/22	
Aliks Piesins	Eau Claire	54703	US	9/20/22	
Dexter Jackson	Stanley	54768	US	9/16/22	
Miriam Cunningham	Oshkosh	WI	54901	US	9/2/22
Kerry Fores	Oshkosh	WI	54901	US	9/2/22
Jacob Coffman	Lakeville	55044	US	9/26/22	
Jennifer Schally	Stillwater	55082	US	9/17/22	
Jesse Poolaw Jr	Saint Paul	55106	US	8/11/22	
Ali Ali	Saint Paul	55110	US	8/15/22	
Christa Heffernan	Saint Paul	55112	US	8/16/22	
Raleigh koritz	Saint Paul	55114	US	8/9/22	
Kathleen Bonk	Woodbury	55125	US	9/21/22	
Kaye Fields	Saint Paul	55130	US	9/18/22	
Jamie Jansen	Albertville	55301	US	9/12/22	
Amanda Thies	Medina	55356	US	8/12/22	
Makenna Hamilton	Mound	55364	US	9/23/22	
Torin O'Connor	Mound	55364	US	9/23/22	
Julia Lacy	Mound	55368	US	8/23/22	
Kari Stringer	Minneapolis	MN	55407	US	9/13/22
Suzanne Matteson	Minneapolis	MN	55413	US	8/18/22
Josh Bowler	Minneapolis		55417	US	9/21/22
kiley reno	Minneapolis		55423	US	9/3/22
Alex Kovelan	Bloomington		55425	US	9/2/22
Lily Drietz	Minneapolis		55443	US	9/3/22
Barstool Duluth	Duluth		55811	US	9/5/22
Jordan Simon	austin		55912	US	9/6/22
Isaac Dale	Pine Island		55963	US	9/2/22
jimmy johns	Emily		56447	US	9/5/22
Sandra Mulcahy	Washington	DC	56972	US	8/29/22
Laura E Beard	Washington	DC	56972	US	9/13/22
Erin Waikel	Oldham		57051	US	8/31/22

shawn nold	sioux Falls	57108	US	8/26/22	
Anna Ammann	Watertown	57201	US	9/10/22	
Graceana Terkildsen	Alpena	57312	US	8/30/22	
Gray Ludwig	Billings	59102	US	9/2/22	
Staci Evans	Billings	59102	US	9/2/22	
Casey Stockbridge	Arlington Heights	60004	US	9/20/22	
Rey S.	Chicago	60007	US	9/23/22	
Sarah Constance	Des Plaines	60016	US	8/15/22	
Carl Valendez	Des Plaines	60016	US	9/18/22	
Magda Kalinowski	Des Plaines	60016	US	9/24/22	
Amy Gramza	Fox Lake	60020	US	8/30/22	
Trent Steele	Hebron	60034	US	8/26/22	
Ryan Trager	Lake Forest	60045	US	9/24/22	
Josh Standiford	Lake Zurich	60047	US	8/12/22	
Dulce Estrada	Mchenry	60051	US	9/21/22	
Damaris Duran	Mundelein	60060	US	9/21/22	
Jaqueline Garcia	Mundelein	60060	US	9/21/22	
Save OJ	Spring Grove	60081	US	8/21/22	
Anika Fryer	Dundee	60118	US	8/31/22	
Hilda Sanchez	Elgin	60123	US	9/23/22	
Caitlyn Burkeen	Elmhurst	60126	US	8/25/22	
Pat Fitzmaurice	Geneva	60134	US	8/28/22	
Kate Harder	Glen Ellyn	IL	60137	US	8/4/22
Mimi Hoffman	Glen Ellyn		60137	US	8/31/22
Tsegaye Kahsay	Villa Park		60181	US	8/31/22
Lawrence Siska	Schaumburg	IL	60193	US	9/4/22
Botagoz Kulzhambekova	Schaumburg		60193	US	9/21/22
Melina Mendiola	Berwyn		60402	US	9/17/22
Malachi Cliff	Crete		60417	US	8/21/22
Stephanie Brown	Lemont		60441	US	9/13/22
Amanda Robinson	Romeoville		60446	US	9/23/22
Justin Simmons	Hickory Hills		60457	US	8/22/22
Richard McConnell	Olympia Fields		60461	US	8/12/22
Bridget Lille	Orland Park		60462	US	8/27/22
Taylor McDoniel	Orland Park		60462	US	9/26/22
Julie Gill	Aurora		60504	US	9/24/22
Divya Nagendran	Aurora		60505	US	9/4/22
Daniela Elias	Batavia		60510	US	9/20/22
Eric Sneed	sanwich		60548	US	9/2/22
Marlena Clancy	Naperville		60564	US	9/13/22

Michael Rynes	Naperville	60565	US	9/19/22
Leisa Doss	Chicago	60602	US	8/10/22
Rafael Atilano	Chicago	60602	US	9/8/22
Maria Beury	Chicago	60605	US	8/25/22
Behnam Jahani	Chicago	60605	US	9/16/22
Francis S.	Chicago	60608	US	8/31/22
Lensi Carranza	Chicago	60608	US	9/21/22
diana kolaski	Chicago	60610	US	9/4/22
Dionne Meekins	Chicago	60617	US	9/2/22
Eugene Mindrescu	Chicago	60622	US	8/29/22
Leslie ORegan	Chicago	60625	US	9/1/22
Samara Wilson	Chicago	60625	US	9/26/22
Adi Seruya	Chicago	60626	US	9/12/22
Pandora Gunsallus	Chicago	60629	US	8/10/22
Princey Reyes	Chicago	60637	US	8/30/22
Emanuel Sanchez	Chicago	60639	US	9/21/22
Cameron C	Chicago	60643	US	8/30/22
Trevon Smith	Chicago	60647	US	8/12/22
Abby Quinn	Chicago	60647	US	9/1/22
Nicholas Delaney	Elmwood Park	60707	US	9/5/22
Dayanara Diaz	Cicero	60804	US	8/26/22
Anthony Dominguez	Cicero	60804	US	8/31/22
Breanna Madelein	Moline	61265	US	9/2/22
walter schultz	galesburg	61401	US	9/23/22
Robert Cook	Paris	61944	US	9/23/22
Paul Anderson	Alton	62002	US	8/13/22
Brandi Kendall	Robinson	62454	US	9/19/22
Tina Wade	Decatur	62521	US	9/8/22
Jacquelyn Bady	Decatur	62526	US	9/20/22
Sarah Miller	Springfield	62704	US	8/13/22
Karen Frashier	Maunie	62861	US	9/23/22
Maura Gaudreault Arnold	Arnold	63010	US	8/23/22
Shelena Davis	Florissant	63031	US	9/23/22
Greg Fitzsimmons	Bridgeton	63044	US	9/16/22
Rosalinda Bimslager	Sullivan	63080	US	9/23/22
charles goldsmith	washington	63090	US	8/29/22
Kameron Dunn	Saint Louis	63108	US	9/2/22
kristina Rivera	St Louis	63119	US	9/16/22
Amber Crisman	Saint Louis	63122	US	8/16/22

Kyla Jones-Smith	Saint Louis	63130	US	8/10/22
Jennifer Murray	Saint Louis	63130	US	9/13/22
Aryonna Banks	Saint Louis	63135	US	9/3/22
Mikel Lowry	Saint Louis	63143	US	9/16/22
Debbie Stinehart	Park Hills	63601	US	8/30/22
Dakota Jenkins	Jackson	63755	US	8/30/22
Angela Jones	Lees Summit	64081	US	8/31/22
Steven Carrell	Kansas City	64116	US	9/2/22
anabel Sanchez	Kansas City	64124	US	9/18/22
Chelsey Busken	Defiance	65201	US	9/4/22
Senait Tekeste	Columbia	65203	US	8/30/22
sarah romero	Nixa	65714	US	9/23/22
Mackenzie Kendall	Prairie Village	66208	US	9/26/22
Claire Deschamp	Overland Park	66213	US	8/28/22
Heather Warner	Overland Park	66223	US	8/12/22
Natalie Robinson	Topeka	66609	US	8/29/22
Ashlynne Fontes	Pittsburg	66762	US	9/13/22
Haylee Couey	Bel Aire	67220	US	8/31/22
Tra Kercheval	Omaha	68112	US	9/17/22
Copper Foster	Omaha	68127	US	9/13/22
Heather Ellis	Lincoln	68502	US	8/21/22
Crystal Lewandowski	Chapman	68827	US	9/15/22
Steven Hester	Harvey	70058	US	9/5/22
Eduam Melendez	Westwego	70094	US	9/26/22
Jaclyn Studer	Brooklyn	70115	US	9/20/22
Scotty Cain	Hammond	70403	US	8/14/22
Hailey Marie	Covington	70433	US	8/11/22
Carson Richardson	Ponchatoula	70454	US	8/17/22
Vaydenkeith Johnson	Louisiana	70506	US	9/1/22
Sara Foster	Lafayette	70506	US	9/2/22
Macy Parker	New Iberia	70562	US	9/5/22
Kizzie Castille	Opelousas	70570	US	8/25/22
Darian Sayrie	Youngsville	70592	US	8/31/22
Gauge Ott	Denham Springs	70706	US	8/13/22
Natalie Pham	Baton Rouge	70803	US	9/18/22
Austin Ellois	Baton Rouge	70817	US	8/4/22
charles hale	Haughton	71037	US	8/27/22
Claire Melton	Shreveport	71106	US	8/25/22
naveen raju	Shreveport	71107	US	9/21/22
J'Marie Thomas	Bossier City	71111	US	9/4/22

Rebe Ticas	Conway	72034	US	8/29/22
Melissa Robinson	Greenbrier	72058	US	9/20/22
Sue Ellen Lupien	Maumelle AR	72113	US	8/4/22
John Sarna	North Little Rock	72118	US	9/2/22
Melissa Garcia	Scott	72142	US	9/21/22
Debbie Bailey	Little Rock	72223	US	8/26/22
Shelby Shifley	Harrison	72601	US	9/24/22
angel cleaver	Dover	72837	US	8/31/22
Marybret Bruehl	Edmond	73013	US	8/13/22
Aiy Sanchez	Oklahoma City	73103	US	9/18/22
Aiyanna Lane	Oklahoma City	73106	US	8/11/22
Heather Bohannan	Oklahoma City	73116	US	8/26/22
Jacob Stubbs	Ardmore	73401	US	9/27/22
Liliana Patlan	Elk City	73644	US	9/21/22
Amy Rouse	Bartlesville	74006	US	9/23/22
Alexis Patterson	Sapulpa	74066	US	9/15/22
Emma Lane	Stillwater	74075	US	8/30/22
Sasha Holcomb	Tulsa	74133	US	8/19/22
D. Uggla	Okmulgee	74447	US	8/12/22
Brian Calderon	Mcalester	74501	US	8/31/22
Michelle Comardelle	Addison	75001	US	9/2/22
Amy Owens	Carrollton TX	75007	US	8/5/22
Cate Tong	Plano	75024	US	9/12/22
Sahasra Dandu	Frisco	75033	US	8/27/22
Autumn McLane	Frisco	75035	US	8/13/22
Samhith Akkineni	Frisco	75035	US	9/18/22
Sloka Tanikella	Frisco	75035	US	9/19/22
KrissyE Henderson	Garland	75040	US	9/7/22
Damita Taylor	Grand Prairie	75050	US	8/15/22
Carol Collins	Irving	75063	US	9/20/22
Michael Hays	Plano	75074	US	9/5/22
Allison Goodsell	Prosper	75078	US	8/21/22
elle skyrme	Prosper	75078	US	9/21/22
Lexi Chappell	Rockwall	75087	US	8/17/22
Dexter Dexter	Rockwall	75087	US	9/19/22
	Cedar Hill	75104	US	9/18/22
Deidre Smith	Forney	75126	US	8/9/22
Anaya Howard	Forney	75126	US	9/2/22
Eve Hehe	Caddo Mills	75135	US	8/30/22
lily long	Mesquite	75149	US	8/28/22

Cassandra Webb	Mesquite	75150	US	8/21/22
Rileigh Earley	Mesquite	75181	US	8/15/22
Amber C	Royse City	75189	US	9/13/22
Tammy Coble	Dallas	75204	US	9/21/22
Diana De La Cruz	Dallas	75207	US	8/31/22
Jose G	Dallas	75211	US	8/16/22
Jeremias M	Dallas	75211	US	8/30/22
Fenix Lugo	Dallas	75220	US	8/22/22
Madison Mosley	Dallas	75223	US	9/5/22
Melissa Pawless	Dallas	75228	US	9/21/22
Samantha Sanchez	Dallas	75237	US	8/13/22
Malachi Wilson	Dallas	75238	US	8/19/22
Rowen Zarate		75245	US	8/14/22
Trish Novello		Dallas		75247 US 8/12/22
Samantha Bridges	Dallas	75270	US	8/30/22
Francisco M	Dallas	75270	US	9/23/22
امیرعباس یزدی	Longview	75605	US	9/23/22
Korian Brown	Tyler	75708	US	8/31/22
Tayvion Lary	Fairfield	75840	US	8/30/22
Kate Harris	Arlington	76010	US	9/3/22
Shawn Aultman	Grapevine	76051	US	9/21/22
Gabbie Martinez	Mansfield	76063	US	9/1/22
Annie Benne	Mansfield	76063	US	9/5/22
Veronica Nguyen	Southlake	76092	US	8/10/22
Sydney Denk	Fort worth	76116	US	8/23/22
Antincia Foster	Fort Worth	76123	US	9/5/22
Maria Herrera	Fort Worth	76123	US	9/12/22
Rusti Morris	Fort Worth	76133	US	8/11/22
Nadia Mikhail	Fort Worth	76177	US	9/3/22
Ennie Nguyen	Denton	76209	US	9/20/22
Severin Matthews	Keller	76244	US	8/31/22
Norma Mauricio	Keller	76248	US	8/31/22
Mackenzie White	Dublin	76446	US	9/2/22
Lisa Bowers	Temple	76502	US	9/23/22
Hanna Crockett	Killeen	76549	US	8/16/22
annikki rahko	austin	76813	US	9/26/22
m h	Houston	77018	US	9/2/22
Macrina Martinez	Houston	77020	US	9/20/22
Esmeralda Gutierrez	Houston	77026	US	9/1/22
Josiah Allen				

	Houston	77038	US	8/24/22
Amy Cepeda	Houston	77041	US	9/21/22
Joycelyn Torres	Houston	77044	US	8/27/22
Aimee Arroyo	Houston	77054	US	9/27/22
Daniela D	Houston	77055	US	9/24/22
Fernanda Hurtado	Tomball	77060	US	9/24/22
Camila Loza	Houston	77070	US	8/4/22
Linda Greene	Houston	77081	US	8/30/22
Monica Rath	Houston	77087	US	8/26/22
Nesli Acosta	Houston	77087	US	9/21/22
Solitary Kid	Houston	77088	US	9/4/22
Billy Cavanaugh	Houston	77090	US	9/24/22
Emmaly Gray	Houston	77094	US	9/5/22
Alicia Gbunblee	Houston	77096	US	8/16/22
Divya Sree Kata	Houston	77096	US	9/4/22
Lia Bachrach	Montgomery	77316	US	9/17/22
Markos Ortiz				
	Spring	77386	US	8/25/22
Jason Myatt	Houston	77449	US	9/24/22
Amber Pantoja	Missouri City	77459	US	9/2/22
Alex Barron	Katy	77494		US 8/27/22
Micah Allen	Katy	77494	US	9/20/22
Amanda Smithson	Baytown	77521	US	8/31/22
Nicholas Gonzalez	Dickinson	77539	US	9/18/22
Kelli Townley	League City	77573	US	9/20/22
Jonah Kesar	Manvel	77578	US	8/21/22
Viviana Pinacho	Pearland	77584	US	9/21/22
Berli Torres	Pearland	77584	US	9/23/22
Rebeca Medrano	Lumberton	77657	US	9/23/22
Hannah Mcgcallion	Vidor	77662	US	8/23/22
Terrie Williams	Beaumont	77705	US	8/30/22
Rodrigo Padilla	Bryan	77801	US	8/23/22
Devante Jones	Bryan	77807	US	8/29/22
Laureen Nitz	Bandera	78003	US	9/12/22
Amanda Michael	Spring branch	78070	US	8/30/22
Marley Donovan	Converse	78108		US 9/23/22
Kristina Wilson	New Braunfels	78130	US	8/31/22
Jasmine Card	Bulverde	78163		US 8/31/22
Elyse Williams	San Antonio	78201	US	8/21/22
Nichole Gallia	San Antonio	78201	US	9/2/22

Karla Arellano	San Antonio	78202	US	9/13/22
Argentina Hernandez	San Antonio	78209	US	9/12/22
Carrie Mitchell	San Antonio	78212	US	9/21/22
Jocelina Guerrero	Bee Cave	78218	US	9/21/22
Fynn Kelsheimer	San Antonio	78223	US	9/2/22
Jonathan Gibson	San Antonio	78223	US	9/12/22
Jesus Hernandez	San Antonio	78225	US	9/12/22
Melissa Flores	San Antonio	78239	US	8/4/22
Marilyn Mick	San Antonio	78245	US	9/2/22
Christina Campos	San Antonio	78253	US	8/19/22
Christina Salazar	San Antonio	78254	US	9/24/22
fozeyeh khafaji zad	San Antonio	78257	US	8/22/22
Kevondre Wesley	San Antonio	78264	US	8/30/22
Lorena Rosales	San Antonio	78288	US	9/21/22
Kylie Rogers	Aransas Pass	78336	US	9/23/22
Kimberly Lopez	Portland		78374	US 9/13/22
Taylyn Scott	Corpus Christi	78408	US	9/16/22
Gloria Torres	Corpus Christi		78412	US 9/12/22
Dajia Gres	Corpus Christi		78412	US 9/18/22
Chandrika Pallekonda	Corpus Christi		78418	US 8/4/22
John Lembo	Brownsville	TX	78520	US 8/4/22
Victoria Cobos	Edinburg		78539	US 9/16/22
Carey Reyes	Edinburg		78539	US 9/16/22
Aly Cedillo	San Antonio		78541	US 9/16/22
Kayla Cox	Pharr		78577	US 8/10/22
Angela Marroquin	Raymondville	78580	US	9/2/22
Vigo Chimely	san juan		78589	US 9/24/22
natali noguez	Dale		78616	US 8/9/22
Angelina Lizárraga	Leander		78641	US 9/13/22
Brayden Ryan	Sandy		78665	US 8/31/22
Icedefender 3308	Austin		78665	US 9/17/22
Nutty Llamado	Austin		78702	US 9/23/22
Nikki Alexander	Austin		78705	US 9/18/22
Maggie Perkins	Smithville		78957	US 9/12/22
William Shotwell	Midland		79705	US 9/12/22
Anisha Ganguly	Midland		79707	US 9/21/22
Cristina Vasquez	Clint		79836	US 9/23/22
Lou Flōs	El Paso		79915	US 9/1/22
Adam Casarez	El Paso		79924	US 9/24/22
phil jeffrey	El Paso		79936	US 9/13/22

Daniela Contreras	Aurora		80018	US	8/30/22
Jay Driver	BROOMFIELD	CO	80021	US	9/4/22
JULIA BALLARD	Louisville		80027	US	9/18/22
	Highlands				
Maxwell Ollila	Ranch	80129	US	8/13/22	
Lisa Flannery	Englewood		80150	US	9/21/22
Alison Garcia	Denver	CO	80202	US	8/2/22
George Winkler	Denver		80211	US	8/21/22
Tiffany Cabrera	Denver		80219	US	9/13/22
Sofia Salinas	Denver		80222	US	8/28/22
Jo Fontana	Denver		80228	US	9/21/22
Kylie Stone	Denver		80238	US	8/29/22
avery goff	Denver	MD	80238	US	9/22/22
Mark Simons	Boulder		80302	US	9/5/22
Sophie Cardineau	Boulder		80304	US	8/30/22
Olimpia Sanchez	Boulder	CO	80305	US	8/6/22
Brad Thoms	Boulder		80305	US	9/6/22
Joana Volkamer Pastor	Black Hawk	CO	80422	US	8/8/22
	Steamboat				
William Merline	Springs	CO	80487	US	8/29/22
Linda Young	Fort Collins		80524	US	8/23/22
Stephanie Downey	Fort Collins		80525	US	9/16/22
Alexiah Baird	Loveland	CO	80538	US	8/4/22
Jon Ziminsky	Greeley		80631	US	9/18/22
	Colorado				
Olivia Roberts	Springs	80906	US	8/30/22	
Nickolas Nye	Colorado Springs		CO	80917	US
Nancy Van Tine	Lamar		81050	US	9/2/22
Holden Day	Ignacio		81137	US	9/18/22
Rae Phillips	Durango	CO	81301	US	9/23/22
	Glenwood				
Mary Alice Hearn	Springs	81601	US	9/19/22	
Kimberly Sosa	Carbondale		81623	US	9/6/22
Lisa Strand	Gypsum		81637	US	9/12/22
Ruth San Diego	Twin Falls		83301	US	8/30/22
Keegan Scott	Meridian		83646	US	9/21/22
Edith Dull	Meridian		83646	US	9/23/22
Johnny Maggard	Caldwell		83686	US	8/12/22
Desirae Varela	Nampa		83687	US	8/13/22
Dianne Gohmann	Nampa		83687	US	8/31/22

soleil Arellano	Boise	83704	US	9/20/22
Melissa Virgen Velazquez	Boise	83709	US	8/26/22
Kristian White	Boise	83709	US	9/1/22
Jake Lintereur	Boise	83709	US	9/18/22
Jade Hesketh	Boise	83713	US	8/31/22
Isabella lordanescu	Boise	83713	US	9/4/22
Luna Christiansen	Post Falls	83854	US	9/24/22
Pete & Janine Vichi	Park City	84003	US	9/2/22
CJ Martin	Eagle Mountain	84005	US	8/8/22
Zoe Domgaard	Draper		84020	US 9/1/22
Niki Henrie	Garden City	UT	84028	US 8/3/22
Audrey Bastian	Layton		84041	US 9/1/22
blue man blue bird	Layton		84041	US 9/2/22
	Saratoga			
Sydney Olivas	Springs	84045	US	8/16/22
Jose Valdovinos	Orem		84058	US 8/20/22
Tate Anderson	Orem		84058	US 9/13/22
Addiassisontolman1@gmail.com				
T	West jordan		84081	US 8/7/22
Micah Barnett	Sandy		84094	US 9/23/22
Derek Larsen	Salt Lake City	84107	US	8/17/22
Diego Cardenas	Salt Lake City		84109	US 9/1/22
Jacqueline Dunford	Salt Lake City		84117	US 9/2/22
Davyn Lamphere	Salt Lake City		84129	US 9/23/22
ashley drew	Ogden		84403	US 8/8/22
VICKIE SHAW	Provo		84604	US 8/12/22
Kyle Teela	Provo		84606	US 8/14/22
Khalifa Munyagane	Phoenix		85008	US 8/14/22
Larry Whittle	Phoenix		85018	US 8/31/22
Pedro Fabian	Phoenix		85032	US 9/17/22
Mila Martinez	Phoenix		85037	US 9/21/22
Yadira Flores	Phoenix		85044	US 9/5/22
Carlos Garcia	Phoenix		85050	US 9/5/22
Katherine Hutchins	Phoenix	AZ	85051	US 8/4/22
sherri hodges	Phoenix		85051	US 8/13/22
Juan Aldana	Phoenix		85085	US 9/24/22
Dawn Cancelosi	Queen Creek	85140	US	8/3/22
Salissa Chavez	Chandler		85224	US 9/26/22
Joe Padilla	Chandler		85225	US 9/1/22
Julie Castillo	Chandler		85226	US 9/19/22

Courtney Meyer	Glendale	85301	US	8/26/22
Brittany Friend	Glendale	85303	US	9/21/22
Leticia Encizo	Buckeye	85326	US	8/23/22
Cheyenne Trader	Litchfield Park	85340	US	9/2/22
Hazel Mares	San Luis		85349	US 9/20/22
Stephanie Fernandez	Yuma	85365	US	8/26/22
koriina ramos	Yuma	85365	US	8/28/22
Zachary Yablonski	Tucson	85706	US	8/26/22
Leslie Voegtly	Tucson	AZ	85711	US 9/12/22
Pamela Holbert	Tucson		85716	US 8/7/22
Ellis Heather	Flagstaff		86004	US 8/28/22
Marissa Sweeter	Prescott		86303	US 8/29/22
L.A. Von Mang	Kingman		86401	US 9/12/22
Juniper Baker	Bullhead City	86442	US	9/2/22
Sadie Evans	Albuquerque		87109	US 9/13/22
Lauren Turgor	Albuquerque			NM 87111 US 8/4/22
Michael Woolsey	Albuquerque	87120	US	8/23/22
Lis Jo	Northport			87144 US 8/31/22
Logan Smith	Santa Fe		87507	US 9/7/22
Miranda Rabago	Las Cruces		88005	US 9/21/22
Jazmen E	Las Cruces		88012	US 8/20/22
Hector Martinez	Roswell		88203	US 9/1/22
Aaron Rosales	Carlsbad		88220	US 9/8/22
Jessica Melendrez	Hobbs		88244	US 9/2/22
Debra Clinton	Henderson		89014	US 9/2/22
Lorie Quijada	Las Vegas		89030	US 9/21/22
	North Las			
Allison Guerrero	Vegas	89031	US	8/29/22
Samina Jackson	Pahrump		89048	US 8/29/22
Corey Cohen	Henderson		89052	US 9/2/22
Bianca Ortiz	Henderson		89052	US 9/21/22
Ericka Becerra	Las Vegas		89108	US 8/29/22
kylie miranda	Las Vegas		89115	US 8/28/22
Brenda Armijo	Las Vegas		89117	US 9/23/22
Hailey Amaya	Las Vegas		89122	US 9/12/22
Isai Delgado	Las Vegas	NV	89128	US 8/11/22
Greg Riecken	Las Vegas		89148	US 9/6/22
Karla Fabro	Sparks		89436	US 9/3/22
Robert Gibson	Winnemucca	89445	US	9/21/22
Kathy Godina	Carson City		89701	US 9/21/22

Maria Ramirez	Los Angeles	90001	US	9/18/22
Rocio Jimenez	Los Angeles	90006	US	8/26/22
Sophie Oune-Bicheret	Los Angeles	90007	US	9/5/22
Leo Gutierrez	Los Angeles	90007	US	9/20/22
Ruby Varela	Los Angeles	90008	US	8/31/22
Carlos Molina Jr	Los Angeles	90009	US	8/15/22
Lin Thura	Los Angeles	90009	US	8/22/22
Kathleen Frias	Los Angeles	90012	US	8/14/22
Kevin Craig	Baltimore	90012	US	9/12/22
Favor Ojo	Los Angeles	90014	US	9/24/22
Danielle Carusone	Los Angeles	90016	US	9/10/22
Tina Son	Los Angeles	90017	US	9/3/22
Arthur Gray	Los Angeles	90029	US	8/31/22
Gevork Akopyan	Los Angeles	90032	US	9/20/22
Carolina Botello	Los Angeles	90035	US	9/15/22
Sheldon Kasdan	Los Angeles	90040	US	8/27/22
Denisse Cartes	Los Angeles	90043	US	8/28/22
Bonita Williams	Los Angeles	90044	US	8/30/22
Jewel Piazza	Los Angeles	90044	US	9/7/22
Paola Arambula	Los Angeles	90044	US	9/8/22
Aaziya Hamilton	Los Angeles	90044	US	9/12/22
Isabel Quiroa	Los Angeles	90045	US	8/29/22
Courtney Schatan	Los Angeles	90047	US	9/20/22
Dontae Parker	Los Angeles	90060	US	9/3/22
Julie Be nnett	Los Angeles	90065	US	9/2/22
jasmine silva	Compton	90222	US	8/30/22
Maria Perez	Downey	90241	US	8/27/22
	Manhattan			
Cierra Denise	Beach	90266	US	9/2/22
Jennifer Lee	south gate		90280	US 9/23/22
joel zavala	Inglewood	90302	US	8/21/22
Prema Williams	Cypress	90630	US	9/13/22
Annie Garcia	La Habra	90631	US	9/20/22
Jazmin Venegas	Norwalk	90650	US	9/24/22
valerie melara	Bellflower	90706	US	8/20/22
Carlos Jax	Bellflower	90706	US	9/5/22
Gabriella Ray	Long Beach	90806	US	9/18/22
Shakira Gathrite	Arcadia	91006	US	9/4/22
Lori Cappello	Los Angeles	91042	US	9/23/22
Nashelly Arrazola	Newbury Park	91320	US	9/2/22

Akira Ainsly	Northridge	91325	US	9/21/22
Afshin Shirayeh	Los Angeles	91331	US	8/31/22
Jennifer Munoz	Sylmar	91342	US	8/27/22
Cristian Mercado	Santa Clarita	91350	US	8/29/22
Martin Rumpf	Woodland Hills	91367	US	8/29/22
Kat Boley	Sylmar	91402	US	8/31/22
Sarah Franco	Panorama City	91402	US	9/16/22
Ediverto Galvez	Panorama City	91402	US	9/24/22
eva lozano	Van Nuys	91405	US	8/10/22
Celibee Torres	Van Nuys	91405	US	9/3/22
Ken Dizon	Burbank	91503	US	8/12/22
	NORTH			
Brett Allen	HOLLYWOOD	91601	US	8/30/22
INA KOMINS	Azusa	91702	US	9/10/22
Livier Montenegro	Chino Hills	91709	US	8/3/22
Jack parks	Chino	91710	US	9/5/22
	Rancho			
Milly Franco	Cucamonga	91730	US	8/23/22
christoph Vladimirovich	Rancho Cucamonga	91730	US	8/31/22
Ezenna Iroegbu	el monte	91731	US	9/8/22
Mary Le	La Puente	91744	US	9/18/22
Francisco Acevedo	Alhambra	91801	US	8/9/22
Michael Sokey				
	San Diego	91914	US	9/15/22
Kim Ramsey	Carlsbad	92009	US	8/4/22
Suzanne Torkar	Escondido	92026	US	9/16/22
Angelique Uson	Oceanside	92056	US	8/18/22
Maria Vasquez Sanchez	Vista	92084	US	8/27/22
Heather Isaac	San Diego	92105	US	8/4/22
David Haskins	San Diego	92109	US	8/3/22
Mary Shvodian	San Diego	92117	US	8/23/22
Vincenzo Pineda	San Diego	92131	US	8/4/22
Scott Pham	Indio	92201	US	8/26/22
Victoria Rendón-Santiago	Beaumont	92223	US	9/10/22
Olive Holland	Blythe	92225	US	9/21/22
Alyssa Diaz	El Centro	92243	US	9/5/22
Arleth Lucero	Fontana	92335	US	8/29/22
Maria Dominicis	Highland	92346	US	9/21/22
Gloria Vences	Victorville	92392	US	9/18/22
Ryan Hyder	Victorville	92394	US	9/17/22

Jillian Sanderson	Yucaipa	92399	US	8/28/22
Christina Siner	San Bernardino	92407	US	8/10/22
Marissa Morales	Riverside		CA	92501
Paisley Johnson	Riverside		92504	US
Montell Garrett	Hemet		92544	US
Gabriela Mireles	Murrieta	CA	92562	US
Lilia Wedge Wedge	Sun City		92585	US
natelie sirak	Irvine	CA	92603	US
Laura Thieme	Irvine		92618	US
Benjamin Wakeham	Dana Point		92629	US
	Huntington			8/31/22
Richard Fodor	Beach	92647	US	8/28/22
Heidi Stahl	Mission Viejo		92692	US
Tai Hayashi	Ladera Ranch		92694	US
Peter Koch	Santa Ana		92704	
David Hernandez	Santa Ana		92704	US
Ashley Martinez	Garden Grove	92840	US	9/21/22
Tania Lopez	Orange		92869	
Hector Delgado	Corona		92882	US
Jasper Casper	Yorba Linda	CA	92886	US
Dan De Yo	Simi Valley	CA	93065	US
Andrea Poteat	Simi Valley	CA	93065	US
Ken Poteat	Simi Valley	CA	93065	US
Robert Cleland	Delano		93215	US
Elizabeth Huerta	Kernville		93238	US
Jason Blackstone	Bakersfield		93304	US
Emily Mendez	Los Olivos		93441	US
Kayla Morrell	morro bay	CA	93442	US
betty winholtz	Oceano		93445	US
Orion Solu	Lancaster		93534	US
Sydney Simonson	Palmdale		93550	US
Emma Wong	Palmdale		93551	US
Nicolas Burbano	Fresno		93720	US
Isabel Ramirez	Fresno		93722	US
Ivonne Lopez	Fresno		93727	US
Valery Orozco	Daly City		94014	US
Lillian Hernandez	Portola Valley	CA	94028	US
Karin Eckelmeyer	San Francisco	94102	US	8/20/22
Andrea Valadez	San Francisco		94110	US
Breann Anderson	San Francisco		94112	US
				9/2/22

Leah Meas	San Francisco	94132	US	9/24/22
Liana Asriyan	San Mateo	94402	US	8/23/22
Jalal Monzavi	Fairfield	94533	US	9/10/22
Carmen Austin	C V	94546	US	8/21/22
T R	Castro Valley	94546	US	9/23/22
nancy onorad	Livermore	94551	US	9/18/22
Jeffrey Meyer	Napa	94558	US	8/31/22
Ethan Tiro	Orinda	94563	US	8/6/22
Drbob Schaefer	pinole	94564	US	8/12/22
yamina cano	San Leandro	94577	US	9/16/22
Lili Loeza	Pleasanton	94588	US	8/14/22
Ava Pelkey	Oakland	94603	US	9/21/22
Leticia Lopes	Berkeley	94703	US	9/1/22
Ariel Gonzalez	Rohnert Park	94928	US	8/4/22
Dan Lucchesi	San Francisco	94945	US	8/5/22
Robert Ortiz	Cupertino	95014	US	8/15/22
Dharani Srin	Santa Cruz	95060	US	8/3/22
Patrice Wallace	Watsonville	95076	US	8/20/22
Alvaro Felix	Watsonville	95076	US	8/20/22
Mayra Maldonado	San Jose	95112	US	9/20/22
Delilah Torres	San Jose	95122	US	8/12/22
Thomas Meadows	San Jose	95126	US	8/13/22
Gissel Montes	San Jose	95126	US	8/31/22
chloe case	San Jose	95132	US	8/27/22
Potato Taste	Stockton	95205	US	8/31/22
Andrew Torres	Murphys	95247	US	9/14/22
Adam DeValle	Merced	95348	US	9/23/22
Fabian Valdez	Santa Rosa	95401	US	8/15/22
Lisa Higinbotham	Eureka	95503	US	9/21/22
Ryan McCutchan	Mckinleyville	95519	US	9/5/22
Sarah Vincent	Fortuna	95540	US	9/10/22
Kayla Sims	Sacramento	95593	US	9/5/22
Brenda Taylor	Carmichael	95608	US	9/12/22
Cheryl Collier	Davis	95618	US	9/17/22
Mehmet Midillioglu	Fair Oaks	95628	US	8/31/22
Serena cattiva	Lincoln	95648	US	9/4/22
	Rancho			
Calista Kilmer	Cordova	95670	US	9/8/22
Robin Robinson	Rocklin	95677	US	9/24/22

	West			
Mina Sharafi	Sacramento	95691	US	9/17/22
Isla Myers	West Sacramento	95691	US	9/21/22
Charli Elfrink	Granite Bay		95746	US 8/9/22
Chloe Lemay-Assh	Roseville	95747	US	9/24/22
Carl Espinoza	El Dorado Hills	95762	US	9/20/22
Carol Sanders	Woodland		95776	US 8/6/22
Andrea Brunsman	Sacramento	95815	US	9/20/22
Jazmine Acosta	Sacramento	95824	US	8/17/22
Christina Neiman	Sacramento	95824	US	9/21/22
Cecilia Ramos	Sacramento	95835	US	8/30/22
Marlene Copus	Chico	95928	US	8/9/22
Larry Ladd	Magalia	95954	US	9/2/22
Aleecya Craft	Yuba City	95961	US	9/20/22
Dominique Enriquez	Oroville	CA	95966	US 9/26/22
Lori Monian	Yuba City	95991	US	8/8/22
Linda Freeman	Yuba City	95993	US	8/30/22
Christine Villa	Palo Cedro	CA	96073	US 8/3/22
pamela hamilton	Truckee	CA	96161	US 8/2/22
John Black	Truckee	CA	96161	US 8/2/22
Karyn Wright	Hilo	96721	US	9/23/22
Ruby Maahs	Kailua	96734	US	9/1/22
Sharon Ortiz	Volcano	96785	US	8/31/22
Harmony Hofmeister	Mililani	96789	US	9/5/22
Christine Jane Agas	Waipahu	96797	US	9/3/22
Ayasha Foster	Waipahu	96797	US	9/24/22
Tiffani Muramoto	Honolulu	HI	96813	US 9/24/22
Millie Okada-Miura	Beaverton		97007	US 8/22/22
Sarah Friend	Sandy		97055	US 9/2/22
Jackson Wells	Mcminnville	OR	97128	US 8/6/22
Abigail Neilan	Portland	OR	97202	US 9/24/22
Marc Harman	Portland		97212	US 9/21/22
Jaime King	Portland		97223	US 9/1/22
Logan McNamara	Portland		97239	US 8/10/22
Addison Chambers	Portland		97267	US 9/26/22
n t	Salem	OR	97301	US 8/15/22
Andrew Davis	Salem		97306	US 9/12/22
Mariela Wilkes	Corvallis	OR	97330	US 8/16/22
Austin Ward	Dallas		97338	US 9/6/22

Jayden Chancery	Eugene		97404	US	9/2/22
Dena Thompson	Medford		97501	US	9/17/22
drew abernathy	Yakima		97501	US	9/21/22
Michelle Rivera	Powell Butte	OR	97753	US	9/24/22
Chris Mitchell	Hermiston		97838	US	9/2/22
Kalvin Colpitts	Federal Way	98003		US	9/6/22
Christine Thompson	Bothell		98021		US 9/26/22
Ninaz Rahmani	Kirkland		98034	US	9/23/22
Tetyana Samolyuk	Kent		98042	US	8/16/22
Sam Stout	Sammamish	98075		US	9/5/22
Maya Vincent	Lynnwood		98087		US 9/24/22
Farnaz Kanani	Seattle	WA	98101	US	8/6/22
Michael Cooper	Seattle		98109	US	8/30/22
lets help	Seattle		98122	US	9/19/22
Meagan Angus	Seattle		98168	US	9/17/22
Grace Chaw	Sammamish	98223		US	8/18/22
Char R	Bellingham		98225		US 8/19/22
Larry Mondello	Bellingham	WA	98229	US	8/5/22
Kelley Coleman	Langley	WA	98260	US	8/4/22
Michelle Fairow	Sedro-Woolley	98284		US	9/18/22
Ciara McDermott	Stanwood		98292		US 9/23/22
anthony bourgeois	Poulsbo		98370	US	9/12/22
Deron Lish	Puyallup		98372	US	8/30/22
Juno O'Neill	Randle		98377	US	9/7/22
Janet Swihart	Tacoma		98403	US	8/31/22
Uli Mercker	Tacoma	WA	98407	US	8/4/22
Ann Bickel	Tacoma		98466	US	8/10/22
Tavaesina Maiava	Ocean Shores	98569		US	8/29/22
michael kenney	camas		98607		US 8/31/22
chelsea hardy	Ridgefield		98642	US	9/3/22
Misty Misty	Vancouver	WA	98661	US	8/9/22
Rebecca Berez	Vancouver		98665	US	8/31/22
Lyric Nash	Vancouver		98682	US	9/12/22
Chris Stevens	Spokane		99206	US	8/25/22
Jacob Lamont	Spokane		99207	US	9/20/22
Rosario Villa	Kennewick		99336	US	8/19/22
Gloria Kerr	Prosser		99350	US	9/2/22
Mayra Ulloa	Walla Walla	99362		US	9/4/22
Nancy Rathbun	Anchorage		99504		US 8/29/22
Natalie Dilworth	Anchorage		99507	US	9/23/22

Ireland Higgins	Delhi		110054	India	9/26/22
STEPHEN OBRIEN	Glenside		190238	US	9/5/22
Jen Levison	Little Rock		372844	US	9/8/22
Amanda Jonessson	Wilmington		942757	US	8/31/22
Joshua Gutierrez	Bath		180420002	US	8/30/22
Cristina Harrison	Englewood	NJ	07631`	US	8/4/22
			11223-		
Jane Davidson	Brooklyn		2744	US	8/31/22
			20895-		
Giampiero Mariani	Kensington	MD	3521	US	8/9/22
			21704-		
Kathleen Holmay	Frederick	MD	8158	US	8/2/22
			21754-		
Margy & Curran Simpson	Ijamsville	MD	8810	US	9/24/22
			30830-		
Susan Coppola	Waynesboro	GA	7023	US	8/4/22
			33116-		
Richard Reece	miami	FL	3356	US	8/3/22
doria wosk	Uden		5403kk	US	8/20/22
			77708-		
linc conard	Beaumont		1909	US	9/5/22
			97440-		
Joel Alexander Alaniz	Eugene		0059	US	9/10/22
Nadia Sindi	Haslemere	ENG	GU27	UK	8/27/22
Amy Shaw - Davies	Inglewood		Homeless	US	9/17/22
Stephanie Danylko	Peterborough		PE7	US	8/3/22
Joshua Curphey	Chilliwack		v2p5t9	US	8/28/22
Norbert Fetting	California		V3Z	US	8/23/22
penelope gracie	Warrington		WA58DE	US	9/26/22
paula mcdonald			Sweden	8/3/22	
Jacqueline Ehrner				US	8/3/22
Everett Tarmy				NY	8/4/22
Joel F		MD		US	8/4/22
Cathy Hare			US	8/8/22	
Michael Andrews			Los		
Fire Scythe			Angeles	CA	US
Zed Trick	Brooklyn	NY			8/9/22
Sydney Lerrick			US	8/14/22	
			US	8/14/22	

Daniel Coffman			US	8/15/22
Nell Sir		US	8/16/22	
Cam Mam'selle		US	8/16/22	
Jules Soliman		US	8/19/22	
Nina Verplaetse		US	8/20/22	
Abby Johnson		US	8/20/22	
Breeam Lewis		US	8/21/22	
Anwar Chaudhary		US	8/21/22	
Leslie Marshall		US	8/23/22	
Shannon Oliver			Poland	8/26/22
andy Oflah	US	8/26/22		
Blake Marical	London		US	8/26/22
Leslie Buehler	Lyman		US	8/27/22
Hampton Cash			US	8/29/22
Jack Tackett			US	8/29/22
Fikrte Abate	US	8/30/22		
Andrew Dark		US	8/30/22	
Rebecca Sphon	Flagstaff		US	8/30/22
Kassandra Huzar	London	TX	US	8/30/22
Larry Stewart	Carolina		US	8/31/22
Magalie Rivera		US	8/31/22	
Michael Scolari			US	8/31/22
Cynthia Kerfoot			US	8/31/22
Jarius Johnson			US	8/31/22
Anna M.	Sycamore		US	9/1/22
Anthony Bentz	Des Moines		US	9/1/22
Stormy Hart			US	9/1/22
Laila Lewis			US	9/2/22
mma Jones		US	9/2/22	
Alexx McClure			US	9/2/22
James Hall		US	9/2/22	
Cheryl Navarez			US	9/2/22
Helene Frisco			US	9/3/22
Lance Kammerud			US	9/4/22
Stephen Lang			US	9/5/22
julia hughes	US	9/5/22		
Julio Ramírez			US	9/7/22
Kay Roy	brooklyn		US	9/8/22
Tirrell Francis			US	9/8/22

Kevin Kyne	US	9/10/22
Avelina Cantu	US	9/10/22
Orchid Suzuki	US	9/11/22
Emily Sponaugle	US	9/12/22
Forrester Thorsheim		US 9/12/22
Helen Ali	US	9/13/22
Alexandra O'neil		US 9/17/22
		9/17/22
Mia Paluzzi	US	9/18/22
Xavier Spriggs		US 9/18/22
Axis Melee		9/18/22
Luna Skyfire	US	
Nancy Regal		9/23/22
	US	9/23/22
Martha Becerra	US	US 9/23/22
Eno Nkem-Esukpa	nashville	US 9/23/22
bella v		9/26/22
Chris Clark		9/26/22
	US	9/26/22
Angelina Williams		US 9/26/22
Jules C.		
		Wagner
jay mckinney		

*Note: The Change.org platform doesn't recognize the zero at the beginning of zip codes.*

Liz Garratt	FL	3	US	8/4/22	
Toni Hamilton	Detroit	48	US	8/15/22	
Aurora Fernández	Guaynabo	966	US	9/4/22	
Nora McCarthy	Ware	1082	US	9/5/22	
Jose Torrealba	Springfield	1105	US	8/23/22	
Emma Slade	Fitchburg	1420	US	8/8/22	
Devin Iorio	Fitchburg	1420	US	9/16/22	
Gregory erikson	Leominster	MA	1453	US	8/9/22
Koby Bonilla	Worcester		1604	US	9/27/22
Rivka Landman	Natick		1760	US	8/25/22
Carolyn Black	Woburn	MA	1801	US	8/5/22
Edalee Quezada	North Andover		1845	US	9/16/22
Melinda Damon	NORTH READING		1864	US	8/30/22
Carolyn Ieason	Wakefield		1880	US	8/28/22
Sydney Lesburt	Lynnfield		1940	US	9/18/22
Kerri Bisner		MA	1944	US	8/27/22
Lainey Boylan	Walpole		2081	US	8/18/22
alexander lebron	boston		2111	US	9/15/22
Nathan Goodwin	Dorchester Center		2124	US	8/27/22
Ellen Roberts	Charlestown		2129	US	9/2/22
Chlory Lamerique	Dorchester		2130	US	9/3/22
Mary Thompson	Cambridge	MA	2139	US	8/16/22
Nancy Pickering	Cambridge		2141	US	9/5/22
Matilda Turck	Malden		2148	US	8/17/22
Zineb El Ghilani	Malden	MA	2148	US	8/25/22
Harout Keledjian	Chelsea		2150	US	9/10/22
Patrick Kesteven	Boston	MA	2266	US	8/6/22
Christian Francois	Brockton		2301	US	9/4/22
Xavier Clemente	Brookline		2445	US	9/23/22
Nathan Minn	Brookline		2445	US	9/23/22
Edito Rodriguez Segura	Waltham		2452	US	8/26/22
Eric Fournier	Waltham		2453	US	8/28/22
Amber Ou	Newton		2460	US	9/23/22
Aiden MacLeod	Arlington		2474	US	8/30/22
Gavin M	Mashpee		2649	US	8/27/22
Carol Almeida	New Bedford		2740	US	9/26/22
David Dusseault	Taunton		2780	US	9/3/22
Xavier Rickell	Taunton		2780	US	9/17/22
Milee Ruiter	Pawtucket		2861	US	9/13/22
Charles Pettit	Kingston		2881	US	9/21/22

*Note: The Change.org platform doesn't recognize the zero at the beginning of zip codes.*

Jennifer McKenna	warwick		2888	US	9/24/22
Philora Kittay	Westerly	RI	2891	US	8/3/22
jodie wolansky	Providence		2909	US	9/23/22
Skylar Hubbard	Brookline		3033	US	9/19/22
LAINEY Q	Hudson		3051	US	9/13/22
Shannon Mckenney	Nashua		3060	US	9/2/22
Bill Soubosky	Nashua	NH	3062	US	9/12/22
Ronald Jackman	Manchester		3102	US	9/4/22
olivia frost	Plymouth		3264	US	9/12/22
michele rule	Concord		3301	US	8/15/22
Leander Tiffany	Portsmouth		3801	US	9/10/22
Dolly Marie	Exeter		3833	US	8/31/22
Lona Marshall	North berwick		3906	US	8/10/22
Simon Chapman	Limington		4049	US	8/29/22
Sarah Savage	Westbrook		4092	US	9/18/22
Adam Geel	Auburncaa		4210	US	9/20/22
Richard Patrick	Gardiner		4345	US	9/1/22
Ben Tripp	Rockland		4841	US	9/23/22
J-Lyn Roy	Farmington		4938	US	8/16/22
Jacob Weir	S Royalton	VT	5068	US	8/25/22
Molly Wilcox	Enosburg Falls		5450	US	9/4/22
Dorine Beagle	Milton		5468	US	8/31/22
Max Berenschot	Montpelier	VT	5602	US	8/10/22
Michaela Bernier	Barre		5641	US	9/13/22
Anthony Knapp	Avon		6001	US	9/20/22
Grace Biega	Canton		6019	US	9/26/22
Caden Hall	Manchester		6040	US	9/1/22
Samantha Estepp	New Britain	CT	6053	US	8/24/22
Michelle Blesso	Pine Meadow		6061	US	8/12/22
Sky Tanner	Tolland		6084	US	8/11/22
Quamain Rozier	Hartford	CT	6105	US	8/7/22
Jasmine Ly	Wethersfield		6109	US	8/25/22
Nicole Lewis	West Hartford		6117	US	8/12/22
Azaria Ortiz	Moosup		6354	US	9/23/22
Earl Goou	Norwich		6360	US	9/2/22
Mallory Wheaton	Norwich		6360	US	9/5/22
maddy pimental	pawcatuck		6379	US	9/18/22
Jeneva Santiago	Colchester		6415	US	9/3/22
Melissa Musante	Orange		6477	US	9/2/22
charles lavin	Shelton		6484	US	9/26/22

*Note: The Change.org platform doesn't recognize the zero at the beginning of zip codes.*

Eduard Negron	New Haven		6515	US	8/31/22
Jean Smith	Westport		6830	US	8/13/22
M B	Norwalk	CT	6854	US	8/24/22
Julia C	Stamford		6902	US	9/19/22
Anthony Vasquez	Avenel		7001	US	8/31/22
Julissa Hernandez	Bayonne		7002	US	9/2/22
Brandon Martinez	Englewood		7010	US	8/21/22
Viktoria Klymchenko	Cliffside park		7010	US	9/24/22
Jaimie Gowatsky	Clifton		7011	US	8/31/22
peter Swinehart	Clifton	NJ	7014	US	8/8/22
LuAnn Mujica	Cranford		7016	US	8/22/22
David Alegre	Harrison		7029	US	9/2/22
Tajeer Robinson	Maplewood		7040	US	9/3/22
DJ Claypool	North Bergen	NJ	7047	US	8/2/22
Mahyar Safavi	North Bergen		7047	US	9/18/22
Janice Forfar	West Orange	NJ	7052	US	8/28/22
Barbara Rosato	East rutherford		7073	US	8/16/22
James Morrelli	Westfield		7090	US	9/1/22
sophia ortega	Secaucus		7094	US	9/21/22
Khrystyna Tymoshenko	Newark		7103	US	8/20/22
Lucas Navarro	Newark		7103	US	9/18/22
Karla B	Newark		7103	US	9/20/22
Francisco Martinez	Newark		7104	US	8/20/22
Cynthia White	Newark		7105	US	9/18/22
Antuan Jamison	Newark		7106	US	9/18/22
george bourlotos	belleville	NJ	7109	US	8/4/22
Seanna Riker	Hamburg		7419	US	9/5/22
Cade Herman	Oak Ridge	NJ	7438	US	8/4/22
Caitlin Ramsperger	Wyckoff		7481	US	9/26/22
Marilyn White	Ocean		7712	US	8/31/22
Ana H	Freehold		7728	US	8/29/22
Jarrett Cloud	Florham Park		7932	US	9/5/22
Kevin Blair	Madison		7940	US	9/23/22
Tom Dowdy	Morris Plains	NJ	7950	US	9/1/22
Jacob Noffsinger	Cherry Hill	NJ	8002	US	8/5/22
Caitlin Ackerman	Barnegat		8005	US	9/24/22
Chelsea Noffsinger	Blackwood	NJ	8012	US	8/5/22
joanna weeks	Clementon		8021	US	9/6/22
Abigail Soriano	Gibbsboro		8026	US	9/2/22
Asya Simsek	Marlton		8053	US	9/8/22

*Note: The Change.org platform doesn't recognize the zero at the beginning of zip codes.*

John GalanGalan	Cedar RunRun	NJ	8092	US	8/31/22
Layla Biskup	Collingswood		8108	US	8/31/22
Kristen Minsky	Mays Landing		8330	US	9/23/22
Jessica Alvarado	Vineland		8360	US	9/24/22
Dylan Morton	Vineland		8361	US	9/7/22
Maya Jones	ATLANTIC CITY		8401	US	9/5/22
Delaynie Bowley	Sparks		8434	US	8/12/22
Stephanie madej	Jackson		8527	US	9/8/22
Tammy Perilli	Trenton		8610	US	9/19/22
chuck maffei	Trenton		8628	US	8/13/22
Alicia Bilal	Trenton		8648	US	8/25/22
DONNA Leavitt	Toms River	NJ	8753	US	8/4/22
Jeanette Bartholomew	Hillsborough		8844	US	9/2/22
Jessica Deluca	Raritan		8869	US	8/11/22
Aurora Rose	New Brunswick		8901	US	9/1/22
Andrea Araiza	New York		10002	US	9/21/22
J Hill	New York City		10004	US	9/16/22
Sean Winslow	New York	NY	10009	US	8/5/22
janet forman	new york		10011	US	9/2/22
Joe C	New York		10011	US	9/24/22
saeid hamedani	New York		10013	US	9/10/22
Brianna C	New York		10019	US	8/31/22
Ibrahim Danso	New York		10025	US	8/31/22
Connor Kenly	New York	NY	10029	US	9/1/22
Oksana Habruska	Manhattan		10029	US	9/24/22
Reniesha McLean	New York		10030	US	8/11/22
Jeslyn Valdez	New York		10032	US	8/13/22
Gaby Gologursky	New York		10033	US	9/26/22
Jonathan Salley	New York	NY	10118	US	8/3/22
Zugeylly De Jesus	New York		10118	US	8/13/22
Yasme Jagindhrall	New York		10118	US	8/15/22
Anastasia Atayants	New York		10118	US	9/18/22
Jimmy Sanchez	Staten Island		10301	US	8/22/22
Muhammad Mukhshaf	Staten Island		10304	US	9/18/22
YANMEI LONG	Staten Island		10304	US	9/24/22
Irina Usatyuk	Staten Island		10305	US	9/24/22
Stefani Guerra	Staten Island		10306	US	9/5/22
Bob McBob	New York		10307	US	9/15/22
Joanne Rodriguez	Staten Island		10314	US	9/21/22
Sindy Vasquez	Long Island		10457	US	9/21/22

*Note: The Change.org platform doesn't recognize the zero at the beginning of zip codes.*

Terrell Harris	Bronx		10460	US	9/10/22
PP Yuhas	Bronx		10460	US	9/24/22
Michael Friedmann	Bronx		10461	US	9/20/22
Ron Van	Bronx		10462	US	8/29/22
Jon Silva	Elizabeth		10463	US	8/22/22
Katen Fergus	Bronx		10465	US	9/15/22
Tapsoba Nafissa	The Bronx		10467	US	8/15/22
Yesenia Velez	Bronx		10468	US	8/10/22
Mya Rode	The Bronx		10473	US	9/10/22
Kaden Knapp	Mahopac		10541	US	9/26/22
Crystal M			10566	US	9/2/22
Christopher Tom	Pleasantville	NY	10570	US	8/10/22
KRISTEN PUPO	VERPLANCK		10596	US	9/12/22
Roshan Peiris	Yonkers		10710	US	8/25/22
Trevor Brinnier	New City		10956	US	9/17/22
Dam McCormick	Spring Valley		10977	US	8/19/22
MELISSA Vlassis	Floral Park		11001	US	9/10/22
L H	New York		11103	US	9/8/22
Maribel Marulanda	New York		11106	US	8/15/22
Enaiya Louis	New york		11208	US	8/7/22
Renze Wen	Brooklyn		11208	US	8/21/22
Ithamar Lucero	Brooklyn		11209	US	9/21/22
Braden Regman	Brooklyn		11212	US	8/30/22
Lauren Neisser	Brooklyn	NY	11213	US	8/3/22
Elizabeth Spiegl	Brooklyn	NY	11220	US	8/4/22
Michael Salotti	Brooklyn		11221	US	8/27/22
Vulture Bones	Brooklyn	NY	11226	US	8/9/22
Falcon Knight	Brooklyn	NY	11226	US	8/9/22
Stephanie Berrios	Brooklyn		11229	US	8/30/22
Dario Acosta	Brooklyn		11229	US	9/23/22
Rachell Idrovo	Brooklyn		11233	US	9/12/22
Raziel Evergreen	Brooklyn		11233	US	9/23/22
joan sweet	Brooklyn		11235	US	9/5/22
Rachelle Louis	Brooklyn		11237	US	8/31/22
Lynne Boehm	Bayside		11361	US	8/30/22
sasha z	Queens	NY	11364	US	8/24/22
Joseph Leonti	Queens	NY	11372	US	9/14/22
Delia Tufino	Queens		11373	US	9/2/22

*Note: The Change.org platform doesn't recognize the zero at the beginning of zip codes.*

Machieal Pollard	Queens	MA	11377	US	9/17/22
Alaina Schramm	Queens	NY	11378	US	9/21/22
Tricia Cabrerizo	New York		11414	US	8/30/22
Annmarie Corkett	Richmond Hill		11418	US	8/12/22
Juan Islas Fernandez	Queens		11418	US	8/19/22
LILLY MINE	Jamaica		11423	US	8/19/22
Billie Samm	JAMAICA		11432	US	8/28/22
Jessica Caso	Queens		11432	US	9/8/22
Nicholas Kelly	Valley Stream		11580	US	8/31/22
thomas campbell	Amityville		11701	US	9/8/22
Aaliyah Wheeler	Centereach		11720	US	8/31/22
Susan Laura Jao	Commack		11725	US	9/12/22
leydy sanchez	Huntington		11743	US	9/24/22
Samantha Ayala	Levittown		11756	US	8/20/22
brooke smith	Massapequa		11758	US	9/4/22
Chris F	Hicksville		11801	US	9/3/22
Jada Marine	Cutchogue		11935	US	9/6/22
David Bonacasa	Mastic		11950	US	9/3/22
Mel Lovric	Mattituck		11952	US	8/12/22
Anthony Scrimenti	Guilderland		12084	US	9/1/22
Jordan Keefer	Troy		12180	US	9/3/22
Ildri Weaver	Chagrin Falls		12345	US	9/18/22
	Annandale-on-				
Noah Hoagland	Hudson	NY	12504	US	9/2/22
Michael Leibfreid	Beacon	NY	12508	US	8/3/22
angie jensen	Fishkill		12524	US	8/27/22
Joan Oldale-LaPoint	Highland	NY	12528	US	8/5/22
Molly Bogart	New Paltz		12561	US	9/2/22
Ohemaa Asiedu	Poughkeepsie		12603	US	8/29/22
Ellen Dockery	Poughkeepsie		12603	US	9/5/22
Sam Finch	Poughkeepsie		12603	US	9/10/22
zack baldwin	Glens Falls		12801	US	8/29/22
Bella Lee	Schroon Lake		12870	US	9/15/22
Sankavi Sampath	Syracuse		13210	US	9/8/22
Jordyn Tavernier	Ilion		13357	US	9/17/22
Olivia Krouson	Utica		13501	US	8/31/22
Lauren Radwan	Norwich		13815	US	8/31/22

*Note: The Change.org platform doesn't recognize the zero at the beginning of zip codes.*

Jesse Hammer	New York	13902	US	9/21/22
Kelley Caffo	Batavia	14020	US	9/20/22
Mary Wolf	Hamburg	14075	US	8/23/22
Madison Paylor	Honeoye Falls	14472	US	8/20/22
Anon Ymous	Walworth	14568	US	8/12/22
Lori Alicie	Rochester	14607	US	8/3/22
Andre Korman-Story	Rochester	14618	US	9/10/22
ana morales	Rochester	14621	US	9/21/22
Arlene Kitchin	Elmira	14903	US	8/7/22

**From:** [Brandt, Kimberly G.](#)  
**To:** [Specht, Jennifer](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Meeting at 2pm Today  
**Date:** Thursday, September 29, 2022 10:02:12 AM  
**Attachments:** [Sugarloaf Mountain.msg](#)  
[image001.png](#)  
[My remarks on questions you should ask September 27.msg](#)

---

**From:** Cherney, Ragen <RCherney@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 29, 2022 8:53 AM  
**To:** Brandt, Kimberly G. <KGBrandt@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Cc:** Mitchell, Kathy (Legal) <KMitchell2@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: Meeting at 2pm Today

**Ragen Cherney**  
*Chief of Staff/Legislative Director*  
Frederick County Council  
Winchester Hall  
12 East Church Street  
Frederick, Maryland 21701  
301.600.1049



**From:** John Carrera <[johnnyquercus@icloud.com](mailto:johnnyquercus@icloud.com)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 29, 2022 7:51 AM  
**To:** Council Members <[CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>  
**Subject:** Meeting at 2pm Today

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Hi, I had to leave the meeting before it ended on Tuesday - I commend you for your long hours of work, but I wasn't clear whether the matter is being taken up again today at 2:00 pm? My son asked his AP Comparative Gov. Teacher for a pass to get out early, but that won't be necessary if you are nog meeting.

I had two follow up concerns after the meeting: But first I will echo what many said: the planning commission needs to do a better job of raising a tent that everyone can sit under and discuss the planning. ...

1. The way I heard this explained long ago- landowners will still have the ability to apply for

easements to sell the development rights - (I wonder, though if it will be harder to get those payouts if the various entities know that there is no real danger in the land being developed... it was always a plan that we would do this one day.

2. I feel that certain recreational uses - the horse shows, (I heard horse owners saying they don't support the plan for fear they will be deemed commercial) I believe unpaved biking trails and horse trails are very much in keeping with uses on this protected landscape . . . I have been dreaming about combining our Carrera-Waldmann owned land - about 120 acres and perhaps the Lutsingers and Natelli to create an Arboretum that would also have biking, hiking, and horse trails.... Would't this be a wonderful book end to the Sugarloaf landscape - a park that is the entrance and a park that is Sugarloaf .... And our land almost connects to Peters road by bicycle (using the power lines) I would like to see a safe route from Urbana to the towpath on bicycle... this way we connect Urbana by bicycle to the rest of the country via bike paths . . .

This is the type of common sense stuff we need - and grandfathering in current uses that will be phased out when the owners stop farming, having their little businesses on the road etc....

Please let me know if I should come in at 2pm or not, thanks, Johnny

John Carrera  
[johnnyquercus@me.com](mailto:johnnyquercus@me.com)

Mailing Address:  
Quercus Press  
2722 Thurston Rd.  
Frederick, MD 21704

cell: 617-458-6395

Website: [www.quercuspress.com](http://www.quercuspress.com)

See the Making of Pictorial Webster's Video: <http://vimeo.com/5228616>

Hope is believing that there has to be an "I" in "daisy." - Sister Corita

**From:** [Toni Wagner](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf Mountain  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 28, 2022 8:22:34 PM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Please support the I-270 boundary in the current draft plan. A Rt. 80 boundary ruins the preservation plan - the idea of suburban sprawl on the west side is heartbreaking. After growing up in Northern Virginia and witnessing nonstop growth, I chose to purchase a home in rural Maryland in 2014. To see this area follow the same path would be a terrible loss for our state. I usually want to give things a chance, but now that I've seen development in this area I'm not interested in more. Specifically, in a few short years I watched the Clarksburg Outlets go from a dazzling new shopping destination to a sad, half empty, worn down shell of it's former self. I get it that people need places to live and work and shop, but we can do better. We have to do better and be smarter. The developers are never done and never satisfied, and they shouldn't be dictating Maryland's future.

Thank you,  
Antonia Wagner  
Resident of Barnesville, MD

**From:** [Nick Carrera](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** My remarks on questions you should ask, September 27  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 28, 2022 4:07:13 PM  
**Attachments:** [September 27 comments to County Council.odt](#)

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

I'm attaching the prepared remarks that I gave last night, with a few additional comments below.

My theme last night was "asking questions," and it is expresses a concern I've had with the Council hearings -- there's little sign of inquisitiveness. We get up and have our say, sit down and that's it. There's no back and forth, no challenging us or asking for more detail. So it's easy to conclude (wrongly, I hope) that you're hardly listening and that our comments are for naught. When do you talk things over, batting ideas back and forth and hashing out what will be the Council position? I haven't seen that. Maybe it's just the way you do business. My previous experiences were the PATH line and the Valois gun range, and the Commissioners seemed fully engaged in discussion during those hearings, at least as I recall (it's been a few years now).

A few more words on the rezoning of Potomac Garden Center, because I think it's an important issue that is being overlooked. When the Sugarloaf Plan passed from the Planning Commission to the Council, I emailed comments on PGC rezoning, in the form of an amendment I hoped one of you would propose, so that there would at least be some discussion of the issue, not just let it slide into law, and a bad law at that. You can confirm the statements Abigail Brown made last night, that David Angell has already had his greenhouse approved, he just hasn't built it; so he doesn't need rezoning to accomplish that. And ask your colleague Jerry Donald about Angell's comment at the meeting at the library on August 20th. He said he wanted the rezoning *regardless* of whether it was actually required for building his greenhouse. That to me says he may have other ideas in mind. And with approx 20 acres of commercial property there at the exit ramp, who knows what might come down the pike someday, to the detriment of this area.

Now you'll recall that Tim Goodfellow and I differed last night on whether the Planning Commission had actually moved to approve the PGC zoning and *voted* to approve it. You can easily check whether I'm right. Look at the minutes of the January meeting and the two February meetings of the Planning Commission. Only one decision comes remotely close, and it was, as is evident from the context, concerning the rezoning of agricultural to resource conservation. And check the minutes of the October 20, 2021, meeting when Kimberley Brandt said very pointedly that she wanted clear decisions from the PC, to guide the planning staff. She wanted to avoid uncertainty, which is what we're left with concerning the PGC rezoning. It cries out for some discussion.

I won't bother you further just now. I'm attaching my written comments of last night.

Nick Carrera; 2602 scenic Thurston Road

Sept 27, 2022, comments to County Council on Sugarloaf Plan

Nick Carrera, 2602 scenic Thurston Road, Frederick

You should be asking questions on three issues.

First, rezoning for the Potomac Garden Center. This was inserted by the planning staff without a Planning Commission decision. The owner says he *needs* rezoning for a greenhouse. I believe he is mistaken. My understanding is that his greenhouse can be approved *without* a zoning change. Moreover, and please note this: he said in a public meeting that he would not be satisfied with just the greenhouse – he wants the zoning change too. You should question Mr. Angell earnestly on this, to find out why.

Rezoning would make that property more valuable, and would risk uses incompatible with the Sugarloaf Plan. That would be especially true if the property is also left out of the Plan's Overlay.

The second issue is the omission of three properties from the Overlay.

Planning staff have linked the omissions to a mass-transit station east of I-270. Such a station has been in county plans for a half-century. Discussion before the Planning Commission showed that the planning staff don't know what station will be built, when it will be built, or how it could impact properties west of I-270. You should ask your own questions, to see if their Overlay omissions are justified. I think they are not.

The third issue calling for questions is the Cutout.

The Cutout was conceived in darkness and was born malformed. It encompassed 380 acres of Tom Natelli's. When questioned, planning staffers couldn't explain why. When pressed, Denis Superczynski finally answered, "I don't know," even though planning staff had claimed the Cutout was their work. The Planning Commission rejected the Cutout.

Now Mr. Dacey seeks to re-introduce the Cutout. You don't ask, "Why?" because you know the answer. You all discussed it with Amazon Web Services in August last year. Amazon wants data centers there, but won't let you talk about it, to see if that's what we want. How does Amazon threaten you? a large fine? a jail term? To whom do you feel responsible? – to Amazon, or to the citizens whom you represent and serve? Shall our county government be transparent or shall it remain opaque?

To recap: you need to ask questions regarding three issues that threaten the Sugarloaf Plan: 1) Potomac Garden Center rezoning; 2) omissions in the Overlay; and 3) the Natelli Cutout.

**From:** [Brandt, Kimberly G.](#)  
**To:** [Specht, Jennifer](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Sugarloaf management plan  
**Date:** Thursday, September 29, 2022 10:05:08 AM  
**Attachments:** [Re Comments on the Sugarloaf Overlay plan and PGC rezoning.msg](#)  
[image001.png](#)  
[Sugarloaf Plan.msg](#)  
[Land uses.msg](#)  
[Sugarloaf Public Hearing Sep 27.msg](#)  
[image002.png](#)

---

Best,  
Kim

**Kimberly Golden Brandt**

Livable Frederick Director  
[kgbrandt@frederickcountymd.gov](mailto:kgbrandt@frederickcountymd.gov)  
o: (301) 600-1144  
c: (410) 598-9026

**Livable Frederick Planning and Design Office**

<http://www.frederickcountymd.gov/livablefrederick>  
30 North Market Street  
Frederick, MD 21701  
(301) 600-1138



Division of Planning and Permitting  
<https://www.frederickcountymd.gov/planning>  
Frederick County Government  
<https://www.frederickcountymd.gov/>

---

**From:** Cherney, Ragen <RCherney@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 28, 2022 2:14 PM  
**To:** Brandt, Kimberly G. <KGBrandt@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Cc:** Mitchell, Kathy (Legal) <KMitchell2@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: Sugarloaf management plan

**Ragen Cherney**

**Chief of Staff/Legislative Director**

Frederick County Council  
Winchester Hall  
12 East Church Street  
Frederick, Maryland 21701  
301.600.1049



---

**From:** Giampietro, Michael <[MGIAMPIETRO@mtb.com](mailto:MGIAMPIETRO@mtb.com)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 28, 2022 12:55 PM  
**To:** Council Members <[CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf management plan

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Dear Council members,

Please vote to keep the I270 boundary in place and prevent high density development on the west side of I270. While I'm in favor of preventing overdevelopment, The plan, in its current form, strips property rights away from citizens unnecessarily and without compensation. There is a better way to balance property usage rights, while preventing high density development.

*D. Michael Giampietro*

1329 Thurston Rd, Dickerson, MD 20842  
301 639-3968

---

This email may contain privileged and/or confidential information that is intended solely for the use of the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient or entity, you are strictly prohibited from disclosing, copying, distributing or using any of the information contained in the transmission. If you received this communication in error, please contact the sender immediately and destroy the material in its entirety, whether electronic or hard copy. This communication may contain nonpublic personal information about consumers subject to the restrictions of the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. You may not directly or indirectly reuse or disclose such information for any purpose other than to provide the services for which you are receiving the information. There are risks associated with the use of electronic transmission. The sender of this information does not control the method of transmittal or service providers and assumes no duty or obligation for the security, receipt, or third party interception of this transmission.

**From:** [Hagen, Kai](#)  
**To:** [Abigail Brown](#); [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Comments on the Sugarloaf Overlay plan and PGC rezoning  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 28, 2022 9:53:04 AM

---

Thank you, Abigail.

Funny, sort of, but I was thinking the very same thing as your first point recently...that it would be instructive for every council members to drive Fingerboard Road (Route 80), from the I270 interchange to the Monocacy River...AND try to imagine major development, sizable buildings, parking lots and lights, the associate traffic and so on along all of the right side of the road to at least the Boys Ranch.

I know you had a lot more to say than that, which I am not ignoring. But wanted to share that common suggestion.

kai

---

**From:** Abigail Brown <[abigail.mommybrown@gmail.com](mailto:abigail.mommybrown@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 10:31 PM  
**To:** Council Members <[CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>  
**Subject:** Comments on the Sugarloaf Overlay plan and PGC rezoning

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

**Dear County Council Members,**

Thank you for taking your time to read through and take into consideration all of the comments that have been coming, from the community, into the County Council regarding the Sugarloaf Preservation Overlay. Here are just a few of mine...

**1. The boundary line of the Sugarloaf Overlay.** You have heard from many, many residents and property owners that reside under the Sugarloaf Overlay plan that the overwhelming voice of the community is to support the boundary line, intact, without exceptions or cutouts, at I 270. This comment has been exhausted so there is not much more I can add that probably has not already been said. However, I will leave you with a challenge at this time. I am challenging each one of you on this council, before a vote is made on the boundary, for you to drive down rt 80 and see if a boundary at rt 80 vs. I 270 makes any logical sense to you in the context of the conversation on preservation and supporting an overlay at all. Start at the 270/80 interchange, and travel down 80, until you reach the Monocacy river. You will not spend much time close to I 270, but you will drive

past almost 3,000 acres that will NOT be preserved and protected if you DO NOT hold the line at I 270.

**2. To add to the conversation on the boundary line, I am against the proposed removal of the 3 businesses at the intersection of 270/80 from the preservation overlay. The line needs to remain at I 270 to truly preserve this entire area. What is the rationale behind considering these to be removed?** This question has been asked by several folks for a long while, and the public has not heard an answer. One of many reasons I would urge you to vote \*against\* removing these 3 businesses, as well as the cutouts, is because then they would be exempt from remaining under, what I think is a great bill (83022) that Tim Goodfellow proposed at the meeting held on August 30, 2022. This bill referenced having design standards for new, non-residential development, and if adopted, would guide things such as structure and building design to make sure it would naturally fit into the area, would control lighting, etc. for all non residential, newly developed properties under the overlay. **This bill would not affect the current structures at these properties, but would simply provide standards for the future.**

([83022-PROPOSED-BILL---Sugarloaf-Rural-Heritage-Overlay-District](https://frederickcountymd.gov/83022-PROPOSED-BILL---Sugarloaf-Rural-Heritage-Overlay-District)  
[frederickcountymd.gov](https://frederickcountymd.gov))

§1-19-7.720. DESIGN STANDARDS NON-RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT.

Discussed in this section include: structure and building design, lighting, etc.)

**3. We are opposed to the complete rezoning of the Potomac Garden Center property to Commercial.**

(I handed out a site plan of the PGC property to each of you at the Public Hearing tonight, September 27th, 2022 for easy reference. This is a copy of the site plan I was given from Tim Goodfellow just a week or so ago when I visited the Planning Commission office. To the best of my knowledge, this is the most recent site plan that the Planning Commision has on file regarding this property)

Currently, PGC is split zoning. Their property is divided into a smaller parcel of commercial zoning (3.5 acres) located at the main entrance, and a larger parcel (16.19 acres) of agricultural zoning that surrounds it. I have recently connected with the planning commission, and learned that PGC has had the APPROVAL to expand on their property, in order to increase their business, for over a decade and a half, while still remaining under split zoning. They were initially given preliminary approval back in 2002, with a revised plan in 2007. Quoting directly from the site plan, "Portion of site zoned Agriculture has received written approval for a Special Exception from the Board of Appeals on March 26, 2002... Commercial Greenhouses or Nurseries in a district: are permitted on a special exception

with the following conditions..." In the interest of time, you can look at these exceptions as printed on the first page of the site plan. This plan has given PGC the green light to build additional structures, such as greenhouses, storage buildings, etc. to grow their business.

This site plan has been divided into two phases. Phase 1 was given the go ahead to move forward with developmental growth on part of the property, in both the commercial AND agriculturally zoned areas. Phase 2 has been drawn up, obviously seen by the planning commission, and has been added for informational purposes on this site plan which helps the public see the future plans for PGC. To completely approve Phase 2, there is an additional site visit that is required, an APFO review, and final approval from the planning commission. In addition to these requirements, I quote, "The next site plan stage requires a traffic impact study to include Phase 1 development." However, at present, Phase 1, which again was granted approval over 15 years ago, has not yet been completely developed, to even meet the next steps to move on to Phase 2. I know this because part of the approved land under Phase 1 is clearly visible from my property, which is zoned agriculture.

So, the question remains... If the planning commission has seen plans for the entire parcel at PGC, under this split zoning, with again, much of it Agriculture under the plans, why is the entire parcel needed to be commercial for PGC to continue to grow and thrive? When I asked this question to the planning commission office, the answer I was given was that they looked over the plans, saw that there were drawn up plans for development, and then made the recommendation for commercial rezoning. I want to challenge this recommendation, because simply put, what is allowed to be developed on an agricultural piece of property is vastly different than what is allowed to be developed on commercial property. It doesn't matter if a property has plans to develop, it's WHAT that is being developed on it that should guide a rezoning decision. Commercial rezoning gives way to a broad brush stroke of many possible types of development that would otherwise not fit under the Sugarloaf Preservation plan for this side of I 270 at large, now or in the future.. Our big concern with a full rezoning is of course living directly next to a commercially zoned piece of property. It will very well affect our property in many ways, as well as my neighbors, who share similar concerns. We have had no issues with PGC thus far, and hope they continue to thrive as a business.

**4.** I wanted to share my concern that much of the Urbana area, and Frederick County at large, in my honest opinion, has not been notified well of the Sugarloaf Preservation Plan, drastically limiting the knowledge of this conversation. I am speaking specifically of the placement, or lack there of, of Public Hearing signs around the area. There are two parts to my concern, and attachments to provide more details..

1.

**To address the potential rezoning of PGC.** It seems that the request for a complete rezoning is being slipped in under the radar from much of the community (as typically

if a property is to be rezoned, public hearing signs need to remain up on a property, visible by the most traveled roads adjacent to the property for the 30 days prior to a public hearing, as per county guidelines) this was not the case with PGC specifically, because this property is being given re zoning consideration under the overlay as a whole, and not given the same public attention that it would, if it was a stand alone issue.

2.

**I live on rt 80 (Fingerboard rd.) At no time, either prior to the Public Hearing while the Overlay was still in the hands of the Planning Commission OR prior to the Public Hearing today, September 27th, 2022, have I personally seen any Public Hearing signs facing the traffic on the stretch of road, either direction, from the Rt 80/I 270 interchange, running all the way down to the Monocacy River at Michaels Mill rd.** I travel this stretch of road multiple times a week, and I have been keeping an eye out specifically for signs once I learned of Public Hearings. I have seen a couple of the backs of signs, facing smaller populations of traffic pulling onto rt 80 from side roads, but nothing facing this main road that passes through this large section of the proposed preservation area.

3.

There are 5 attachments to this email. There is a screenshot directly taken from the Frederick County Government Website. And the additional 4 are photos I took on September 26, 2022. (I would be happy to show any of you time stamped photos from my phone, if you would like to verify this date, I'm just not tech savvy enough to share through an email). These 4 photos show 3 major intersections entering the proposed Sugarloaf Preservation area. Note that you do not see any Public Hearing signs.

Thank you,

Abigail Brown

8564 Fingerboard rd.

(We are the only property that is adjacent to the Potomac Garden Center, and we are sandwiched between rt 80 and I 270)





#### Public Notice/Hearing Placard Requirements

The premises must be posted in accordance with the following rules:

- An affidavit certifying the posting of the required placard must also be filed on the day the property is posted.
- Placard must be posted a minimum of 30 days prior to the public hearing date.
- Placard must be posted in a conspicuous manner not over 6 feet above the ground level and affixed to a sturdy frame where it will be clearly visible and legible to the public.
- Placard must be placed on the property within 10 feet of the property line that abuts the most traveled public road.
- Placard shall be maintained at all times by the applicant until after the public hearing. If a new placard is needed or required, please contact the Division of Planning at 301-600-1138.
- Proper posting of the placard will be spot-checked by the Zoning Administrator and if not in compliance, it may result in the rescheduling of the hearing.

[Placard Installation Guidelines](#)





Park mills rd. Coming from 355,  
crossing over 270, entering  
Sugarloaf preservation area.



Park mills rd. Coming from 355,  
crossing over 270, entering  
Sugarloaf preservation area.



rt 80/ Michaels Mills rd  
Bridge over Monocacy River.  
Entering Sugarloaf Preservation  
area.

**From:** [siejohunt@aol.com](mailto:siejohunt@aol.com)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf Plan  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 28, 2022 9:30:22 AM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Hello,

Good morning. I attended the meeting last night. I had to leave at 9 to get home. I didn't get the opportunity to speak. I wanted to share my thoughts with all of you.

I was raised in Montgomery County. As a young girl my Father took my siblings and I to Sugarloaf to hike many, many times.

My husband and I were married at Stronghold in 1986. We love this area. We were lucky enough to build our home on Thurston Rd. We have been here for almost 30 years. We live at 2323 Thurston Rd, Frederick, MD 21704.

I am sending you my thoughts on the protection of this area. This is a special place. Please protect it not only for the people who live here and the surrounding areas but people who come far and wide to visit. Protect it for the future of people who will visit in the next 50/100 years. Let this be your legacy. What you have put in place for all the next generations to come.

Living on Thurston Rd...this is a dangerous road. Someone mentioned last night you know when 270 is backed up going either north or south. Thurston Rd becomes a highway. It is a 30mph road. People are in a hurry and travel 50-60-70 mph. I live on a straightaway. People pass on a double yellow heading south going into a very sharp curve. There have been many accidents. I mentioned in another email that myself and my kids have almost gotten T-Boned trying to get out of our driveway. Thurston Rd can't support more traffic. If Natelli continues to build like he has on the east side of 270 (Urbana) it will be a travesty. He lives in Potomac. He doesn't care. He continues to sign into the sign up sheets...his business address in Urbana.

My youngest child was effected by all the building in Urbana when it first started. He was in classrooms of 40-50 kids, portables outside since he was in middle school. You can't keep building if the infrastructure is not there. The kids in the local schools have suffered. The schools can't support it and the roads can't either, especially on the west side. We have 2 elementary schools within 1000 yards of each other.

I ask each of you, when 270 is backed up go on Thurston Rd. When the traffic is terrible and cars are racing either up or down on Thurston. I have called the traffic dept - Frederick County Police to come sit in my driveway. When they do they write 10-20 tickets in a 2 hour span.

Please make the right decision, do the right thing. Protect this special area.

Thank you for your time.

Leslie Novotny  
2323 Thurston Rd  
Frederick, MD 21704  
301-351-7281

**From:** [Glenn](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Land uses  
**Date:** Wednesday, September 28, 2022 7:20:02 AM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

The controversy over the use of properties reminded me of what they do in Switzerland, so I asked a friend who lives in Switzerland what their regulations are for new construction. Here's what I received back this morning:

"If someone wants to build a house, the projects has to be published and the size of the house marked with poles. For 4 weeks after the publication of the project, the neighbours and all the other people, organizations (Safe wildlife, as an ex) who have a legitimate reason can oppose to the project or part of it (the roof is too high.... The building is doing damage to the wildlife...). If nobody has anything to say, you can start building.... The Process means that actually the neighbours give their okay indirectly by not grabbing the chance to oppose anything."

That might apply to the raising of Chickens, and to any building near Sugarloaf Mountain.

Love thy neighbor.

Sincerely,  
Glenn Marshall  
5601 Avonshire Place-B

**From:** [GEENA YOUNG](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf Public Hearing Sep 27  
**Date:** Tuesday, September 27, 2022 11:32:45 PM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

To Council Members,

I live adjacent to the conservation area and within the discussed land off Park Mills and Route 80. I just wanted to provide input as a residential homeowner west of 270 in the Frederick Sugarloaf area. I do support the full overlay conservation withOUT carve outs to protect this small, valuable area of Frederick County land. Protect and conserve the land, please! This will be a legacy for many generations to come.

The people who live in the basin are constantly "battling" the newest development plans that are not compatible with this area and will harm the natural habitat. There is no rest for the weary...it is nonstop for the citizens in this area. This county protection plan would help to curb the wrong kinds of development vs relying on who is in office or on the council or has the best attorneys. It is like re-inventing the wheel each time something comes up. We have to start over with "educating" all the stakeholders of the values, goals, etc. We actually do not need or want more development or money from any gas station or data center. Nothing they (pro development) suggest is worth the sacrifice to the natural resources. Analogy: sometimes a casino on the beach is not the best way to appreciate the beach. Same for this little "nook" of Frederick County. Take the example of other strong, protective communities such as Malibu, CA or Montecito, CA or Martha's Vineyard. Protecting your natural resources is actually better for the city/town/county. We/Frederick will rise with a combination of good things...good land, views, beauty, agriculture, as well as historical downtown.

Please don't be short sighted. This is the moment in history to go "Martha's Vineyard" vs Montgomery County. You can't take it back. Natelli will get over it. Amazon will find some other place who will sell their soul to them. There are appropriate places for the data centers...not the pretty, natural areas needing protection.

Please vote to protect with full overlay (no carve outs).

Geena Young

**From:** [Brandt, Kimberly G.](#)  
**To:** [Specht, Jennifer](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Support Amendment in Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan introduced by Steve McKay  
**Date:** Thursday, September 29, 2022 4:56:17 PM

---

-----Original Message-----

From: DALE MACKINTOSH <twmack@aol.com>  
Sent: Thursday, September 29, 2022 4:02 PM  
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
Subject: Support Amendment in Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan introduced by Steve McKay

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Dear Council Members,

Please support the amendment below in the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan to keep the zoning the same for individual property owners.

AMENDMENT 1 to the Proposed Zoning Changes Associated with The Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan

Introduced By: Council Member Steve McKay

Introduction Date: September 13, 2022

Remove all proposed changes for individual properties that include a change in Land Use Designation from "Agricultural" to "Natural Resource", and a change in zoning from

"Agricultural" to "Resource Conservation".

Thomas and Dale Mackintosh

1612 Park Mills Rd ,

Adamstown, Md

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Brandt, Kimberly G.](#)  
**To:** [Specht, Jennifer](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan  
**Date:** Thursday, September 29, 2022 4:56:50 PM  
**Attachments:** [Gabe's Personal Letterhead.docx](#)

---

---

**From:** Gabe Lawson <[gabe@gabesservices.com](mailto:gabe@gabesservices.com)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 29, 2022 3:34 PM  
**To:** Council Members <[CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

To whom it may concern;

Please review the attachment regarding the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan.

Respectfully submitted,  
Gabe Lawson  
2418 Monocacy Bottom Road

# Gabriel E. Lawson

September 29, 2022

I propose the following Amendment 1 to the proposed Zoning Changes Associated with the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan.

Introduced by: Council Member Steve McKay

Introduction Date: September 13, 2022

Adopted/Rejected/Withdrawn \_\_\_\_\_

A proposed series of zoning changes in support of the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan.

Remove all proposed changes for the individual properties that include a change in Land Use Designation from "Agricultural" to Natural Resource", and a change in zoning from "Agricultural" to "Resource Conservation".

Sincerely,  
Gabe Lawson  
2418 Monocacy Bottom Road

**From:** [Brandt, Kimberly G.](#)  
**To:** [Specht, Jennifer](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan to keep the zoning the same for individual property owners  
**Date:** Thursday, September 29, 2022 4:57:29 PM  
**Attachments:** [Proposed-Zoning-Amendment 1 Removal of all proposed changes from Ag to RC -as-of-09-19-2022.pdf](#)  
[Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan to keep the zoning the same for individual property owners.msg](#)

---

**From:** Jim Mackintosh <[jmackintosh@mackintoshco.com](mailto:jmackintosh@mackintoshco.com)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 29, 2022 1:30 PM  
**To:** Council Members <[CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan to keep the zoning the same for individual property owners

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Dear Council Members,

Please support the amendment below in the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan to keep the zoning the same for individual property owners.

**AMENDMENT 1 to the Proposed Zoning Changes Associated with The Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan**

**Introduced By: Council Member Steve McKay**  
**Introduction Date: September 13, 2022**

Remove all proposed changes for individual properties that include a change in Land Use Designation from "Agricultural" to "Natural Resource", and a change in zoning from "Agricultural" to "Resource Conservation".

I appreciate your consideration.

Best,

James Mackintosh  
Mackintosh Commercial Brokerage  
262 West Patrick Street  
Frederick Maryland 21701  
Cell 301-748-3698  
Direct 240-529-0101  
[jmackintosh@mackintoshco.com](mailto:jmackintosh@mackintoshco.com)

**From:** [Cameron Mackintosh](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan to keep the zoning the same for individual property owners  
**Date:** Thursday, September 29, 2022 1:30:43 PM  
**Attachments:** [Proposed-Zoning-Amendment 1 Removal of all proposed changes from Ag to RC -as-of-09-19-2022.pdf](#)

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Dear Council Members,

Please support the amendment below in the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan to keep the zoning the same for individual property owners.

**AMENDMENT 1 to the Proposed Zoning Changes Associated with The Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan**

**Introduced By: Council Member Steve McKay**

**Introduction Date: September 13, 2022**

Remove all proposed changes for individual properties that include a change in Land Use Designation from "Agricultural" to "Natural Resource", and a change in zoning from "Agricultural" to "Resource Conservation".

 **Cameron Mackintosh**  
REALTOR® | TN LIC# 364091  
M [240.357.7236](tel:240.357.7236)  
O [615.370.8669](tel:615.370.8669)  
[cameronm@parksathome.com](mailto:cameronm@parksathome.com)  
[CameronMackintosh.parksathome.com](http://CameronMackintosh.parksathome.com)

8119 Isabella Lane Suite 105  
Brentwood, TN 37027

**From:** [Brandt, Kimberly G.](#)  
**To:** [Specht, Jennifer](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Hold the line at 270  
**Date:** Monday, October 3, 2022 10:30:40 AM  
**Attachments:** [Hold the 270 line.msg](#)  
[Hold the 270 line.msg](#)

---

-----Original Message-----

From: Barbara Luchsinger <blagluch@gmail.com>  
Sent: Friday, September 30, 2022 4:54 PM  
To: Fitzwater, Jessica <JFitzwater@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
Cc: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>  
Subject: Hold the line at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Dear Ms Fitzwater,

It is especially important to our family, and reflected likewise among the inhabitants in the immediate area along Thurston Road, that an absolutely immutable line be held along 270 which does not allow development beyond those parameters so listed in the Sugarloaf Plan.

One incursion into an area such as is proposed voids the entire effort of sanctifying a small vestige of the rural heritage that was once most of Frederick County. I have watched with heartbreakening dismay the urbanization of my area since 1947.

It is your duty and obligation, as I see it, to preserve for posterity the entire area as is currently proposed in the Sugarloaf Plan.

Barbara Luchsinger

2750 Thurston Road

**From:** [Barbara Luchsinger](#)  
**To:** [Keegan-Ayer, MC](#)  
**Cc:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Hold the 270 line  
**Date:** Friday, September 30, 2022 4:53:21 PM

---

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

It is especially important to our family, and reflected likewise among the inhabitants along Thurston Road, that an absolutely immutable line be held along 270 which does not allow development beyond those parameters so listed in the Sugarloaf Plan.

One incursion into an area such as is proposed voids the entire effort of sanctifying a small vestige of the rural heritage that was once most of Frederick County. I have watched with heartbreakin dismay the urbanization of my area since 1947.

It is your duty and obligation, as I see it, to preserve for posterity the entire area as is currently proposed in the Sugarloaf Plan.

Barbara Luchsinger

2750 Thurston Road

**From:** [Barbara Luchsinger](#)  
**To:** [Blue, Michael](#)  
**Cc:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Hold the 270 line  
**Date:** Friday, September 30, 2022 4:53:19 PM

---

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Dear Mr. Blue,

It is especially important to our family, and reflected likewise among the inhabitants along Thurston Road, that an absolutely immutable line be held along 270 which does not allow development beyond those parameters so listed in the Sugarloaf Plan.

One incursion into an area such as is proposed voids the entire effort of sanctifying a small vestige of the rural heritage that was once most of Frederick County. I have watched with heartbreakin dismay the urbanization of my area since 1947.

It is your duty and obligation, as I see it, to preserve for posterity the entire area as is currently proposed in the Sugarloaf Plan.

Barbara Luchsinger

2750 Thurston Road

**From:** [Brandt, Kimberly G.](#)  
**To:** [Specht, Jennifer](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Sugarloaf Overlay  
**Date:** Monday, October 3, 2022 10:40:21 AM

---

---

**From:** Brenda Crist <[kissdressage@gmail.com](mailto:kissdressage@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Friday, September 30, 2022 6:17:27 AM  
**To:** Keegan-Ayer, MC <[McKeegan-Ayer@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:McKeegan-Ayer@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>  
**Cc:** Council Members <[CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf Overlay

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Hello Ms. Keegan-Ayer,

Good morning. I am writing to you from within the Sugarloaf Overlay this morning. I attended the council meeting on Tuesday. I am very, very concerned about the proposed cut outs for the enrichment of the Natellis, Amazon and whomever they have given or promised money to in order to have these amendments introduced.

The overlay was given considerable thought and crafted to preserve the area in tandem with the already existing preservation in adjacent Montgomery County. I cannot understand why the cut outs were even proposed, other than to line pockets (Natelli's and others). It is so discouraging to have this corruption looming in literally my backyard.

I am writing to ask you to please vote to preserve this area. I hope you will stand with the people and all the sentient beings thriving in this well preserved area and against private corporate interests, greed and environmental degradation.

Warm regards,  
Brenda Crist

**From:** Rocky Mackintosh <[rocky@macroltd.com](mailto:rocky@macroltd.com)>  
**Sent:** Friday, September 30, 2022 2:55 PM  
**To:** Council Members <[CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov](mailto:CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov)>  
**Subject:** Comments by Rocky Mackintosh 09/26/22

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

## Dear Council Members

Thank you for giving me the opportunity to speak last Tuesday evening. For the record, please find the attached written copy of my testimony.

Best wishes

Rocky

Rocky Mackintosh  
MacRo, Ltd.  
301-748-5655  
[www.macroltd.com](http://www.macroltd.com)

Sent from my iPad

**From:** [monica bur](#)  
**To:** Keegan-Ayer, MC; Fitzwater, Jessica; Blue, Michael; Council Members  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf mountain development  
**Date:** Friday, September 30, 2022 1:36:58 PM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Hello,

I am a bit late to this discussion but I was shocked to see that there is a possibility of bringing development to the sugarloaf area. This area is prized to the citizens of Frederick County and Montgomery County. My hope is that this area and the surrounding areas will be marked off limits for growth and development. People from Montgomery County have moved to this area (myself included) to escape the crowding of Mo. county due to development down there. Please try to maintain a proper balance between making space for people that want to live here and the beauty of this county. I would hate to see it become over developed as Germantown has become and Clarksburg is becoming. Please be reasonable with the prize of sugarloaf and not give into the pipe dreams of developers - they do not care about our county, you are in a position to protect us and the county - be honored to do so.

Respectfully  
Monica Bur, Adamstown resident

**From:** [Justin](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf Amendment  
**Date:** Friday, September 30, 2022 12:21:37 PM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Dear Council Members,

Please support the amendment below in the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan to keep the zoning the same for individual property owners.

AMENDMENT 1 to the Proposed Zoning Changes Associated with The Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan

Introduced By: Council Member Steve McKay  
Introduction Date: September 13, 2022

Remove all proposed changes for individual properties that include a change in Land Use Designation from "Agricultural" to "Natural Resource", and a change in zoning from "Agricultural" to "Resource Conservation".

**From:** [Margo](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf amendment  
**Date:** Friday, September 30, 2022 12:19:14 PM

---

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Dear Council Members,

Please support the amendment below in the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan to keep the zoning the same for individual property owners.

AMENDMENT 1 to the Proposed Zoning Changes Associated with The Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan

Introduced By: Council Member Steve McKay

Introduction Date: September 13, 2022

Remove all proposed changes for individual properties that include a change in Land Use Designation from "Agricultural" to "Natural Resource", and a change in zoning from "Agricultural" to "Resource Conservation".

Margo Gardner

Sent from my iPhone

**From:** [Nancy Fleshman](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Date:** Friday, September 30, 2022 9:34:40 AM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

I am urging the Council members to return to the original July 2021 Sugarloaf plan. Natelli purchased property that was not to be used for development, and that's what he has. We don't need more Urbanas in Southern Frederick County.

Margaret (Nancy)Fleshman  
New Market, Md. 21774

**From:** [Brenda Crist](#)  
**To:** [Keegan-Ayer, MC](#)  
**Cc:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf Overlay  
**Date:** Friday, September 30, 2022 6:18:14 AM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Hello Ms. Keegan-Ayer,

Good morning. I am writing to you from within the Sugarloaf Overlay this morning. I attended the council meeting on Tuesday. I am very, very concerned about the proposed cut outs for the enrichment of the Natellis, Amazon and whomever they have given or promised money to in order to have these amendments introduced.

The overlay was given considerable thought and crafted to preserve the area in tandem with the already existing preservation in adjacent Montgomery County. I cannot understand why the cut outs were even proposed, other than to line pockets (Natelli's and others). It is so discouraging to have this corruption looming in literally my backyard.

I am writing to ask you to please vote to preserve this area. I hope you will stand with the people and all the sentient beings thriving in this well preserved area and against private corporate interests, greed and environmental degradation.

Warm regards,  
Brenda Crist

**From:** [Brenda Crist](#)  
**To:** [Dacey, Phil](#)  
**Cc:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf Overlay  
**Date:** Friday, September 30, 2022 6:33:36 AM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Good morning. I am writing to you from within the Sugarloaf Overlay this morning. I attended the council meeting on Tuesday. I am very, very concerned about the proposed cut outs for the enrichment of the Natellis, Amazon and whomever they have given or promised money to in order to have these amendments introduced.

The overlay was given considerable thought and crafted to preserve the area in tandem with the already existing preservation in adjacent Montgomery County. I cannot understand why the cut outs were even proposed, other than to line pockets (Natelli's and others). It is so discouraging to have this corruption looming in literally my backyard.

I understand that you proposed the cut outs and I also noticed that, during the meeting, you seldom looked up to meet the gaze of anyone in the room. You shifted a lot and looked generally uncomfortable. We should listen to our bodies, as they are often telling the truth. Yours seemed to be saying you know the cutouts are not right.

I am writing to ask you to please vote to preserve this area. I hope you will stand with the people and all the sentient beings thriving in this well preserved area and against private corporate interests, greed and environmental degradation.

Warm regards,  
Brenda Crist

**From:** [Brenda Crist](#)  
**To:** [Blue, Michael](#)  
**Cc:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf Overlay  
**Date:** Friday, September 30, 2022 6:28:16 AM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Hello Mr. Blue,

Good morning. I am writing to you from within the Sugarloaf Overlay this morning. I attended the council meeting on Tuesday. I am very, very concerned about the proposed cut outs for the enrichment of the Natellis, Amazon and whomever they have given or promised money to in order to have these amendments introduced.

The overlay was given considerable thought and crafted to preserve the area in tandem with the already existing preservation in adjacent Montgomery County. I cannot understand why the cut outs were even proposed, other than to line pockets (Natelli's and others). It is so discouraging to have this corruption looming in literally my backyard.

I am writing to ask you to please vote to preserve this area. I hope you will stand with the people and all the sentient beings thriving in this well preserved area and against private corporate interests, greed and environmental degradation.

Warm regards,  
Brenda Crist

**From:** [Brenda Crist](#)  
**To:** [Donald, Jerry](#)  
**Cc:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf Overlay  
**Date:** Friday, September 30, 2022 6:25:27 AM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Mr. Donald,

Good morning. I am writing to you from within the Sugarloaf Overlay this morning. I attended the council meeting on Tuesday. I am very, very concerned about the proposed cut outs for the enrichment of the Natellis, Amazon and whomever they have given or promised money to in order to have these amendments introduced.

The overlay was given considerable thought and crafted to preserve the area in tandem with the already existing preservation in adjacent Montgomery County. I cannot understand why the cut outs were even proposed, other than to line pockets (Natelli's and others). It is so discouraging to have this corruption looming in literally my backyard.

I am writing to ask you to please vote to preserve this area. I hope you will stand with the people and all the sentient beings thriving in this well preserved area and against private corporate interests, greed and environmental degradation.

Warm regards,  
Brenda Crist

**From:** [Brenda Crist](#)  
**To:** [Hagen, Kai](#)  
**Cc:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf Overlay  
**Date:** Friday, September 30, 2022 6:24:56 AM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Mr. Hagen,

Good morning. I am writing to you from within the Sugarloaf Overlay this morning. I attended the council meeting on Tuesday. I am very, very concerned about the proposed cut outs for the enrichment of the Natellis, Amazon and whomever they have given or promised money to in order to have these amendments introduced.

The overlay was given considerable thought and crafted to preserve the area in tandem with the already existing preservation in adjacent Montgomery County. I cannot understand why the cut outs were even proposed, other than to line pockets (Natelli's and others). It is so discouraging to have this corruption looming in literally my backyard.

I am writing to ask you to please vote to preserve this area. I hope you will stand with the people and all the sentient beings thriving in this well preserved area and against private corporate interests, greed and environmental degradation.

Warm regards,  
Brenda Crist

**From:** [Brenda Crist](#)  
**To:** [Fitzwater, Jessica](#)  
**Cc:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf Overlay  
**Date:** Friday, September 30, 2022 6:22:51 AM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Hello Ms. Fitzwater,

Good morning. I am writing to you from within the Sugarloaf Overlay this morning. I attended the council meeting on Tuesday. I am very, very concerned about the proposed cut outs for the enrichment of the Natellis, Amazon and whomever they have given or promised money to in order to have these amendments introduced.

The overlay was given considerable thought and crafted to preserve the area in tandem with the already existing preservation in adjacent Montgomery County. I cannot understand why the cut outs were even proposed, other than to line pockets (Natelli's and others). It is so discouraging to have this corruption looming in literally my backyard.

I am writing to ask you to please vote to preserve this area. I hope you will stand with the people and all the sentient beings thriving in this well preserved area and against private corporate interests, greed and environmental degradation.

Warm regards,  
Brenda Crist

**From:** [Travis Kowalke](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Amendment  
**Date:** Thursday, September 29, 2022 4:34:35 PM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Dear Council Members,

Please support the amendment below in the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan to keep the zoning the same for individual property owners.

**AMENDMENT 1 to the Proposed Zoning Changes Associated with The Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan**

Introduced By: Council Member Steve McKay  
Introduction Date: September 13, 2022

Remove all proposed changes for individual properties that include a change in Land Use Designation from "Agricultural" to "Natural Resource", and a change in zoning from "Agricultural" to "Resource Conservation".

Travis Kowalke  
2533 Park Mills Rd.  
Adamstown, MD. 21710  
678-517-7627

**From:** [Kat Poston](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Keep sugarloaf protected - don't develop it  
**Date:** Thursday, September 29, 2022 4:32:39 PM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

To whom it may concern,

I spend a large amount of my free time outdoors so I constantly am around nature. It brings me serenity and joy and I know it does for many others as well. But I also do adore Frederick. There absolutely must be a way to balance maintaining a natural environment with growth in the county. But to tear into the area surrounding Sugarloaf to expand the county... couldn't you find somewhere else? Say, a location where the people actually would like the resources allocated to them towards actual development?

Frederick county feels like it tries to teach students all about how Maryland is an agricultural state, and to respect and protect that part of its history. But then you take actions to hurt the agricultural systems around a location that people here love. And to affect a major community location as well by proxy. Unlike a lot of outdoor places and hiking locations, the mountain itself is accessible through some of the gentler trails to older populations or some disabled groups. That's critical that so many people get to enjoy it. Even though the mountain still stands, letting developments creep up to the base of it ruins the community of the people living there. It also will deeply effect the preservation and environmental impact negatively.

And the council doesn't care? Sorry, for what? Because it comes off that you want to show students expansion and a larger community is more important than having places to connect with the community you're serving. Especially when your community is voicing what they want.

I'd rather see the council invest their resources into helping people who need help that are already a part of my community. Not building more million dollar homes everywhere that only some people can afford. Why can't you help everyone first? Instead of further hurting people who can't keep up. You are helping the community. You are dividing it. I hope you listen to what your community wants of you, because it certainly isn't this.

Best,  
Katie Poston

**From:** [Andy Mackintosh](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Please vote in favor Amendment 1  
**Date:** Thursday, September 29, 2022 5:13:10 PM  
**Attachments:** [cid1CEDEF58-9E3E-4C23-814F-AD42CE9B0B99.pdf](#)

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

---

Dear Council Members,

Please support the amendment below in the Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan to keep the zoning the same for individual property owners.

**AMENDMENT 1 to the Proposed Zoning Changes Associated with The Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Management Plan**

**Introduced By: Council Member Steve McKay**

**Introduction Date: September 13, 2022**

Remove all proposed changes for individual properties that include a change in Land Use Designation from "Agricultural" to "Natural Resource", and a change in zoning from "Agricultural" to "Resource Conservation".

Andy Mackintosh

301-748-3641

**From:** [Margaret Northam](#)  
**To:** [Council Members](#)  
**Subject:** Sugarloaf  
**Date:** Thursday, September 29, 2022 8:19:16 PM

---

**[EXTERNAL EMAIL]**

Hi,

I had heard about Sugarloaf landscape management plan. I also have had occasion to be in Urbana figuring out what to do while my husband had a one hour appointment. What an urban wasteland! There is no downtown, no real shops that I could see. No charm. Maybe it will improve as trees grow and business move into the vacant spaces. Very sad. And how sad it would be if that wasteland were to cross over 270. How sad it would be if Amazon, who apparently met in secret with the council, were allowed to occupy ANY space west of 270. And how outrageous it was that secret meetings and non-disclosure agreements happened. Shameful, actually. The government of Frederick County should always be open about its operations.

This is an old song by Joni Mitchell. Urbana doesn't even have a pink hotel, a boutique, or a swinging hot spot, that I know of! They may come to Urbana eventually, but they should remain there, and never be built on the other side of 270. Keep the Sugarloaf area the rural treasure that it is. Don't take all that greenery and trees and put them in a museum!

Margaret Northam  
8060 Geaslin Drive  
Middletown, MD 21769

They paved paradise  
And put up a parking lot  
With a pink hotel \*, a boutique  
And a swinging hot spot

Don't it always seem to go  
That you don't know what you've got  
Till it's gone  
They paved paradise  
And put up a parking lot

They took all the trees  
Put 'em in a tree museum \*  
And they charged the people  
A dollar and a half just to see 'em

Don't it always seem to go  
That you don't know what you've got  
Till it's gone  
They paved paradise

And put up a parking lot

---