

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: nanciwilkinson@gmail.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Friday, February 17, 2023 8:29:06 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The Sugarloaf Area Plan is currently before the Frederick County Planning Commission, but once it is formally transmitted to the County Council for action the members will have your thoughts and concerns.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney

Chief of Staff/Legislative Director

Frederick County Council

Winchester Hall

12 East Church Street

Frederick, Maryland 21701

301.600.1049



From: nanci wilkinson <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 4:07 PM

To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>

Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

nanci wilkinson

nanciwilkinson@gmail.com

5502 Glenwood Rd

Bethesda, Maryland 20817

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: jputman2727@gmail.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Friday, February 17, 2023 8:29:23 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The Sugarloaf Area Plan is currently before the Frederick County Planning Commission, but once it is formally transmitted to the County Council for action the members will have your thoughts and concerns.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney

Chief of Staff/Legislative Director

Frederick County Council

Winchester Hall

12 East Church Street

Frederick, Maryland 21701

301.600.1049

From: James Putman <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 4:05 PM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

James Putman
jputman2727@gmail.com
4617 Dustin Rd.
Burtonsville, Md, Maryland 20866

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: bcate4@gmail.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Friday, February 17, 2023 10:13:35 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The Sugarloaf Area Plan is currently before the Frederick County Planning Commission, but once it is formally transmitted to the County Council for action the members will have your thoughts and concerns.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney

Chief of Staff/Legislative Director

Frederick County Council

Winchester Hall

12 East Church Street

Frederick, Maryland 21701

301.600.1049

From: B Carter <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

Sent: Friday, February 17, 2023 10:11 AM

To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>

Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270. This impacts all of us.

B Carter

bcate4@gmail.com

6 Megans Court

Olney, Maryland 20832

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: elizrogers2@yahoo.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Friday, February 17, 2023 12:46:25 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The Sugarloaf Area Plan is currently before the Frederick County Planning Commission, but once it is formally transmitted to the County Council for action the members will have your thoughts and concerns.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney

Chief of Staff/Legislative Director

Frederick County Council

Winchester Hall

12 East Church Street

Frederick, Maryland 21701

301.600.1049

From: Elizabeth Rogers <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

Sent: Friday, February 17, 2023 12:27 PM

To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>

Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

Elizabeth Rogers

elizrogers2@yahoo.com

10106 Gladstone Street

Silver Spring , Maryland 20902

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: kevinbowie1951@gmail.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Friday, February 17, 2023 3:14:01 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The Sugarloaf Area Plan is currently before the Frederick County Planning Commission, but once it is formally transmitted to the County Council for action the members will have your thoughts and concerns.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney

Chief of Staff/Legislative Director

Frederick County Council

Winchester Hall

12 East Church Street

Frederick, Maryland 21701

301.600.1049

From: Kevin Bowie <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

Sent: Friday, February 17, 2023 3:09 PM

To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>

Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

Kevin Bowie

kevinbowie1951@gmail.com

15530 Comus RD

Clarksburg MD., Maryland 20871

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: drilea@verizon.net; [Council Members](#)
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Sunday, February 19, 2023 1:28:57 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The Sugarloaf Area Plan is currently before the Frederick County Planning Commission, but once it is formally transmitted to the County Council for action the members will have your thoughts and concerns.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director
Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049



From: SUSAN DRILEA <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Sunday, February 19, 2023 9:22 AM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes

when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

SUSAN DRILEA

drilea@verizon.net

14305 PLATINUM DRIVE

NORTH POTOMAC, Maryland 20878

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: bwilbur@gmail.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Sunday, February 19, 2023 1:29:16 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The Sugarloaf Area Plan is currently before the Frederick County Planning Commission, but once it is formally transmitted to the County Council for action the members will have your thoughts and concerns.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director
Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049



From: Elizabeth Wilbur <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Saturday, February 18, 2023 5:14 PM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes

when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

Elizabeth Wilbur

bwilbur@gmail.com

15428 Conrad Spring Rd.

Boyds, Maryland 20841

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: jimcd82@gmail.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Sunday, February 19, 2023 1:29:34 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Ragen Cherney
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director
Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049



From: Jim McDonald <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Saturday, February 18, 2023 9:58 AM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

Jim McDonald
[jjmcd82@gmail.com](mailto:jimcd82@gmail.com) 17500 conoy td Barnesville, Maryland 20838

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: suz.matt@yahoo.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Sunday, February 19, 2023 1:29:53 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The Sugarloaf Area Plan is currently before the Frederick County Planning Commission, but once it is formally transmitted to the County Council for action the members will have your thoughts and concerns.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director
Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049



From: Suzanne Matteson <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2023 7:16 PM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

Please commit to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west

of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

thank you, Suzanne Matteson and Bob Hitchcock

Suzanne Matteson

suz.matt@yahoo.com

17128 Butler Rd

Poolesville, Maryland 20837

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: christine_chalk@yahoo.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Sunday, February 19, 2023 1:30:10 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The Sugarloaf Area Plan is currently before the Frederick County Planning Commission, but once it is formally transmitted to the County Council for action the members will have your thoughts and concerns.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director
Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049



From: christine chalk <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2023 6:04 PM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes

when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

christine chalk

christine_chalk@yahoo.com

19920 Bucklodge Road
Boyds, Maryland 20841

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: kitsou4@gmail.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Sunday, February 19, 2023 1:30:27 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The Sugarloaf Area Plan is currently before the Frederick County Planning Commission, but once it is formally transmitted to the County Council for action the members will have your thoughts and concerns.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director
Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049



From: Steve Kitsoulis <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Friday, February 17, 2023 5:58 PM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes

when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

Protect Sugarloaf's farms and forests NOW!

Steve Kitsoulis

Steve Kitsoulis

kitsou4@gmail.com

17605 Macduff Ave

Olney, Maryland 20832

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: slakefpe@aol.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Thursday, February 16, 2023 2:48:04 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director
Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049



From: Sylvia Lake <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 1:48 PM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on

farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

Sylvia Lake

slakefpe@aol.com

9621 Marston Lane, Montgomery Village, MD

Montgomery Village, Maryland 20886

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: ontheknoll@gmail.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Thursday, February 16, 2023 2:48:34 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director
Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049



From: Grace Whitman <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 1:52 PM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on

farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

Grace Whitman

ontheknoll@gmail.com

19201 Barnesville Rd.

Dickerson, Maryland 20842

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: goldbergrn@gmail.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Thursday, February 16, 2023 2:48:51 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director
Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049



From: Robert Goldberg <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 1:54 PM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on

farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

Robert Goldberg
goldbergrn@gmail.com

21404 Davis Mill Road
Germantown, Maryland 20876-4422

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: philip.j.padgett@gmail.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Thursday, February 16, 2023 2:49:02 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director
Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049



From: Philip Padgett <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 2:01 PM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

Gentle People,

Many times, while flying into Dulles Airport on returning home from business travel, I have been struck by the stark contrast between Maryland and Northern Virginia. There on one side of the Potomac is verdant, green Maryland and on the other side grossly overdeveloped Virginia. I do business over there too. I know firsthand what a hot mess Northern Virginia has been turned into.

Do not be seduced by Virginia's false dream. Honor your commitments of the recent past by continuing to preserve Maryland's green open areas particularly in the Sugarloaf Mountain area. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area. As long-recognized, I-270 should remain the boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan.

A decision to change the boundary cannot be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover. Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

Thank you,

Philip Padgett

Philip Padgett
philip.j.padgett@gmail.com
10400 Strathmore Park Court, Unit #104
Kensington, Maryland 20852

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: nrhines@msn.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Thursday, February 16, 2023 2:49:19 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director
Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049



From: Norman Hines SR <nrhines@msn.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 2:01 PM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on

farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

Norman Hines SR

nrhines@msn.com

3117 Homewood Pkwy., undefined

KENSINGTON, Maryland 20895

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: klongbrake@gmail.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Thursday, February 16, 2023 2:49:35 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director
Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049

From: Katherine Longbrake <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 2:03 PM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am a nearby resident who frequents businesses often in Frederick county. I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

Katherine Longbrake
klongbrake@gmail.com
19400 Beallsville Rd
Beallsville, Maryland 20839-3326

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: annegreene@hushmail.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Thursday, February 16, 2023 2:49:50 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director
Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049

From: Anne Greene <annegreene@hushmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 2:06 PM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

Anne Greene
annegreene@hushmail.com
17219 Quaker Lane
Sandy Spring , Maryland 20860

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: scharffj@gmail.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Thursday, February 16, 2023 2:50:51 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director
Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049

From: James Scharff <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 2:34 PM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

James Scharff
scharffj@gmail.com
8609 Brandt PI
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: skurimchak@gmail.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Thursday, February 16, 2023 3:26:10 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director
Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049



From: Steven Kurimchak <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 2:28 PM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on

farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

Steven Kurimchak

skurimchak@gmail.com

23904a Catawba Hill Dr.

Clarksburg, Maryland 20871

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: rskelton5923@comcast.net
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Thursday, February 16, 2023 3:26:24 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director
Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049



From: Robert Skelton <rskelton5923@comcast.net>
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 3:16 PM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover. Our commitment to maintaining the character of these lands for us and our future generations should not waver. We do not need to develop these areas, which will only enrich a few.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

Robert Skelton
rskelton5923@comcast.net
18501 Kingshill Road
Germantown, Maryland 20874

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: kim.stypeck@verizon.net
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Thursday, February 16, 2023 3:26:47 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director
Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049



From: Kim Stypeck <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 2:49 PM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

Kim Stypeck

kim.stereotypeck@verizon.net

17915 Bliss Dr.

Poolesville, Maryland 20837

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: pdjburton@yahoo.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Thursday, February 16, 2023 3:27:19 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director
Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049



From: Patricia Burton <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 2:34 PM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

Patricia Burton

pdjburton@yahoo.com

17120 Queen Victoria Ct

Gaithersburg , Maryland 20877

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: Jack@JackKortHomes.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Friday, February 17, 2023 8:21:31 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The Sugarloaf Area Plan is currently before the Frederick County Planning Commission, but once it is formally transmitted to the County Council for action the members will have your thoughts and concerns.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney

Chief of Staff/Legislative Director

Frederick County Council

Winchester Hall

12 East Church Street

Frederick, Maryland 21701

301.600.1049

From: Jack Kort <Jack@JackKortHomes.com>

Sent: Friday, February 17, 2023 8:14 AM

To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>

Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

Jack Kort

Jack@JackKortHomes.com

22317 Essex View Dr

Gaithersburg , Maryland 20882

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: gaylelcm@gmail.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Thursday, February 16, 2023 2:50:41 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director
Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049



From: G. Countryman-Mills <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 2:34 PM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on

farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

G. Countryman-Mills

gaylelcm@gmail.com

11906 Oden Ct.

Rockville, Maryland 20852

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: johnhickman@yahoo.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Thursday, February 16, 2023 3:27:03 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director
Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049



From: John Hickman <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 2:37 PM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

John Hickman

johnhickman@yahoo.com

14513 High Meadow Way

North Potomac, Maryland 20878

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: pathermans@verizon.net
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Thursday, February 16, 2023 3:57:03 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director
Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049



From: Patricia Hrmans <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 3:42 PM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

Patricia Hrmans

pathermans@verizon.net

19622 Bruner Way

Poolesville , Maryland 20837

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: perrieleeprouty@hotmail.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Friday, February 17, 2023 8:22:12 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The Sugarloaf Area Plan is currently before the Frederick County Planning Commission, but once it is formally transmitted to the County Council for action the members will have your thoughts and concerns.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney

Chief of Staff/Legislative Director

Frederick County Council

Winchester Hall

12 East Church Street

Frederick, Maryland 21701

301.600.1049



From: Perrie'Lee Prouty <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 10:50 PM

To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>

Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am a Maryland citizen living in Montgomery Co now for over 35 years. I was and still am a an ardent supporter of the Agriculture Reserve. I remember those many years ago that I was forewarned to the fact that the MoCo Council frequently went back on their word when it came to getting more taxes, more development & not honoring their commitment in this case the preservation of the Clarksburg and Sugarloaf Mountain area..

Over these years, I remember the commitment of I-270 as the recognized boundary and best line for planning purposes when the commission discussed these plans. NO HIGH-DENSITY

development should be occurring west of the I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

I always tried to stay optimistic that the County Council would always research and learn

about farming, soil and how to keep it nutritious to support the farming & esp. organic farming. I thought that the Council would learn about water quality and the importance of a healthy forest cover. I am not findint that is the case. It appears the Council is willing to go back on their word and throw all that knowledge out the window. I am asking that you, the Council, will honor that commitment.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also "do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process".

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

Perrie'Lee Prouty
perrieleeprouty@hotmail.com
5213 Norbeck Rd
Rockville, Maryland 20853

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: netgk@hotmail.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Friday, February 17, 2023 8:27:01 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The Sugarloaf Area Plan is currently before the Frederick County Planning Commission, but once it is formally transmitted to the County Council for action the members will have your thoughts and concerns.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney

Chief of Staff/Legislative Director

Frederick County Council

Winchester Hall

12 East Church Street

Frederick, Maryland 21701

301.600.1049

From: Geo Kidd <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 7:56 PM

To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>

Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

Geo Kidd

netgk@hotmail.com

14012 Eternity Rd

Germantown, Maryland 20874

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: amcarrillo4@verizon.net
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Friday, February 17, 2023 8:27:19 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The Sugarloaf Area Plan is currently before the Frederick County Planning Commission, but once it is formally transmitted to the County Council for action the members will have your thoughts and concerns.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney

Chief of Staff/Legislative Director

Frederick County Council

Winchester Hall

12 East Church Street

Frederick, Maryland 21701

301.600.1049

From: Antonio Carrillo <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 7:39 PM

To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>

Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

Antonio Carrillo

amcarrillo4@verizon.net

11513 Piney lodge rd

N. Potomac, Maryland 20878

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: evank2@aol.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Friday, February 17, 2023 8:27:45 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The Sugarloaf Area Plan is currently before the Frederick County Planning Commission, but once it is formally transmitted to the County Council for action the members will have your thoughts and concerns.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney

Chief of Staff/Legislative Director

Frederick County Council

Winchester Hall

12 East Church Street

Frederick, Maryland 21701

301.600.1049

From: Evan Krichevsky <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 6:13 PM

To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>

Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

Evan Krichevsky

evank2@aol.com

9205 Copenhaver Drive

Potomac, Maryland 20854

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: bergo72@hotmail.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Friday, February 17, 2023 8:28:09 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The Sugarloaf Area Plan is currently before the Frederick County Planning Commission, but once it is formally transmitted to the County Council for action the members will have your thoughts and concerns.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director
Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049

From: Linda Bergofsky <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 5:11 PM

To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>

Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to modify the boundary simply to accommodate developers will have broad and irreparable impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover, not to mention quality of life. People like me who live west of I270 do not want to replicate Clarksburg or Urbana.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also undo the community's trust in the transparency of established process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

Linda Bergofsky
bergo72@hotmail.com
17317 Hughes Road
Poolesville, Maryland 20837

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: drilea@verizon.net; [Council Members](#)
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Sunday, February 19, 2023 1:28:57 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The Sugarloaf Area Plan is currently before the Frederick County Planning Commission, but once it is formally transmitted to the County Council for action the members will have your thoughts and concerns.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director
Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049



From: SUSAN DRILEA <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Sunday, February 19, 2023 9:22 AM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes

when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

SUSAN DRILEA

drilea@verizon.net

14305 PLATINUM DRIVE

NORTH POTOMAC, Maryland 20878

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: priswb@verizon.net
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Friday, February 17, 2023 8:28:22 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The Sugarloaf Area Plan is currently before the Frederick County Planning Commission, but once it is formally transmitted to the County Council for action the members will have your thoughts and concerns.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney

Chief of Staff/Legislative Director

Frederick County Council

Winchester Hall

12 East Church Street

Frederick, Maryland 21701

301.600.1049

From: Priscilla Borchardt <info@email.actionnetwork.org>

Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 4:53 PM

To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>

Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

Priscilla Borchardt

priswb@verizon.net

12604 Clark Meadows Ct

Clarksburg, Maryland 20871

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: westerlea@midmaine.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Friday, February 17, 2023 8:28:49 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The Sugarloaf Area Plan is currently before the Frederick County Planning Commission, but once it is formally transmitted to the County Council for action the members will have your thoughts and concerns.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney

Chief of Staff/Legislative Director

Frederick County Council

Winchester Hall

12 East Church Street

Frederick, Maryland 21701

301.600.1049

From: Pamela Smith <westerlea@midmaine.com>

Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 4:36 PM

To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>

Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

Pamela Smith

westerlea@midmaine.com

4403 Colfax St

Kensington, Maryland 20895

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: FW: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Thursday, February 16, 2023 2:47:13 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Ragen Cherney
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director
Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049

From: James Zwiebel <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 1:41 PM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

James Zwiebel
zwiebel@verizon.net
6317 Kenhowe Drive
Bethesda, Maryland 20817

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: annets1@aol.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Please Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Friday, February 17, 2023 8:27:31 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The Sugarloaf Area Plan is currently before the Frederick County Planning Commission, but once it is formally transmitted to the County Council for action the members will have your thoughts and concerns.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney

Chief of Staff/Legislative Director

Frederick County Council

Winchester Hall

12 East Church Street

Frederick, Maryland 21701

301.600.1049



From: Anne Sturm <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 6:32 PM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Please Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I had the pleasure of being on the Western View side of the Mountain on the first day of Winter 2022. It was a fun day with friends made all the more joyful by five Frederick County School busses carrying a happy group of 5th graders. The students were so excited and eager to hike. This sort of "happiness" goes on everyday at Sugarloaf whether the hikers are from Frederick County or the wide metro area. I feel you will want to make sure that this access to nature is protected for another 100 years.

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west

of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

Anne Sturm

annets1@aol.com

P.O. Box 341

Barnesville, Maryland 20838

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: [Susan Trainor](#)
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Comments re the 2/15 PC's Sugarloaf conversation
Date: Sunday, February 19, 2023 1:28:37 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The Sugarloaf Area Plan is currently before the Frederick County Planning Commission, but once it is formally transmitted to the County Council for action the members will have your thoughts and concerns.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director
Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049



From: Susan Trainor <sue.trainor.music@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 18, 2023 3:17 PM
To: Planning Commission <PlanningCommission@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Cc: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Comments re the 2/15 PC's Sugarloaf conversation

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Commissioners:

I read in the *Frederick News Post* Mr. Hicks' comment that the volume of material for consideration by the Planning Commission "has been a stress test." It does seem that your schedule has been extremely demanding of late, with contentious issues day and night!

Several members of the Sugarloaf Alliance attended the last couple hours of your daytime meeting on 2/15 in order to hear your discussion of the 1/18 Sugarloaf Overlay focus groups and to offer comments. The Alliance had members in each of the focus groups and has reports on all the conversations in addition to the staff versions.

There are several points from your 2/15 Sugarloaf workshop that I would like to address:

- **Simplicity:** Commissioner White suggested that there are basically two issues to address. I appreciate his call for simplicity, and as he noted, one issue already is resolved (the Council eliminated from the Plan the steep slope building restrictions which concerned some residents re their subdivision rights). The second issue is Stronghold's intransigence. That issue also seemed to be resolved with a compromise from Mr. McKay and Mr. Hagen, until County Executive Gardner inserted herself into the Council decision by panning the proposed compromise in the opening comment period of the meeting where the Council was to make a decision. As for Stronghold, they have said the same thing all along, which boils down to "we don't want anyone telling us what to do." Now they have learned (presumably from the "Livable Frederick Coalition" strategy organized by KO Public Affairs and Mr. Natelli) that they have veto power over county land use policy. All they have to do is threaten to close the mountain. Why would they ever show up again? It seems to me your decision is clear: either draw the Overlay line to exclude the mountain or not.
- **"Buffers":** Commissioner Sepe suggested she'd heard compromise in the focus group discussion to the effect that buffer zones in the Overlay District might be acceptable. It seems Ms. Sepe is trying to tee-up an idea suggested by Mr. Natelli and other industry representatives. As I said, Sugarloaf Alliance has reports from all of the groups. We can assure you that there is no acceptable boundary compromise that serves preservation. The position of those of us who have supported the county's preservation effort from the start is that, if the historical I-270 boundary is breached and dense development is allowed on the west side, the preservation goal is lost. There is no other boundary that will hold.
- **"Unintended Victims:"** Commissioner Rensberger's concern for "unintended victims" is admirable, and I think there are places to look for unintended victims beyond residents who are understandably concerned about their subdivision rights and farm ponds. Residents adjacent to dense development on the west side of I-270 also would be victims, and that's where the sprawl begins.
- **Floating Zones:** I was totally mystified by Mr. Superczynski's 'veiled' response re floating zones. We know that a draft CDI floating zone was crafted while Amazon Web Services was in discussion with Frederick County. We read news from industry and other jurisdictions; we know that CDI floating zones are used to short-cut local zoning procedures in order to put data centers on otherwise non-industrial parcels. I believe the full Overlay should cover the

entire Sugarloaf Plan area and explicitly disallow floating zones.

- **Public Comment:** Commissioners already had had a long day, and a large, contentious meeting loomed after your dinner break. And yet... a small number of us listened through prior agenda items and waited to contribute to the conversation about the 1/18 focus groups. The header on the agenda clearly says that public comment would be included. I would note that communication issues and procedural confusions have complicated the Sugarloaf process from the start. I was pleased to hear that the Planning Commission intends to address communications questions generally (and I sympathize with the general lack of coverage from the *News-Post*). I'm happy to contribute to the communications conversation, if that seems helpful.

Thank you for your attention and consideration,

Sue Trainor

8089 Fiingerboard Road

Frederick

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: rita.beck@verizon.net
Cc: [Gaines, Kimberly](#); [County Council Staff](#)
Subject: RE: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Thursday, February 16, 2023 2:47:35 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director
Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049



From: Rita Beck <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 1:49 PM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on

farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will also do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

Rita Beck

rita.beck@verizon.net

22601 West Harris Road

Dickerson, Maryland 20842

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: naturesweb@gmail.com
Cc: [Gaines, Kimberly](#); [County Council Staff](#)
Subject: RE: Maintain the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at I-270
Date: Thursday, February 16, 2023 2:47:48 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director
Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049



From: Lisa Mayo <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 1:46 PM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Maintain the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at I-270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

I have lived in Germantown for over 30 years, and visiting the Sugarloaf region is one of my favorite activities. I regularly visit the region and spend money on visitor services. I feel that the county is not adequately focused on protecting the beautiful habitat, waters, and farmlands of the Sugarloaf Mountain area.

I am against high-density development west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area, and I believe I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary for planning purposes.

Please honor your responsibility to protect our natural resources. Keep the development boundary at 270 and show the courage to resist ill-advised development. Maryland deserves this approach.

Lisa Mayo
naturesweb@gmail.com
12602 Grey Eagle Ct, Apt 43
Germantown, Maryland 20874

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: erika.k.singer@gmail.com
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Protect our County! Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270
Date: Thursday, February 16, 2023 2:48:23 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney

Chief of Staff/Legislative Director

Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049

From: Erika Singer <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 1:50 PM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Protect our County! Keep the Sugarloaf Plan Boundary at 270

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

Please leave Sugarloaf alone! Ultimately, it is a greater financial asset to the county if its boundaries are as wide as possible.

Once you allow high-density development west of 270, it will only continue to grow.

I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area.

The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

Erika Singer
erika.k.singer@gmail.com
8105 MacArthur Blvd
Cabin John, Maryland 20818

From: [Cherney, Ragen](#)
To: lifeonearth@verizon.net
Cc: [County Council Staff](#); [Gaines, Kimberly](#)
Subject: RE: Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Boundary
Date: Thursday, February 16, 2023 2:50:25 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

On behalf of the County Council, thank you for your remarks on the Sugarloaf Area Plan. The council members have all received your email. Your remarks will be made a part of the record.

The County Council appreciates all comments from our residents.

Have a good day.

Ragen

Ragen Cherney
Chief of Staff/Legislative Director
Frederick County Council
Winchester Hall
12 East Church Street
Frederick, Maryland 21701
301.600.1049



From: John parrish <info@email.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 2:23 PM
To: Council Members <CouncilMembers@FrederickCountyMD.gov>
Subject: Sugarloaf Treasured Landscape Boundary

[EXTERNAL EMAIL]

Councilmembers ,

Dear Commissioners and Council members,

As a lifelong Maryland resident, I am concerned about the county's apparent lack of commitment to the preservation of the Sugarloaf Mountain area. This area is special to me for many reasons. I enjoy the rural nature of the area by patronizing local farm markets, hiking on trails, picking apples and berries or simply driving through the scenic pastoral landscape.

I agree that I-270 should remain the long-recognized boundary and the best line for planning purposes when the commission discusses this plan. No high-density development should occur west of I-270 in the Sugarloaf Plan area. The decision to change the boundary can not be taken back and it has broad impacts on farmland, water quality and forest cover.

Considering changing the boundary after public commitment to 270 as the boundary will do irrevocable harm to the transparency of the master plan process.

Please consider the impact of these decisions and keep the development boundary at 270.

Sincerely,

John Parrish
9009 Fairview Road
Silver Spring, MD 20910-4106

John parrish
lifeonearth@verizon.net
9009 Fairview rd
Silver Spring, Maryland 20910-4106